## Original Paper

# Dermatologists' Adherence to the Latest Recommendations for Screening of Hydroxychloroquine Retinopathy in Saudi Arabia: Cross-Sectional Study

Nouf Talal Mleeh<sup>1\*</sup>, MBBS, SB-Derm, ABHS; Nujood Abdulwahed Alzahrani<sup>1\*</sup>, MBBS; Jehad Osama Hariri<sup>1\*</sup>, MD, FAAD, ICDP; Hatan Hisham Mortada<sup>2\*</sup>, MBBS; Mohammed Ridha Algethami<sup>2\*</sup>, MBBS

#### **Corresponding Author:**

Nouf Talal Mleeh, MBBS, SB-Derm, ABHS Department of Dermatology Faculty of Medicine King Abdulaziz University Al Fayha'a Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

Phone: 966 50 005 747 Email: Nmileh@kau.edu.sa

# **Abstract**

**Background:** Hydroxychloroquine (HCQ) has been used to manage many inflammatory skin conditions. Nevertheless, retinopathy continues to be its most significant adverse effect. The American Academy of Ophthalmology (AAO) recommends baseline ophthalmologic screening in the first year of HCQ treatment. However, a recent study found an inadequate awareness of the recommendations. Furthermore, limited data are available regarding the implementation of the recommendations among dermatologists.

**Objective:** The aim of this study was to assess dermatologists' adherence to recommendations pertaining to their current practice regarding HCQ toxicity detection.

**Methods:** A self-administrated questionnaire was distributed between February 2 and May 4, 2018, among members of the Saudi Society of Dermatology. The questionnaire comprised demographic-related questions and questions pertaining to each physician's routine practice about the follow-up of HCQ-treated patients.

**Results:** A total of 76 dermatologists completed the questionnaire. We achieved a response rate of 62.54%. More than half (43/76, 56%) of the dermatologists were male. Furthermore, more than half (41/76, 53%) of them reported treating 1 to 3 patients with HCQ during the last year. Furthermore, two-thirds (47/76, 61%) of them reported screening patients before initiating HCQ treatment. Regarding follow-up recommendations, 59% (45/76) of dermatologists reported yearly after starting treatment for no-risk patients, whereas 94% (72/76) reported "yearly within 5 years of treatment" for at-risk patients. Data were considered significant at P<.05. All analyses were performed using SPSS, version 20 (IBM).

**Conclusions:** Dermatologists in Saudi Arabia are not well informed about some aspects of the latest recommendations regarding screening for HCQ toxicity in terms of tests, follow-up timing, cessation of the drug, and causative agents. Therefore, we recommend conducting more studies in Saudi Arabia to determine the adherence of more physicians to the AAO recommendations. Furthermore, patient education regarding HCQ toxicity and increased patient awareness are recommended for effective and safe HCQ use.

(Interact J Med Res 2019;8(4):e15218) doi: 10.2196/15218

#### **KEYWORDS**

Saudi Arabia; dermatologist; adherence; hydroxychloroquine; retinopathy



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Department of Dermatology, Faculty of Medicine, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Faculty of Medicine, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

<sup>\*</sup>all authors contributed equally

# Introduction

## **Background**

Hydroxychloroquine (HCQ), a chemotherapeutic drug, inhibits the erythrocytic forms of malarial parasites with antiautophagic and immunosuppressive activities. Its main mechanism of action is inhibition of plasmodial heme polymerase [1]. HCQ, mostly used as an antimalarial drug, has been used for the management of inflammatory skin conditions for more than 50 years [2]. As it has a wide variety of uses in the treatment of many skin disorders, including cutaneous and systemic lupus, rheumatoid arthritis, and dermatomyositis [3], the use of glucocorticoid and other immunosuppressive drugs, which have serious adverse effects, has decreased [2]. Furthermore, HCQ plays an essential role in cardiovascular protection, including antithrombotic, lipid-lowering, and hypoglycemic actions [4]. HCQ's antithrombotic effect has been attributed to a variety of mechanisms, including reduction in red blood cell aggregation, inhibition of platelet aggregation and adhesion, reduction in blood viscosity, and enhancement of antiplatelet activity [5,6]. Its lipid-lowering effects were reported in a cohort of lupus patients who showed HCQ use was associated with a 7.6% reduction in total cholesterol and a 13.7% reduction in low-density lipoprotein cholesterol over 3 months of therapy [7]. However, retinopathy debatably remains HCQ's most feared adverse effect. The mechanism of HCQ-induced retinopathy is not fully known, but buildup in the retinal pigment epithelium could cause this condition [8]. Recently, the prevalence of HCQ-induced retinopathy was estimated to be higher than that assumed earlier (7.5%) [9]. The most important contributing factor for retinopathy appears to be the daily dose, as patients whose daily dose exceeded 5 mg/kg (actual body weight; ABW) daily had a retinopathy risk of almost 10% within 10 years of HCQ treatment [9]. Thus, ophthalmological screening for HCQ-induced retinopathy is needed, which can manifest insidiously with paracentral scotoma and subtle color vision changes, making early diagnosis challenging [10]. Thus, early screening and assessment are crucial to possibly stopping the progression of HCQ-induced retinopathy and preventing vision loss [11].

New screening guidelines published by the American Academy of Ophthalmology (AAO) recommend performing baseline ophthalmologic screening in the first year of HCQ treatment [8,12]. Therefore, HCQ could be started before the baseline assessment, which is critical, as clinical efficacy requires almost 4 to 6 months of treatment. The annual examination is recommended to start only after 5 years of HCQ use. A recent study conducted among ophthalmologists and rheumatologists to assess the adherence to the recommendations pertaining to HCQ retinopathy found an inadequate awareness of the recommendations regarding screening for HCQ toxicity [10].

#### **Objectives**

To our knowledge, limited data are available regarding the implementation of these recommendations among dermatologists. Therefore, this study aimed to assess the adherence of Saudi dermatologists to the new recommendations in their practice regarding detection of HCQ toxicity.

## Methods

## **Study Design and Data Collection**

This cross-sectional study was conducted by administering a survey via email to all consultants, specialists, and senior residents of dermatology in Saudi Arabia. No sample size was calculated. Stratification considered gender and practice level. The data were collected from February 2 to May 4, 2018. All the participants were informed about the study, and those who agreed to participate were enrolled. Furthermore, the anonymity of the respondents was preserved.

#### **Questionnaire Variables**

The questionnaire was designed by using Google forms, and responses were collected by using Google spreadsheets. The questionnaire was based on the one designed by Shulman et al [10]. Furthermore, we adapted the questionnaire on the basis of the latest recommendations for the use of HCQ in dermatologic practice [13] and on the basis of our practice of dermatology in Saudi Arabia. Only the internal consistency was measured using Cronbach alpha test. The alpha value was .88. The questionnaire comprised demographics-related questions and questions pertaining to each physician's routine practice for the follow-up of patients treated with HCQ. The questions also addressed the physicians' awareness of the guidelines' recommendations, in terms of which assessments should be performed, timing of assessments, risk factors for HCQ retinopathy, and the actions to be taken if one of the screening test results is abnormal.

## **Ethical Considerations**

The Institutional Review Board and the Research Ethics Committee of King Abdulaziz University in Jeddah approved this study.

#### **Statistical Methods**

The data were statistically analyzed by using descriptive statistics by Statistical Package for the Social Sciences, version 20 (IBM).

## Results

## **Demographics**

A total of 76 physicians completed the survey. We achieved a response rate of 62.54%. Overall, 43 (56%) participants were male. Nearly two-thirds (46/76, 60%) had medical dermatology as their specialty (Table 1).



Table 1. Demographic data.

Variable	Value, n (%)	
Gender	<u> </u>	
Male	43 (56)	
Female	33 (43)	
Practice level		
Consultant	53 (69)	
Specialist	15 (19)	
Senior resident	8 (10)	
Subspecialty		
Medical dermatology	46 (60)	
Surgical dermatology	6 (7)	
Pediatric dermatology	2 (2)	
Other	22 (29)	

## **Hydroxychloroquine-Related Questions**

More than half of the participants (41/76, 53%) reported treating 1 to 3 patients with HCQ during the last year. More than half of the respondents reported that they prescribed 400 mg per day of HCQ, and 25 (32%) of them knew that the correct dose is "equal to or less than 5 mg/kg ABW or 400 mg per day." A total of 32 (42%) respondents reported prescribing HCQ between 1 and 2 years, and 24 (31%) of them reported prescribing HCQ for less than 1 year (Table 2).

A total of two-thirds of the participants (47/76, 61%) reported that they screen patients before initiating HCQ treatment, and 22 (28%) of them reported that they screened patients during the first year of HCQ treatment. The main screening tests recommended by the participants were an ocular examination (58/76, 76%) and visual field testing (41/76, 53%). Nearly half of the participants (37/76, 48%) reported performing a screening

test for patients with no risk factors before initiating HCQ treatment (Table 3).

Regarding follow-up, 45/76 (59%) participants reported "yearly after starting the treatment" for no-risk patients, whereas 72 (94%) of them reported "yearly within 5 years of treatment" for at-risk patients. The main follow-up screening test was an ocular examination, performed by 59 participants (77%; Table 4).

The main risk factors reported by the participants were "previous ocular pathology" (80%) and "HCQ cumulative dose" (68%; Table 5).

The majority of the participants (63/76, 82%) reported that they never stopped the treatment because of abnormalities in screening tests; however, 21 (27%) physicians stopped treatment, as the ocular examination revealed abnormalities. A total of two-thirds of the participants (52/76, 68%) reported "follow ophthalmology recommendation" as the main action if the screening test result was abnormal (Table 6).



 Table 2. Dermatology practice-related questions.

Variable	Value, n (%)
In the past year, how many patients did you care for, who were treated w	ith HCQ <sup>a</sup> ?
1-3	41 (53)
4-6	13 (17)
7-10	4 (5)
More than 10	18 (23)
What dose of HCQ do you usually prescribe?	
200 mg od	23 (30)
200 mg bid	47 (61)
6.5 mg/kg	2 (2)
5 mg/kg	1 (1)
100 mg od	2 (2)
What is the optimal recommended dose for HCQ to reduce the risk of re	tinopathy? (n=72)
200 mg once daily	16 (21)
200 mg twice daily	6 (7)
Equal to or less than 5 mg/kg of the actual body weight or 400 mg per day	25 (32)
What is the average time your patients are currently treated with HCQ?	
1-2 years	32 (42)
3-4 years	10 (13)
Less than 1 year	24 (31)
More than 4 years	10 (13)

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm a}{\rm HCQ}{:}$  hydroxychloroquine.



Table 3. Screening-related questions.

Variable	Value, n (%)	
Do you recommend screening tests for all patients starting treatment	at with HCQ <sup>a</sup> ?	
No	6 (7)	
Sometimes	9 (11)	
Yes	61 (80)	
When do you perform the screening tests?		
Before initiating HCQ treatment	47 (61)	
During the first year of HCQ treatment	22 (28)	
During the first 5 years of HCQ treatment	3 (3)	
Only in patients at risk	4 (5)	
Which tests would you recommend for screening? <sup>b</sup>		
Ocular examination	58 (76)	
Color testing	13 (17)	
Visual field testing	41 (53)	
Spectral domain optical coherence tomography	19 (25)	
When would you recommend screening tests for a patient without r	isk?	
Before initiating HCQ treatment	37 (48)	
During the first 5 years of HCQ treatment	10 (13)	
During the first year of HCQ treatment	25 (32)	
Only in patients at risk	4 (5)	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>HCQ: hydroxychloroquine.

 Table 4. Follow-up-related questions.

Variable	Value, n (%)
What is the recommended time of follow-up screening tests for patients without risk?	
Yearly, after 3 years of treatment	1 (1)
Yearly, after 5 years of treatment	30 (39)
Yearly, after started the treatment	45 (59)
What is the recommended time of follow-up screening tests for patients at risk?	
Yearly, after 5 years of treatment	4 (5)
Yearly, within 5 years of treatment	72 (94)
Which follow-up tests would you recommend? <sup>a</sup>	
Ocular examination	59 (77)
Color testing	15 (19)
Visual field testing	43 (56)
Spectral domain optical coherence tomography	25 (32)

 $<sup>^</sup>a \\ Multiple-response \ question.$ 



 $<sup>^</sup>b \\ Multiple-response \ question.$ 

**Table 5.** Factors considered by dermatologists as risk factors for retinal toxicity.

Risk factor <sup>a</sup>	Value, n (%)
Age (years)	
<30	2 (2)
>70	51 (67)
Renal function	36 (47)
Liver function	26 (34)
Treatment duration	51 (67)
HCQ <sup>b</sup> dose	28 (36)
Cumulative HCQ dose	52 (68)
Previous ocular pathology	61 (80)
Concomitant tamoxifen use	18 (23)
Genetic factors	22 (28)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Multiple-response question.

Table 6. Abnormal screening tests.

Variable	Value, n (%)	
Have you ever stopped HCQ <sup>a</sup> therapy because of an abnormal screening test?		
No	63 (82)	
Yes	13 (17)	
If yes, which test was abnormal? <sup>a</sup>		
Ocular examination	21 (27)	
Color testing	8 (10)	
Visual field testing	15 (19)	
Spectral domain optical coherence tomography	11 (14)	
If one of the screening tests is abnormal, what would be your next step?		
Decrease the dose	2 (2)	
Follow ophthalmology recommendation	52 (68)	
Stop the medication	22 (28)	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Multiple-response question.

## Discussion

## **Hydroxychloroquine Uses and Benefits**

HCQ was first discovered in the late 1960s as an antimalarial drug by Shearer and Dubois [8,10], and it has since been used as an autoimmune treatment because of its antifibrotic, antithrombotic, antidyslipidemic, and antihyperglycemic properties [11-19]. It is not fat absorbable, with an oral bioavailability of 70% and a half-life of nearly 2 months. HCQ is mostly excreted via the liver and, to a little extent, via the kidneys [20]. The drug decreases flares and the production of autoantibodies by inhibition of the toll-like receptor pathways [21,22].

The usually prescribed dose of HCQ is 4 to 6 mg/kg/day [23], and new guidelines recommend not exceeding 6.5 mg/kg of the

ideal body weight (IBW) or 400 mg/day [9]. HCQ-induced retinopathy risk in optimal doses was found to be 5.0 mg/kg ABW [9], especially in thin patients [8], and 6.5 mg/kg IBW doses in obese patients, with a 400 mg/day maximum [9]. In patients receiving 5.0 mg/kg HCQ, the annual risk was lower than 1% and 4% within 10 and 20 years of treatment, respectively [4]. Patients with high daily doses exceeding 5 mg/kg ABW have been reported to be at a 10% risk for developing progressive retinopathy within 10 years of treatment initiation. In contrast, those receiving a dose of 4 to 5 mg/kg had less than 2% risk for developing progressive retinopathy within 10 years of treatment initiation [9,24-26]. Cumulative risk factors contributing to the development of retinopathy include retinal, macular or renal disease, and use of tamoxifen (risk of retinopathy increased more than 5 times the normal) [<del>9</del>].



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>HCQ: hydroxychloroquine.

Previous cohort studies have shown that median whole-blood HCQ levels >750 ng/ml and >500 ng/ml will result in significant improvement and remission [21,27-29]. In our study, more than half of the 76 dermatologists reported treating 1 to 3 patients with HCQ during the last year. More than half of the respondents prescribed a dose of 400 mg per day, and nearly 30% of them knew that the correct dose was ≤5 mg/kg ABW or 400 mg per day. Furthermore, approximately 40% participants reported prescribing HCQ between 1 and 2 years, whereas 31.6% of them reported prescribing HCQ for less than 1 year. Cox and Paterson [30] reported a study with the maximum number of responders, 325 dermatologists, and a response rate of 70%, but the dosage differed from that in our study. Nearly 90% of the patients were started on a dose of 200 mg, and only 10% of them received 400 mg of HCQ [30]. In another study, by Gilhooley et al [31], a bias was found because of the small amount of data; 36% (n=20) of the respondents in the study were dermatologists.

The AAO recommendations of HCQ dose not exceeding 6.5 mg/kg and 400 mg/day were followed by nearly 60% of rheumatologists [8]. In this study, the main follow-up screening tests were ocular examination (77.60%) and visual field testing (56.6%). However, a study conducted by Shulman et al [10] in 2017 in Tel Aviv, Israel, showed that 5% of rheumatologists and 15% of ophthalmologists were aware of baseline and follow-up evaluations of HCQ-induced retinopathy [10].

The majority of our participants stated that they recommended a screening test for all patients who were started on HCQ, and more than half of them reported recommending screening before initiating HCQ treatment, and <30% of them reported recommending screening during the first year of HCQ treatment. Compared with our study, in the study by Shulman et al [10], 85% of responders recommended baseline screening tests. It was found that nearly 30% of the rheumatologists and more than half of the ophthalmologists will delay HCQ treatment before completion of investigations [8].

Regarding follow-up recommendations for this study, nearly 60% of the participants reported annual screenings for patients without risk, whereas 94.7% of the participants reported annual screening during 5 years of treatment among high-risk patients. In the study by Gilhooley et al [31], data obtained were similar across respondents, including dermatologists rheumatologists. A total of 43% of the respondents requested ophthalmology screening in the first year of diagnosis and then yearly, following 5 years of treatment [31]. Furthermore, 16% of both dermatologists and rheumatologists reported that a referral to ophthalmology would be recommended, with 12% requesting screening pretreatment, if visual impairment was found [31]. In contrast to the study by Shulman et al [10], in the study by Marmor et al [8], nearly 10% of responders advocated baseline follow-up investigations, following 5 years on medications in low-risk patients, whereas more than half of them proposed regular yearly investigations, and almost 30% of them maintained that usual investigations performed periodically were adequate.

The AAO guidelines highly recommend follow-up investigations after 5 years of therapy, with yearly investigations thereafter in

low-risk patients and in high-risk patients who are on chronic treatment for more than 5 years, with comorbidities, elderly, with >1000 g total consumption, with >6.5 mg/kg of daily dosing. This is because the risk of retinopathy increases to 1%, following treatment for 5 to 7 years or use of cumulative dose of 1000 g among those with prolonged used of HCQ [8].

The main risk factors in this study were previous ocular pathology (reported by 80% responders), followed by HCQ cumulative dose (reported by 68% responders) and age >70 years and treatment duration (reported by 67% each). In comparison with the study by Shulman et al [10], in our study, risk factors associated with retinopathy were identified by only 4% of the responders [10].

In our study, the majority of the respondents (82%) reported that they never stopped HCQ treatment because of an abnormality, where the main test showing an abnormality was ocular examination (reported by 27%). A total of two-thirds of respondents reported follow-up ophthalmology recommendation as the main action if the screening test was abnormal. Similarly, in the study by Shulman et al [10], nearly 80% of rheumatologists and 50% of ophthalmologists stopped HCQ treatment to some degree at one time because of uncertain retinopathy. When questioned about retinopathy, 25% of rheumatologists and approximately 5% of ophthalmologists advocated discontinuation of the medication, with no additional investigations, whereas more than half of the responders favored discontinuation of the medication with other investigations. More than 10% of rheumatologists and nearly 30% of ophthalmologists recommended adhering to the medication along with investigations [8].

Progression of HCQ-induced retinopathy can occur even if the drug is ceased [11,32]. According to a study conducted by Costedoat-Chalumeau et al [21], the relative risk of relapse was 2.5-fold higher in patients for whom classical medication was replaced with placebo than those who were maintained on classical medication.

A joint recommendation in the United Kingdom suggests that dermatologists should be familiar with HCQ-induced retinopathy screening because of the increasing number of users [33]. To the best of our knowledge, this study is the first of its kind to be conducted in Saudi Arabia. This study recommends further studies to assess the factors responsible for following ophthalmologic recommendations by the dermatologists, and this study recommends a further randomized controlled trial to compare the different ophthalmologic screening processes.

### Limitations

Although our sample size was much larger than any reported article in the field, and the study has reached its aim, there were some limitations that need to be highlighted. First, our study was a cross-sectional study, and it covered a short interval time, and there is a possibility that the responses are not representative of all health care providers. Second, response bias may be possible, which was particularly affected by refusal of some to participate or failure to complete the questionnaire, and this could be attributed to lack of interest and time, which resulted



in their exclusion from the study. Finally, there was a lack of studies in the literature in the field.

#### **Conclusions**

We conclude that dermatologists in Saudi Arabia are not well informed about the latest AAO recommendations regarding screening for HCQ toxicity in terms of tests, follow-up timing, cessation of the drug, and causative agents. Furthermore, we found that these dermatologists are somewhat knowledgeable about the latest recommendations pertaining to HCQ treatment; however, there was a bias in this study because of the small

number of responders. The benefits of continuing treatment with HCQ should outweigh the risks of treatment discontinuation, and risk factors that may exacerbate toxicity should be considered. We recommend conducting more studies in Saudi Arabia to evaluate the adherence of a greater number of physicians. Education of patients is also necessary for effective and safe treatment with HCQ. Patients are advised to schedule ophthalmology visits if there are any visual changes or any new comorbidities, including renal/liver diseases or significant weight changes.

#### **Conflicts of Interest**

None declared.

#### References

- 1. Hastings RC. Goodman & Gilman's CD-ROM: Goodman & Gilman's the pharmacological basis of therapeutics. J Am Med Assoc 1997;278(6):524. [doi: 10.1001/jama.1997.03550060100050]
- 2. Costedoat-Chalumeau N, Leroux G, Piette J, Amoura Z. Why all systemic lupus erythematosus patients should be given hydroxychloroquine treatment? Joint Bone Spine 2010 Jan;77(1):4-5. [doi: 10.1016/j.jbspin.2009.09.007] [Medline: 20022788]
- 3. Rodriguez-Caruncho C, Bielsa Marsol I. Antimalarials in dermatology: mechanism of action, indications, and side effects. Actas Dermosifiliogr 2014 Apr;105(3):243-252. [doi: 10.1016/j.adengl.2012.10.021] [Medline: 24656224]
- 4. Al-Arfaj AS, Al-Balla SR, Al-Dalaan AN, Al-Saleh SS, Bahabri SA, Mousa MM, et al. Prevalence of systemic lupus erythematosus in central Saudi Arabia. Saudi Med J 2002 Jan;23(1):87-89. [Medline: 11938371]
- 5. Achuthan S, Ahluwalia J, Shafiq N, Bhalla A, Pareek A, Chandurkar N, et al. Hydroxychloroquine's efficacy as an antiplatelet agent study in healthy volunteers: a proof of concept study. J Cardiovasc Pharmacol Ther 2015 Mar;20(2):174-180. [doi: 10.1177/1074248414546324] [Medline: 25125385]
- 6. Ruiz-Irastorza G, Ramos-Casals M, Brito-Zeron P, Khamashta MA. Clinical efficacy and side effects of antimalarials in systemic lupus erythematosus: a systematic review. Ann Rheum Dis 2010 Jan;69(1):20-28. [doi: 10.1136/ard.2008.101766] [Medline: 19103632]
- 7. Cairoli E, Rebella M, Danese N, Garra V, Borba E. Hydroxychloroquine reduces low-density lipoprotein cholesterol levels in systemic lupus erythematosus: a longitudinal evaluation of the lipid-lowering effect. Lupus 2012 Oct;21(11):1178-1182. [doi: 10.1177/0961203312450084] [Medline: 22641182]
- 8. Marmor MF, Kellner U, Lai TY, Lyons JS, Mieler WF, American Academy of Ophthalmology. Revised recommendations on screening for chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine retinopathy. Ophthalmology 2011 Feb;118(2):415-422. [doi: 10.1016/j.ophtha.2010.11.017] [Medline: 21292109]
- 9. Melles RB, Marmor MF. The risk of toxic retinopathy in patients on long-term hydroxychloroquine therapy. JAMA Ophthalmol 2014 Dec;132(12):1453-1460. [doi: 10.1001/jamaophthalmol.2014.3459] [Medline: 25275721]
- 10. Shulman S, Wollman J, Brikman S, Padova H, Elkayam O, Paran D. Implementation of recommendations for the screening of hydroxychloroquine retinopathy: poor adherence of rheumatologists and ophthalmologists. Lupus 2017 Mar;26(3):277-281. [doi: 10.1177/0961203316660204] [Medline: 27440459]
- 11. Marmor MF, Hu J. Effect of disease stage on progression of hydroxychloroquine retinopathy. JAMA Ophthalmol 2014 Sep;132(9):1105-1112. [doi: 10.1001/jamaophthalmol.2014.1099] [Medline: 24922444]
- 12. Marmor MF, Kellner U, Lai TY, Melles RB, Mieler WF, American Academy of Ophthalmology. Recommendations on screening for Chloroquine and Hydroxychloroquine Retinopathy (2016 Revision). Ophthalmology 2016 Jun;123(6):1386-1394. [doi: 10.1016/j.ophtha.2016.01.058] [Medline: 26992838]
- 13. Fernandez AP. Updated recommendations on the use of hydroxychloroquine in dermatologic practice. J Am Acad Dermatol 2017 Jun;76(6):1176-1182. [doi: 10.1016/j.jaad.2017.01.012] [Medline: 28318681]
- Pons-Estel GJ, Alarcón GS, González LA, Zhang J, Vilá LM, Reveille JD, Lumina Study Group. Possible protective effect
  of hydroxychloroquine on delaying the occurrence of integument damage in lupus: LXXI, data from a multiethnic cohort.
  Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken) 2010 Mar;62(3):393-400 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1002/acr.20097] [Medline: 20391486]
- 15. James J, Kim-Howard X, Bruner B, Jonsson M, McClain M, Arbuckle M, et al. Hydroxychloroquine sulfate treatment is associated with later onset of systemic lupus erythematosus. Lupus 2007;16(6):401-409. [doi: 10.1177/0961203307078579] [Medline: 17664230]
- 16. Ramser B, Kokot A, Metze D, Weiss N, Luger TA, Böhm M. Hydroxychloroquine modulates metabolic activity and proliferation and induces autophagic cell death of human dermal fibroblasts. J Invest Dermatol 2009 Oct;129(10):2419-2426 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1038/jid.2009.80] [Medline: 19357706]



- 17. Ben-Zvi I, Kivity S, Langevitz P, Shoenfeld Y. Hydroxychloroquine: from malaria to autoimmunity. Clin Rev Allergy Immunol 2012 Apr;42(2):145-153. [doi: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1007/s12016-010-8243-x">10.1007/s12016-010-8243-x</a>] [Medline: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1007/s12016-010-8243-x">21221847</a>]
- 18. Belizna C. Hydroxychloroquine as an anti-thrombotic in antiphospholipid syndrome. Autoimmun Rev 2015 Apr;14(4):358-362. [doi: 10.1016/j.autrev.2014.12.006] [Medline: 25534016]
- 19. Pareek A, Chandurkar N, Thomas N, Viswanathan V, Deshpande A, Gupta OP, et al. Efficacy and safety of hydroxychloroquine in the treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus: a double blind, randomized comparison with pioglitazone. Curr Med Res Opin 2014 Jul;30(7):1257-1266. [doi: 10.1185/03007995.2014.909393] [Medline: 24669876]
- 20. Lee S, Silverman E, Bargman JM. The role of antimalarial agents in the treatment of SLE and lupus nephritis. Nat Rev Nephrol 2011 Oct 18;7(12):718-729. [doi: 10.1038/nrneph.2011.150] [Medline: 22009248]
- 21. Costedoat-Chalumeau N, Amoura Z, Hulot J, Hammoud HA, Aymard G, Cacoub P, et al. Low blood concentration of hydroxychloroquine is a marker for and predictor of disease exacerbations in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. Arthritis Rheum 2006 Oct;54(10):3284-3290 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1002/art.22156] [Medline: 17009263]
- 22. Bertsias GK, Tektonidou M, Amoura Z, Aringer M, Bajema I, Berden JH, European League Against Rheumatism and European Renal Association-European Dialysis and Transplant Association. Joint European League Against Rheumatism and European Renal Association-European Dialysis and Transplant Association (EULAR/ERA-EDTA) recommendations for the management of adult and paediatric lupus nephritis. Ann Rheum Dis 2012 Nov;71(11):1771-1782 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2012-201940] [Medline: 22851469]
- 23. Sun L, Liu M, Li R, Zhao Q, Liu J, Yang Y, et al. Hydroxychloroquine, a promising choice for coronary artery disease? Med Hypotheses 2016 Aug;93:5-7. [doi: 10.1016/j.mehy.2016.04.045] [Medline: 27372847]
- 24. Marmor MF, Melles RB. Hydroxychloroquine and the retina. J Am Med Assoc 2015 Feb 24;313(8):847-848. [doi: 10.1001/jama.2014.14558] [Medline: 25710661]
- 25. Leung LB, Neal JW, Wakelee HA, Sequist LV, Marmor MF. Rapid onset of retinal toxicity from high-dose hydroxychloroquine given for cancer therapy. Am J Ophthalmol 2015 Oct;160(4):799-805.e1. [doi: 10.1016/j.ajo.2015.07.012] [Medline: 26189086]
- 26. Navajas EV, Krema H, Hammoudi DS, Lipton JH, Simpson ER, Boyd S, et al. Retinal toxicity of high-dose hydroxychloroquine in patients with chronic graft-versus-host disease. Can J Ophthalmol 2015 Dec;50(6):442-450. [doi: 10.1016/j.jcjo.2015.08.003] [Medline: 26651304]
- 27. Costedoat-Chalumeau N, Galicier L, Aumaître O, Francès C, Le Guern V, Lioté F, Group PLUS. Hydroxychloroquine in systemic lupus erythematosus: results of a French multicentre controlled trial (PLUS Study). Ann Rheum Dis 2013 Nov;72(11):1786-1792. [doi: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2012-202322] [Medline: 23144449]
- 28. Francès C, Cosnes A, Duhaut P, Zahr N, Soutou B, Ingen-Housz-Oro S, et al. Low blood concentration of hydroxychloroquine in patients with refractory cutaneous lupus erythematosus: a French multicenter prospective study. Arch Dermatol 2012 Apr;148(4):479-484. [doi: 10.1001/archdermatol.2011.2558] [Medline: 22508872]
- 29. Chasset F, Arnaud L, Costedoat-Chalumeau N, Zahr N, Bessis D, Francès C. The effect of increasing the dose of hydroxychloroquine (HCQ) in patients with refractory cutaneous lupus erythematosus (CLE): An open-label prospective pilot study. J Am Acad Dermatol 2016 Apr;74(4):693-9.e3. [doi: 10.1016/j.jaad.2015.09.064] [Medline: 26850655]
- 30. Cox NH, Paterson WD. Ocular toxicity of antimalarials in dermatology: a survey of current practice. Br J Dermatol 1994 Dec;131(6):878-882. [doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2133.1994.tb08593.x] [Medline: 7857843]
- 31. Gilhooley E, Feighery C, Collins SM. Hydroxychloroquine prescribing and monitoring practices among Irish dermatologists and rheumatologists. Br J Dermatol 2017 Mar;176(3):831-832. [doi: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1111/bjd.15192">10.1111/bjd.15192</a>] [Medline: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1111/bjd.15192">27868183</a>]
- 32. Kellner S, Weinitz S, Farmand G, Kellner U. Cystoid macular oedema and epiretinal membrane formation during progression of chloroquine retinopathy after drug cessation. Br J Ophthalmol 2014 Feb;98(2):200-206. [doi: 10.1136/bjophthalmol-2013-303897] [Medline: 24187053]
- 33. Yusuf I, Lotery A, Ardern-Jones M. Joint recommendations for retinal screening in long-term users of hydroxychloroquine and chloroquine in the United Kingdom, 2018. Br J Dermatol 2018 Oct;179(4):995-996. [doi: 10.1111/bjd.16782] [Medline: 29770429]

## **Abbreviations**

**AAO:** American Academy of Ophthalmology

**ABW:** actual body weight **HCQ:** hydroxychloroquine **IBW:** ideal body weight



Edited by G Eysenbach; submitted 27.06.19; peer-reviewed by G Lim, J Vidal-Alaball; comments to author 31.08.19; revised version received 14.10.19; accepted 22.10.19; published 19.12.19

Please cite as:

Mleeh NT, Alzahrani NA, Hariri JO, Mortada HH, Algethami MR

Dermatologists' Adherence to the Latest Recommendations for Screening of Hydroxychloroquine Retinopathy in Saudi Arabia: Cross-Sectional Study

Interact J Med Res 2019;8(4):e15218 URL: http://www.i-jmr.org/2019/4/e15218/

doi: <u>10.2196/15218</u> PMID: <u>31855186</u>

©Nouf Talal Mleeh, Nujood Abdulwahed Alzahrani, Jehad Osama Hariri, Hatan Hisham Mortada, Mohammed Ridha Algethami. Originally published in the Interactive Journal of Medical Research (http://www.i-jmr.org/), 19.12.2019. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work, first published in the Interactive Journal of Medical Research, is properly cited. The complete bibliographic information, a link to the original publication on http://www.i-jmr.org/, as well as this copyright and license information must be included.

