

Original Paper

Relevance of Anthropometric Measurements in a Multiethnic Obesity Cohort: Observational Study

Rahila Bhatti¹, MRCP; Usama Warshow¹, PhD; Mona Joumaa¹, BS; Mariam ElSaban²; Faisal A Nawaz²; Amar Hassan Khamis², PhD

¹Mediclinic Parkview Hospital, Dubai, United Arab Emirates

²Mohammad Bin Rashid University of Medicine and Health Sciences, Dubai, United Arab Emirates

Corresponding Author:

Rahila Bhatti, MRCP

Mediclinic Parkview Hospital

Umm Suqeim Street

Dubai, PO Box 51122

United Arab Emirates

Phone: 971 505290575

Email: rsbhatti91@hotmail.com

Abstract

Background: The prevalence of obesity is increasing worldwide, and the Middle East is not an exception to this increasing trend. Obesity increases the risk of multiple metabolic complications, such as diabetes mellitus. Measurement of obesity has primarily relied on the BMI to identify risk; however, both bedside and office-based anthropometric measures of obesity can provide more detailed information on risk.

Objective: This study aimed to investigate the prevalence of obesity-related diseases in a multidisciplinary weight management population and to determine its relationship with obesity anthropometric indices.

Methods: This cross-sectional study was conducted at Mediclinic Parkview Hospital (Dubai, the United Arab Emirates). In total, 308 patients have been evaluated from January to September 2019 as part of a multidisciplinary weight management program. Key demographics, anthropometrics, and clinical data were analyzed using SPSS (version 25, SPSS Inc).

Results: Our cohort of 308 patients included 103 (33%) males and 205 (67%) females of 38 nationalities. The mean age of the cohort was 41 (SD 9.6) years, with a median BMI of 34.5 (IQR 6.7) and 33.7 (IQR 7.8) for males and females, respectively. The mean waist circumference (WC) was 113.4 (SD 23.3) cm and 103.5 (SD 16.2) cm, fat percentage was 33.7% (SD 11.6%) and 45% (SD 6.8%), fat mass was 41 (SD 15.2) kg and 41.1 (SD 14.1) kg, and visceral fat mass was 6.5 (SD 3.2) kg and 3.1 (SD 1.8) kg for males and females, respectively. There was a strong correlation between BMI and WC ($r=0.65$ and $r=0.69$ in males and females, respectively; $P=.01$) and visceral fat ($r=0.78$ and $r=0.90$ in males and females, respectively). Furthermore, visceral fat was significantly associated with WC in both sexes ($r=0.73$ and $r=0.68$ in females and males respectively; $P=.01$). Furthermore, WC was significantly associated with a risk of diabetes, hypertension, and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease.

Conclusions: BMI and WC are the most representative measures of obesity in our population and correlate with abdominal adiposity- and obesity-related diseases. Further studies are required to assess the benefits of these measures during weight reduction interventions.

(*Interact J Med Res* 2021;10(2):e27784) doi: [10.2196/27784](https://doi.org/10.2196/27784)

KEYWORDS

anthropometrics; body mass index; cardiovascular health; comorbidities; liver disease; obesity; overweight; type 2 diabetes mellitus; visceral fat; waist circumference; weight loss; weight management

Introduction

The prevalence of overweight and obesity has increased worldwide, as defined by the BMI. In the United Arab Emirates, the obesity prevalence rate reported in 2016 was 29.9% [1,2].

There is a paralleled increase in the incidence of metabolic-related conditions, particularly type 2 diabetes, metabolic syndrome, and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) [2]. Although BMI is the most used measure to classify at-risk individuals and to assign treatments, it does not

reflect abdominal obesity, which is a surrogate marker for visceral adiposity. Visceral adiposity is a strong predictor of cardiovascular [3] and metabolic risk [3].

Waist circumference (WC) is a simple anthropometric parameter to assess abdominal adiposity in clinical practice. WC is strongly associated with cardiovascular mortality [3,4]. Therefore, it has been recommended to determine the WC in conjunction with BMI to assess the metabolic risk in accordance with a 2008 expert consultation report of the World Health Organization [5].

The aim of our study was to validate the utility of anthropometric measures other than BMI in the assessment of obesity and their relationship with metabolic conditions including diabetes, hypertension, and NAFLD in a cross-sectional cohort of individuals with overweight and obesity.

Methods

Patients and Study Design

This cross-sectional observational study was conducted at Mediclinic Parkview Hospital (Dubai, the United Arab Emirates) between January and September 2019. A total of 308 patients enrolled in the hospital's multidisciplinary weight management program were included in this study. Patients in the program included those who were referred from outpatient Mediclinic cluster clinics, outpatient and inpatient consultations at Mediclinic Parkview Hospital, or those who self-referred to the program. Patients were then directed by the bariatric coordinator of the program to the dietician or physician as required. After initial assessments, the patients were provided individualized weight management plans including a dietetic plan, exercise, behavioral therapy, medication, or bariatric surgery as indicated. The weight management team included a dietician, an endocrinologist, a gastroenterologist, a bariatric surgeon, and a psychologist. Patients requiring bariatric surgery were discussed in multidisciplinary team meetings before surgery with close postsurgical follow-up.

Data were collected from electronic medical records of Bayanaty (InterSystems IRIS). The data were collected in four categories: demographic data, anthropometric measures, laboratory measurements, and clinical disease and risk factor status.

Demographic data included age, gender, and nationality. Anthropometric measures included height, weight, BMI, fat mass, body fat percentage, visceral fat mass, WC, hip circumference, and waist-hip ratio (WHR). Laboratory measurements included glycated hemoglobin (HbA_{1c}), renal function including creatinine and estimated glomerular filtration rate, liver function tests including aspartate transaminase and alanine transaminase activity, lipid profile including cholesterol, triglyceride, and low- and high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol. Clinical variables included the presence of diabetes, hypertension, polycystic ovarian syndrome, dyslipidemia, and NAFLD.

Obesity-Related Metabolic Risk Factors

Four metabolic syndrome components were included in the analysis: hypertension (systolic/diastolic blood pressure of $\geq 130/85$ mmHg or taking drug treatment for hypertension), hyperglycemia (HbA_{1c}=6.5% or taking diabetes treatment), hypertriglyceridemia (≥ 150 mg/dL or 1.7 mmol/L or taking drug treatment for elevated triglycerides), and low HDL cholesterol (< 40 mg/dL or 1.0 mmol/L in men and 50 mg/dL or < 1.3 mmol/L in women or taking drug treatment).

Obesity Parameters and Anthropometric Variables

BMI was defined as the body weight divided by the square of the height in meters (kg/m²). World Health Organization recommendations were used to categorize individuals by weight as follows: healthy weight (BMI=20.0-25.0), overweight (BMI=25.0-29.9), and obese (BMI ≥ 30.0) [6].

WC was defined as the measurement midway between the lowest rib and the iliac crest by using a flexible tape measure. Hip circumference was measured at the level of the greater trochanters to the nearest millimeter by using a flexible tape measure. WHRs were obtained by dividing the WC by the hip circumference. Although many have recommended different ethnicity-based WC cut-offs, there is insufficient evidence to recommend different cut-offs for individuals of European rather than those of Middle Eastern or African ethnicities [7]. Therefore, for these ethnicities, "the cut-off WHRs were 94.0-101.9 cm and 80.0-87.9 cm for men and women with overweight and > 102 cm and > 88 cm for men and women with obesity, respectively. Although there is evidence that WC cut-offs for obesity in Asian populations vary from those of Europeans [8], this population constituted a small proportion of Asians; hence, separate cut-offs were not assigned. Men with a WHR of < 0.90 , 0.90-0.99, and ≥ 1.0 were classified as having a normal weight, overweight, or obesity, respectively, and women were classified in the same categories on the basis of a WHR of < 0.80 , 0.80-0.84, and ≥ 0.85 , respectively.

Anthropometric data were collected using a body composition analyzer (Seca GmbH), which uses bioelectric impedance analysis to determine the body fat mass, body fat percentage (% fat and % fat mass), and the visceral fat ratio. The normal fat percentage for women is 21%-35% and that of men is 8%-24%. A normal visceral fat ratio is > 1.2 and > 2.1 for women and men, respectively [9].

Statistical Analysis

Data were entered in a computer, using SPSS for Windows (version 25.0, SPSS Inc). Frequency tables, the measure of percentage, and the measures of tendency and dispersion were analyzed as descriptive data. Categorical variables were cross-tabulated to examine the independency between variables; for such variables, the chi-square test or Fisher-exact test was used as appropriate. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to test the normality of continuous variables. The Mann-Whitney *U* test was used to compare the means between 2 groups if the normality was not confirmed, while the 2-tailed *t* test was used for normal data per groups. A *P* value less than .05 was considered significant.

Ethics Statement

Ethics approvals were obtained from the local Mediclinic Institutional Research Board and the Dubai Scientific Research Ethics Committee, Dubai Health Authority.

Results

A total of 308 patients who had participated in the weight management program were included in the study, and all had either overweight or obesity. A larger proportion of females participated in the weight management program (n=205, 67%) with a mean age of 41 years. The enrolled patients represented

38 different nationalities. The majority were of Middle Eastern and North-East African ethnicity (n=166, 54%), and the remaining were of European and Asian ethnicity (n=80, 26% and n=58, 19%, respectively).

Table 1 shows the prevalence of obesity-related metabolic conditions (Table 1). Diabetes was prevalent among almost half of the male patients with obesity (n=28, 49%) compared to only 8% among patients with overweight. Among females, dyslipidemia, NAFLD, and hypertension were significantly more prevalent among patients with obesity than among those with overweight.

Table 1. Comparison of complications between patients with overweight and those with obesity by gender (N=308).

Complications	Male patients (n=103)		P value	Female patients (n=205)		P value
	Overweight, n (%)	Obesity, n (%)		Overweight, n (%)	Obesity, n (%)	
Diabetes			.008			.28
No	11 (92)	29 (50)		37 (79)	63 (72)	
Yes	1 (8)	28 (49)		10 (21)	24 (28)	
Hypertension			.36			.006
No	7 (58)	28 (48)		40 (91)	60 (71)	
Yes	5 (42)	31 (53)		4 (9)	25 (29)	
Polycystic ovarian syndrome			N/A ^a			.24
No	11 (100)	42 (100)		34 (79)	62 (74)	
Yes	0	0		8 (19)	22 (26)	
Dyslipidemia			.11			.02
No	5 (42)	10 (18)		22 (60)	39 (53)	
Yes	7 (58)	47 (82)		15 (41)	35 (47)	
Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease			.25			.045
No	3 (60)	19 (46)		20 (95)	39 (72)	
Yes	2 (40)	23 (55)		1 (5)	15 (28)	

^aN/A: not applicable.

Laboratory parameters that are used to define diabetes, NAFLD, dyslipidemia, and chronic kidney disease were compared between male and female patients with overweight and those with obesity (Table 2). HbA_{1c} values were not significantly different between the 2 groups ($P=.30$ for men and $P=.20$ for women). Mean alanine transaminase levels, a marker of NAFLD, were higher in patients with obesity than in those with

overweight, but this difference was only significant in female patients (21.9 U/L vs 34.3 U/L, respectively, $P=.05$). In terms of lipid parameters, triglyceride levels were found to be significantly higher in patients with obesity than in those with overweight among men (2.4 mmol/L vs 1.2 mmol/L, respectively; $P=.01$). In women, HDL cholesterol levels were lower in patients with overweight than in those with obesity (1.5 mmol/L vs 1.2 mmol/L, respectively; $P=.004$).

Table 2. Laboratory parameters compared between male and female patients with overweight and those with obesity (N=308).

Parameter	Male patients (n=103)			Female patients (n=205)		
	Overweight, mean (SD)	Obesity, mean (SD)	<i>P</i> value	Overweight, mean (SD)	Obesity, mean (SD)	<i>P</i> value
HbA_{1c} levels			.30			.20
HbA _{1c} , %	5.6 (0.6)	6.2 (2.0)	N/A ^a	5.8 (0.7)	5.5 (1.0)	N/A
HbA _{1c} , mmol/mol	38 (0.6)	45 (2.0)	N/A	39 (0.7)	37 (1.0)	N/A
Creatinine, µmol/L	94.8 (21.0)	82.2 (20.0)	.20	62.3 (8.0)	65.1 (8.0)	.20
Estimated glomerular filtration rate, mL/min/1.73 m ²	86.3 (20.0)	93.7 (21.0)	.40	105.1 (12.0)	98.8 (18.0)	.10
Aspartate transaminase, U/L	35.8 (23.0)	31.7 (23.0)	.70	21.8 (7.0)	30.7 (29.0)	.70
Alanine transaminase, U/L	31.2 (11.0)	47 (39.0)	.40	21.9 (13.0)	34.3 (30.0)	.05
Cholesterol, mmol/L	5.9 (0.3)	9.4 (29.0)	.70	5.2 (2.0)	10.4 (33.0)	.50
Triglycerides, mmol/L	1.2 (0.3)	2.4 (1.0)	.01	1.1 (0.5)	1.6 (0.8)	.07
Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, mmol/L	3.4 (2.0)	6.4 (21.0)	.70	3.6 (1.0)	3.6 (1.0)	.90
High-density lipoprotein cholesterol, mmol/L	2.3 (2.0)	1.9 (6.0)	.70	1.5 (0.4)	1.2 (0.3)	.004

^aN/A: not applicable.

Table 3 shows the anthropometric measurements of all the patients. Males had significantly higher weight, height, WC, BMI, and visceral fat mass (6.5 kg vs 3.1 kg in males and females, respectively; $P < .001$), while females had a higher fat percentage than males, but this difference was not significant (45% vs 37%, respectively; $P = .40$).

Table 3. Gender-specific anthropometric characteristics of patients (N=308).

Characteristics	Males (n=103), mean (SD)	Females (n=205), mean (SD)	<i>P</i> value
Weight, kg	110.2 (24.0)	89.0 (20.0)	<.001
Height, cm	171.0 (36.0)	155.4 (34.0)	<.001
BMI, kg/m ²	34.5 (7.0)	33.7 (8.0)	.006
Waist circumference, cm	113.4 (23.0)	103.5 (16.0)	<.001
Hip circumference, cm	127.0 (12.0)	124.2 (15.0)	.39
Waist-hip ratio	0.9 (0.2)	0.8 (0.1)	.78
Fat mass, %	41 (15)	41 (14)	.86
% Fat	37.0 (6.6)	45.0 (6.8)	.43
Visceral fat, kg	6.5 (3.2)	3.1 (1.8)	<.001

In order to determine the relationship among anthropometric measures, multiple correlation analysis was conducted with the matrix of correlation shown in **Table 4**. This revealed a strong correlation between BMI and WC (females: $r = 0.65$, males: $r = 0.69$; $P < .001$) and visceral fat (females: $r = 0.78$, males: $r = 0.90$).

Furthermore, visceral fat mass was significantly associated with WC in both genders (females: $r = 0.73$, males: $r = 0.68$; $P < .001$). Unsurprisingly BMI, weight, and height were strongly correlated with one another because they were interdependent variables.

Table 4. Matrix of correlation of the measurements of the anthropometric indicators^a of obesity.

Indicators	BMI	Waist circumference	Visceral fat mass	% Fat	Hip circumference	Waist-hip ratio
BMI	— ^b	0.69 ^c	0.90 ^c	0.34 ^c	0.89 ^c	0.06
Waist circumference	0.65 ^c	—	0.68 ^c	0.43 ^c	0.85 ^c	0.42
Visceral fat mass	0.78 ^c	0.73 ^c	—	0.63 ^c	0.75 ^c	0.52 ^d
% Fat	0.18 ^c	0.30 ^c	0.48 ^c	—	0.48 ^d	0.58 ^d
Hip circumference	0.53 ^c	0.65 ^c	0.72 ^c	0.52 ^c	—	0.14
Waist-hip ratio	0.38 ^c	0.54 ^c	-0.13	0.40 ^c	-0.23 ^d	—

^aValues of *r* for females are shown in italics.

^b—: not applicable.

^c*P*<.001.

^d*P*<.05.

Discussion

Principal Findings

This observational study shows that anthropometric measurements in combination with BMI, particularly WC, can provide more detailed information on metabolic risk. Our findings have confirmed higher rates of obesity-related factors in individuals with obesity, which is consistent with all existing data [10]. Further, the study has clearly shown a strong relationship between waist circumference and estimated visceral fat mass through body composition analysis, thus emphasizing the routine inclusion of these measures in the assessment of such patients. Our results are similar to those of several other studies showing a strong association between metabolically active visceral fat and cardiovascular risk factors [4,11].

The prevalence of obesity in this study was much higher than that estimated nationwide in the United Arab Emirates (70% vs 34%, respectively) [12] as the weight management program would have enrolled more individuals with obesity. In unselected populations in the United Arab Emirates, the estimated prevalence of obesity was reported to be 34% in 2016 [13]. The multinational, multiethnic composition of the study population renders our findings more generalizable to other populations. Our study participants were of 38 different nationalities, as Dubai is a multicultural city with individuals of >200 nationalities [14].

The BMI definition of obesity does not account for different phenotypes of obesity, in terms of fat distributions and the difference between subcutaneous and visceral adiposity. Particularly, central visceral adiposity is more strongly predictive of metabolic risk factors. Accordingly, our data show that WC and visceral fat mass are significantly correlated with each other. Therefore, this simple and inexpensive measurement should supplement BMI in defining obesity and metabolic risk with potential implications on treatment allocations [15]. Kamadjeu et al [16] reported similar results in a cohort from Cameroon with respect to the burden of diabetes baseline data. It is notable that an increase in abdominal visceral adiposity is reflected by WC and is related to an increased cardio-metabolic

risk [3]. WHR had a weak correlation with other anthropometric measures in our cohort.

Furthermore, there are gender differences in the rates of metabolic-related disorders. Our data show higher rates of NAFLD, dyslipidemia, and diabetes in females with obesity but could not be linked to anthropometric variables, particularly WC and the visceral fat ratio. This is likely owing to the small numbers of individuals in some of the groups.

The findings of our study have important implications in the assessment of obesity in clinical practice, as they reinforce the use of anthropometrics as indicators of obesity. The International Atherosclerosis Society and International Chair on Cardiometabolic Risk working group have also published a consensus statement on visceral obesity in March 2020. It is recommended to use the WC value as a critical target for reducing adverse health risks for both men and women [17]. Recent Canadian guidelines for obesity in adults also recommend the measurement of WC in addition to BMI to identify individuals with increased visceral adiposity and adiposity-related health risks [18].

Strengths and Limitations

The limitations of our study are its sample size and the cross-sectional assessment of anthropometric parameters. More studies are needed to analyze the implications of longitudinal anthropometrics on the occurrence of metabolic-related conditions and the effect of different weight management interventions in modifying this risk.

In summary, this study demonstrates a strong correlation between conventional obesity measures and anthropometric measures, particularly the WC. It highlights the importance of using anthropometrics such as WC as a measure of obesity, especially as it is an easy-to-use and inexpensive clinical tool.

Conclusions

In conclusion, our study shows that BMI and WC are the most representative measures of obesity in our population and correlate with visceral adiposity and obesity-related diseases. This study highlights the importance of incorporating anthropometrics in the clinical assessment of patients with

obesity to further determine their metabolic risk. Further studies are required to assess the benefits of these measures during weight reduction interventions.

Conflicts of Interest

None declared.

References

1. Alhyas L, McKay A, Balasanthiran A, Majeed A. Prevalences of overweight, obesity, hyperglycaemia, hypertension and dyslipidaemia in the Gulf: systematic review. *JRSM Short Rep* 2011 Jul;2(7):55 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1258/shorts.2011.011019](https://doi.org/10.1258/shorts.2011.011019)] [Medline: [21847437](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21847437/)]
2. Prevalence of obesity, crude. World Health Organization. URL: <https://www.who.int/data/gho/data/themes/topics/topic-details/GHO/prevalence-of-obesity-crude> [accessed 2021-04-28]
3. Song X, Jousilahti P, Stehouwer CDA, Söderberg S, Onat A, Laatikainen T, et al. Comparison of various surrogate obesity indicators as predictors of cardiovascular mortality in four European populations. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 2013 Dec;67(12):1298-1302. [doi: [10.1038/ejcn.2013.203](https://doi.org/10.1038/ejcn.2013.203)] [Medline: [24149442](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24149442/)]
4. Pouliot M, Després JP, Lemieux S, Moorjani S, Bouchard C, Tremblay A, et al. Waist circumference and abdominal sagittal diameter: best simple anthropometric indexes of abdominal visceral adipose tissue accumulation and related cardiovascular risk in men and women. *Am J Cardiol* 1994 Mar 01;73(7):460-468. [doi: [10.1016/0002-9149\(94\)90676-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0002-9149(94)90676-9)] [Medline: [8141087](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/8141087/)]
5. Waist circumference and waist-hip ratio: report of a WHO expert consultation. World Health Organization. 2008. URL: <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789241501491> [accessed 2021-04-28]
6. Obesity: preventing and managing the global epidemic. Report of a WHO Consultation (WHO Technical Report Series 894). World Health Organization. 2000. URL: https://www.who.int/nutrition/publications/obesity/WHO_TRS_894/en/ [accessed 2021-04-28]
7. Lear SA, James PT, Ko GT, Kumanyika S. Appropriateness of waist circumference and waist-to-hip ratio cutoffs for different ethnic groups. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 2010 Jan;64(1):42-61. [doi: [10.1038/ejcn.2009.70](https://doi.org/10.1038/ejcn.2009.70)] [Medline: [19672278](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19672278/)]
8. Obesity in Asia Collaboration. Is central obesity a better discriminator of the risk of hypertension than body mass index in ethnically diverse populations? *J Hypertens* 2008 Feb;26(2):169-177. [doi: [10.1097/HJH.0b013e3282f16ad3](https://doi.org/10.1097/HJH.0b013e3282f16ad3)] [Medline: [18192826](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/18192826/)]
9. Miazgowski T, Kucharski R, Sołtysiak M, Tazarek A, Miazgowski B, Widecka K. Visceral fat reference values derived from healthy European men and women aged 20-30 years using GE Healthcare dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry. *PLoS One* 2017;12(7):e0180614 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0180614](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0180614)] [Medline: [28683146](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28683146/)]
10. Kinlen D, Cody D, O'Shea D. Complications of obesity. *QJM* 2018 Jul 01;111(7):437-443. [doi: [10.1093/qjmed/hcx152](https://doi.org/10.1093/qjmed/hcx152)] [Medline: [29025162](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29025162/)]
11. Müller MJ, Braun W, Enderle J, Bösy-Westphal A. Beyond BMI: Conceptual Issues Related to Overweight and Obese Patients. *Obes Facts* 2016;9(3):193-205 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1159/000445380](https://doi.org/10.1159/000445380)] [Medline: [27286962](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27286962/)]
12. Sulaiman N, Elbadawi S, Hussein A, Abusnana S, Madani A, Mairghani M, et al. Prevalence of overweight and obesity in United Arab Emirates Expatriates: the UAE National Diabetes and Lifestyle Study. *Diabetol Metab Syndr* 2017;9:88 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1186/s13098-017-0287-0](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13098-017-0287-0)] [Medline: [29118852](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29118852/)]
13. AlBlooshi A, Shaban S, AlTunaiji M, Fares N, AlShehhi L, AlShehhi H, et al. Increasing obesity rates in school children in United Arab Emirates. *Obes Sci Pract* 2016 Jun;2(2):196-202 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1002/osp4.37](https://doi.org/10.1002/osp4.37)] [Medline: [27818779](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27818779/)]
14. Fact sheet. The United Arab Emirates' Government portal. URL: <https://u.ae/en/about-the-uae/fact-sheet> [accessed 2021-04-28]
15. Hruby A, Hu FB. The Epidemiology of Obesity: A Big Picture. *Pharmacoeconomics* 2015 Jul;33(7):673-689 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1007/s40273-014-0243-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40273-014-0243-x)] [Medline: [25471927](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25471927/)]
16. Kamadjeu RM, Edwards R, Atanga JS, Kiawi EC, Unwin N, Mbanya J. Anthropometry measures and prevalence of obesity in the urban adult population of Cameroon: an update from the Cameroon Burden of Diabetes Baseline Survey. *BMC Public Health* 2006 Sep 13;6:228 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1186/1471-2458-6-228](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-6-228)] [Medline: [16970806](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16970806/)]
17. Ross R, Neeland IJ, Yamashita S, Shai I, Seidell J, Magni P, et al. Waist circumference as a vital sign in clinical practice: a Consensus Statement from the IAS and ICCR Working Group on Visceral Obesity. *Nat Rev Endocrinol* 2020 Mar;16(3):177-189 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1038/s41574-019-0310-7](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41574-019-0310-7)] [Medline: [32020062](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32020062/)]
18. Wharton S, Lau DC, Vallis M, Sharma AM, Biertho L, Campbell-Scherer D, et al. Obesity in adults: a clinical practice guideline. *CMAJ* 2020 Aug 04;192(31):E875-E891 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1503/cmaj.191707](https://doi.org/10.1503/cmaj.191707)] [Medline: [32753461](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32753461/)]

Abbreviations

HbA_{1c}: glycated hemoglobin

HC: hip circumference

HDL: high-density lipoprotein

NAFLD: nonalcoholic fatty liver disease

WC: waist circumference

WHR: waist-hip ratio

Edited by G Eysenbach; submitted 06.02.21; peer-reviewed by M Savolainen, A Chwałczyńska; comments to author 01.03.21; revised version received 18.03.21; accepted 18.04.21; published 13.05.21

Please cite as:

Bhatti R, Warshow U, Joumaa M, ElSaban M, Nawaz FA, Khamis AH

Relevance of Anthropometric Measurements in a Multiethnic Obesity Cohort: Observational Study

Interact J Med Res 2021;10(2):e27784

URL: <https://www.i-jmr.org/2021/2/e27784>

doi: [10.2196/27784](https://doi.org/10.2196/27784)

PMID:

©Rahila Bhatti, Usama Warshow, Mona Joumaa, Mariam ElSaban, Faisal A Nawaz, Amar Hassan Khamis. Originally published in the Interactive Journal of Medical Research (<https://www.i-jmr.org/>), 13.05.2021. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work, first published in the Interactive Journal of Medical Research, is properly cited. The complete bibliographic information, a link to the original publication on <https://www.i-jmr.org/>, as well as this copyright and license information must be included.