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Abstract

Background: Orkut, a Brazilian virtual social network, is responsible for popularization of the Internet among people of low income and educational level. It’s observed that rapid growth of virtual communities can be reached by low cost Internet access in community local area network houses. Orkut poses an important social resource for Brazilian patients with chronic conditions like hepatitis C virus (HCV) carriers, who face several obstacles in adapting to everyday difficulties.

Objective: Identify Patterns of Recurring Demands (PRD) expressed in messages posted by members of virtual communities dedicated to HCV carriers.

Methods: Pre-selection: we identified terms commonly associated to HCV on generic Internet searches (primary Keywords - Kps); Kps were used to identify the most representative HCV communities in a virtual community site (Orkut); all messages published along 8 years on all topics of the community were collected and tabulated; the word frequency was used to construct a “word cloud” (graphic representation of the word frequency) on which was applied a content analysis technique.

Results: The most cited terms expressed: search for information about medications (prescribed and “forbidden”); emphasis on counting time, which were interpreted as surviving expectations; frequent mention of God, doctors, and “husbands” (female carriers were 68%). These elements provided material for further research – they will be useful in the construction of categories in discourse analysis.

Conclusions: The present work is a disclosure of preliminary findings considered original and promising. The word frequency/content analysis approach expressed needs of social support and material assistance that may provide subsidies for further qualitative approach and public health policies aimed to HCV carriers. The study of PRD by word frequency may be useful in identifying demands underestimated by other means.
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Introduction

Psychological complications and physical symptoms arising from hepatitis C are well-known and described as consequence and conditioning factor for recurrence [1]. Therefore, this combination of problems, treatment side effects, perspective of recurrence, and need for radical lifestyle changes, brings challenges to hepatitis C virus (HCV) carriers. It would be impossible to tolerate such obstacles for a long time without the social support from spouses, relatives, friends, and other HCV carriers. It is believed that the support coming from specialized virtual communities (VCs) represents an important resource for HCV patients who encounter obstacles in adapting to everyday difficulties. The Internet offers several tools for organization of virtual networks of chronic patients, which are here presented as an object of study.

The purpose of the present research note is to identify patterns of recurring demands (PRD) posted by members of VCs organized by Brazilian HCV carriers. These preliminary results raised promising hypothesis that will be used by qualitative research experts. A broader “discourse analysis” will be organized based on VC postings and focus groups with HCV carriers in assistance environments.

Methods

Methodology can be summarized as an incremental Internet search in decreasing dimensions of coverage, as described in detail by Carvalho et al [2]. We employed open access algorithms (Google insights) to identify the most common terms associated to HCV in general searches—referred here as primary Keywords (Kps) as expressions of casual and indistinct interest. Orkut was chosen because of its long existence (established in January 2004) and its popularity in Brazil. In Orkut, thematic discussions are organized into “topics” in which messages are posted. The site also has special features for searching in which Kps were applied to disclose “specialized” (carriers) VCs. The VCs that mentioned any of the Kps at least once were selected. We assessed the “relevance weight” among Orkut HCV communities by choosing the ones in which Kps were more frequent. Associations of Kps applied among the most popular and active VCs can neutralize bias caused by arbitrary choices in the pre-selection process. This criteria is based on other algorithms like “page rank” [3], which estimates the relevance of a site using the number of highest expression links directed to it. From this set of VCs, the most representative were chosen by its time of existence, number of members, and mainly by the Kps frequency in discussions. This Dominant Community was considered for study. The relationship of the Dominant Community with its peers was studied through the Community Association Map (CAM, Figure 1), which defines the interrelationships between communities [4] to portray and confirm their dominance around a core of common interests. We developed scripts to collect and tabulate all messages published on all topics over eight years of the community’s existence. A “word cloud” (in which word’s size is proportional to it’s frequency) was generated in wordle to provide a graphic representation of the word frequency (Figure 2).
Figure 1. The Community Association Map (CAM) shows interrelationship between communities of users with the Dominant Community and confirm their dominance around a core of common interests.
Results

Hepatitis C

The main Kps associated with “Hepatite C” found on Google were (in Portuguese): consulta hepatite c; cura hepatite c; exame hepatite c; hepatite c cronica; hcv; medicamento hepatite c; medico hepatite c; prevencao hepatite c; remedio hepatite c; sintomas hepatite c; transmissao hepatite c; tratamento hepatite c; vacina hepatite c; virus hepatite c. The search using these Kps in Orkut resulted in 1476 communities. The highest occurrences of Kps were found in: Hepatite C = 588 topics, HIV - BR = 208; hepatite C = 183; Hepatologia: doenças do fígado (Hepatology: liver disease) = 107; hepatite c informações = 129. In these communities, 20,000 messages were posted by 9066 members (may be overestimated - users might belong to multiple communities).

“Hepatite C” (ID 216788 on Orkut) was considered the Dominant Community because it gathered the largest volume of public content. It was created by a HCV carrier a few months after the Orkut’s launch. It is not associated to any commercial or government institution, and in 2453 days, it gathered 1292 members—68% women and 32% men.

Content of Messages Posted on “Hepatite C”

The message volume in the Dominant Community remained low until the first quarter of 2009, thereafter the number of messages increased significantly, as shown in Figure 3, which matches with the popularization of the site in Brazil [5]. Of the total 47,005 terms found on all topics in 8 years of available content, the most recurring words in frequency analysis (discarding articles, prepositions, and numerals) are presented in Table 1, which also presents a subset of other prominent words (that refers to HCV therapy and potentially toxic drugs to carriers). From the most cited drugs, interferon and ribavirin shared six of the eight stronger relationships between drugs cited in the same message. These findings suggest a demand by the strong association between ribavirin and interferon—its continuing use is a frequent problem to patients of Brazilian healthcare system [6]. The three types of information that are most frequently found with this association are preço (price), bula (medication user instructions), and efeitos colaterais (side effects).
Table 1. Subset of prominent words from the *Dominant Community* forum (translated to English).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Words</th>
<th>Citations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Most recurring words in frequency analysis</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>9581</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>God</td>
<td>4077</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatitis</td>
<td>3329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physician</td>
<td>2800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virus</td>
<td>2674</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interferon</td>
<td>2281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Husband</td>
<td>971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well</td>
<td>3411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do</td>
<td>2822</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ribavirin</td>
<td>1288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients</td>
<td>1279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Recurrent words related to the passage of time</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day</td>
<td>3156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Always</td>
<td>2852</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week</td>
<td>2285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Then</td>
<td>2170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years</td>
<td>1771</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Months</td>
<td>1454</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>During</td>
<td>1199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Therapies for the control of HCV</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interferon</td>
<td>1554</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ribavirin</td>
<td>1048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erythropoietin</td>
<td>317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEGASYS</td>
<td>201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Folic acid</td>
<td>157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEGINTRON</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filgrastim</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silymarin (alternative treatment)</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Potentially toxic drugs to CHCV</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TYLENOL</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omeprazole</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)HCV=hepatitis C virus  
\(^b\)CHCV=chronic hepatitis C virus
Discussion

Principal Findings

The PRD on the Internet are presented here as a low cost method easily applicable for guiding qualitative researchers on data collection. Hypotheses, linking concepts, and “bounding ideas” are essential for the portrayal of social support and can be easily weakened by bias and personal assumptions - which can be preventable by the PRD analysis. The HCV carriers are vulnerable to several psychological conditions and depressive symptoms that are usually identified and reported among them [7,8]. The Identification of PRD in communities with chronic diseases may expand our comprehension about their needs for social networks, presenting demands perhaps underestimated by public health policy makers. It’s interesting to notice that the results presented here support other conclusions recently reached by other methods. Sousa [6] describes carriers expressing their suffering strongly attached to expectations of obtaining medicines and healing in the context of the passage of the weeks, months, and years of survival. The elements described herein provide a basis for further, more detailed, research, in which the PRD are consolidated into central ideas for the construction of analysis categories. The primary purpose of the paper was to furnish unbiased material to a qualitative approach, which could reach findings applicable beyond the immediate boundaries of the study. According to qualitative research literature [9,10], it’s especially effective in cultural research, which deals with values, opinions, and perspectives that can be generalized in a broader view.

Another interesting aspect concerning the method refers to the study of VC through algorithms, a field underused so far despite several remarkable alternatives. In addition to reduced costs compared to conventional field research, there is an opportunity to capture discourses posted in moments of desperate need for support. Here, Internet VCs seem to transcend their merely informative context [11], and acquire a unifying force aimed at overcoming great obstacles [12]. Besides posting messages on topics for mutual enlightenment and social support, maybe HCV carriers feel more comfortable talking about personal difficulties and living conditions when compared to conventional medical consultation enviroment. In general, stigmatized diseases or health conditions encourage individuals to use Internet as a main source of information and environment for sharing experiences [12-14]. Such preferences are not limited to the possibility of hiding identities in the face of uncomfortable topics, but also include the VC role of social support. Pattern analysis identified frequent use of words that suggest a need for spiritual support (God: 4077 citations) and social support (husband, 971). Words indicating the need for material support/care were extensively mentioned: treatment (9581), doctor (2800), and interferon (2281), and the association between Interferon and Ribavirin (also described by Sousa [6]). These results reinforce evidence that patients with chronic diseases have a distinct profile of engagement in virtual communities. We found agile dissemination of certain content and thematic consistency associated with interest in news about innovative therapies (new formulations of interferon; alternative therapies).

Conclusion

The present work is a disclosure of preliminary findings considered original and promising. The word frequency / content analysis approach expressed needs of social support and material assistance that may provide subsidies for further qualitative approach and public health policies aimed to HCV carriers. Research on PRD requires small resources in its development in contrast with important outcomes in terms of depiction of demands from patients with chronic diseases underestimated by other perspectives. The word frequency and content analysis can furnish hypotheses, linking concepts, and “bounding ideas,” which are essential for the portrayal of collective ideas and social support demands. The present findings describe some evidence of need for social and material support that may subside public policies aimed at carriers of HCV.
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Abstract

Background: Non-adherence to prescribed medications is a serious health problem in the United States, costing an estimated $100 billion per year. While poor adherence should be addressable with point of care health information technology, integrating new solutions with existing electronic health records (EHR) systems require customization within each organization, which is difficult because of the monolithic software design of most EHR products.

Objective: The objective of this study was to create a published algorithm for predicting medication adherence problems easily accessible at the point of care through a Web application that runs on the Substitutable Medical Apps, Reusable Technologies (SMART) platform. The SMART platform is an emerging framework that enables EHR systems to behave as “iPhone like platforms” by exhibiting an application programming interface for easy addition and deletion of third party apps. The app is presented as a point of care solution to monitoring medication adherence as well as a sufficiently general, modular application that may serve as an example and template for other SMART apps.

Methods: The widely used, open source Django framework was used together with the SMART platform to create the interoperable components of this app. Django uses Python as its core programming language. This allows statistical and mathematical modules to be created from a large array of Python numerical libraries and assembled together with the core app to create flexible and sophisticated EHR functionality. Algorithms that predict individual adherence are derived from a retrospective study of dispensed medication claims from a large private insurance plan. Patients’ prescription fill information is accessed through the SMART framework and the embedded algorithms compute adherence information, including predicted adherence one year after the first prescription fill. Open source graphing software is used to display patient medication information and the results of statistical prediction of future adherence on a clinician-facing Web interface.

Results: The user interface allows the physician to quickly review all medications in a patient record for potential non-adherence problems. A gap-check and current medication possession ratio (MPR) threshold test are applied to all medications in the record to test for current non-adherence. Predictions of 1-year non-adherence are made for certain drug classes for which external data was available. Information is presented graphically to indicate present non-adherence, or predicted non-adherence at one year, based on early prescription fulfillment patterns. The MPR Monitor app is installed in the SMART reference container as the “MPR Monitor”, where it is publically available for use and testing. MPR is an acronym for Medication Possession Ratio, a commonly used measure of adherence to a prescribed medication regime. This app may be used as an example for creating...
additional functionality by replacing statistical and display algorithms with new code in a cycle of rapid prototyping and implementation or as a framework for a new SMART app.

**Conclusions:** The MPR Monitor app is a useful pilot project for monitoring medication adherence. It also provides an example that integrates several open source software components, including the Python-based Django Web framework and python-based graphics, to build a SMART app that allows complex decision support methods to be encapsulated to enhance EHR functionality.

**KEYWORDS**

electronic health record; personal electronic health record; hospital information systems; medical informatics applications; accountable care organizations; medication adherence

**Introduction**

Non-adherence to prescribed medications is a serious health problem costing an estimated $100 billion per year in the United States [1]. Despite considerable research on poor medication adherence based on retrospective electronic health records (EHR) data analyses, there are few real-world implementations of systems that provide adherence related feedback to clinicians at the point of care [2]. While poor adherence should be addressable with point of care health information technology (IT), integrating new solutions with existing EHR systems require buy-in and customization within each organization. Such integration is made more difficult by the monolithic software design of the majority of EHR products [3].

The software application described in this paper was designed to operate within the Substitutable Medical Apps, Reusable Technology (SMART) framework. SMART [4] is funded by The Office of the National Coordinator for Health Information Technology through the Strategic Health IT Advanced Research Projects (SHARP) program. The goal of the SMART project is to specify an application programming interface to enable use of substitutable applications on EHRs—essentially reimagining EHRs as smartphone-like platforms that run apps [4-6].

The SMART Medication Possession Ratio (MPR) Monitor app implements a recently published medication adherence prediction algorithm [7], which can help identify poor adherers early. The app presents an interface to a physician with information about individual patients. It was designed to access patient prescription fulfillment information from a SMART-enabled EHR, which is currently represented by the SMART reference EHR [8]. Patient data obtained from the EHR is used as input to an adherence prescription algorithm to determine whether a patient is likely to be non-adherent after one year, based on data obtained within the first 60 to 120 days following the first prescription fill. The algorithm used to predict the likelihood of future adherence was derived independently of the MPR Monitor app by statistical modeling with data from a large national insurance prescription fulfillment dataset. In addition to one-year non-adherence predictions, other clinically useful data is computed and displayed. This includes a gap-check algorithm that determines if gaps greater than 30 days have occurred at any time during the prescription for each medication. The organization of the app is illustrated in Figure 1. The figure shows file names from the application code in blue letters in the figure to illustrate the modularity and also as a visual guide for those who would use this as an example for writing a SMART app. The files in the “Core SMART App” box are standard required files for any Django application. SMART-specific code is embedded in these. Codes for numerical simulation are pure python calculations and have no references to SMART or Django. The graphical displays are html and JavaScript, as needed by standard Django programs.

The goal of the MPR Monitor app is to present information to an authorized clinician in a graphical form that can be quickly reviewed to determine if additional action is required. The modular design of this app will allow alternate or additional computations with the prescription data that is obtained by the core app from the EHR, incorporation of new coefficients into the app when external data allows, or addition of new visual components to the physician view. In this way, the MPR Monitor can evolve in functionality with no changes to the EHR programming interface. Our implementation demonstrates an approach to building an application that delivers clinical decision support at the point of care using EHR data. The software design decisions discussed herein are intended to serve as an example and template for other applications that run on top of a SMART-enabled EHR.
**Methods**

**Software Application Development**

Several design decisions were made. Some are common to all Web application design, others specific to the SMART Application Program Interface (API). SMART Apps using the SMART API can be embedded within any SMART-enabled system, which is often an EHR system used by physicians, but might also be a personal health record used by patients, or a data-analytics platform such as [9] used by researchers. Patient data used for adherence monitoring must be marshaled by the SMART container, often an EHR, and exposed by a SMART API.

The SMART framework allows considerable flexibility for choosing programming language, frameworks, and support packages. A SMART app can access the SMART API using either simple Javascript calls from a client library or using standard OAuth authentication and REST (REpresentational State Transfer), a style of software architecture for distributed systems such as the World Wide Web) calls to a SMART container. Both of these approaches can be used to get at the same underlying data. The latter approach is appropriate for applications that may need to perform significant computational processing, such as when using additional external data sources as the MPR Monitor app does.

A SMART REST app may be written in any language with existing OAuth libraries. One such widely used language is Python. Since Python is a general purpose programming language with well-developed libraries for many data analysis tasks, including statistics, analytics, and graphical display, it was a versatile choice for building the MPR Monitor app. A commonly used Python framework for Web application development is Django. Although the server-side processing performed by the MPR Monitor app is relatively simple, we wanted to develop the application within a general framework like Django so that it may serve as an example for more complex app development.

The Django Web framework [10] uses Python as its core programming language. Other python-based Web frameworks exist, but Django is one of the most widely used in commercial applications and is capable of handling complex Web interface demands. We chose Django for the MPR Monitor because it will enable great flexibility for adding future functionality.
also enables the MPR Monitor app to be used by others as a
general-purpose template for creating a wide range of new
SMART apps.

Because the Django is written in Python, mathematical and
statistical modules written with powerful Python libraries, as
illustrated by the “embedded MPR calculation” box in Figure
1, are easily integrated into the framework. Statistical algorithms
can be written entirely in Python code, which can also easily
wrap code written in other languages such as R and C++. In
this way, analytics can be embedded directly into the app to
create flexible and sophisticated EHR functionality.

We emphasize that this medication adherence app is intended
to be a useful tool monitoring medication adherence that can
evolve over time, while providing a general example for app
developers, particularly clinical researchers who confront
real-world health IT challenges and may wish to quickly
assemble apps for testing new ideas. The components made for
monitoring non-adherence risk discussed below can easily be
modified and assembled into new apps.

Non-Adherence Checks

The MPR Monitor implements three different non-adherence
checks. Two of these, the 30-day gap check and the actual MPR
< 0.8 check, are indications of a current adherence problem.
These two algorithms run on all prescription drugs in the
medical record, regardless of drug class. Any medication found
to be currently non-adherent is flagged using a bright red
warning triangle. A third check uses a logistic regression model
to predict non-adherence at one year, based on prescription fill
information at 60, 90, or 120 days following the first fill. The
goal of the prediction is to detect a potential non-adherence
problem and alert the clinician before non-adherence becomes
an actuality. The logistic regression is run on all medications
that pass the 30-day gap detection test, but only for medications
in the three drug classes for which regression models are
currently available, which include antihyperlipidemics,
antihypertensives, and oral hypoglycemics. A medication for
which the patient is non-adherent is flagged with a yellow
warning triangle.

Algorithm Implementation

The origins of the MPR Monitor began with an approach to
medication adherence that was based on monitoring
prescription-filling behavior [11,12]. Although this approach
was an indirect measure of adherence to a prescribed medication
regime, patients who do not fill a prescription are unlikely to
be taking the medication as prescribed. Thus, fulfillment
histories provide a practical approach to detecting
non-adherence. In a retrospective study of dispensed medications
from a large private insurance plan, Jonikas and Mandl, analyzed
over 8.5 million prescriptions and described an approach that
predicted adherence problems one year from the first fulfillment
by analyzing the initial 2 to 4 months of fulfillment data [7].
The primary variable predicted was the medication possession
ratio (MPR), a measure of the number of days a patient
possessed a prescribed medication (based on prescription
fulfillment history) divided by the number of days since the
first prescription fill:

\[
\text{MPR} = \frac{\text{Number of fills} \times \text{daily doses per fill}}{\text{(total days since first fill)}}
\]

Two tests were implemented for early identification of patients
at risk for non-adherence. The first was a straightforward 30-day
gap check used on every medication in the patient’s record. The
gap check subtracts the number of daily medication doses from
the elapsed time since the first fulfillment. If the gap is greater
than 30 days, an alert is triggered. We consider this a more
serious issue, since non-adherence is already detected and use
a red warning symbol to visually alert the clinician. An example
of the red warning triangle can be seen in Figures 2 and 3.

A second test for non-adherence uses a logistic regression model
with two independent input variables, the patient’s age and the
MPR value at the time of the prediction, to predict a binary
outcome, acceptable or poor adherence, one year after the first
fulfillment. The logistic regression parameters were determined
separately for three classes of drugs: antihyperlipidemics,
antihypertensives, and oral hypoglycemics. These drug classes
were selected because improved health outcomes for these
chronic medications require consistent adherence over time
[13-16]. Models to predict MPR value at one year from the first
prescription fill were computed at 60, 90, and 120 days from
the first fill for each of the three different drug classes. The
model description and coefficients were published in the
free-access paper [7], yielding publicly accessible, quantitative
research results. The MPR app demonstrates a way to embed
these results in a clinical decision support tool that may be
interoperable with existing EHR systems. Since future predicted
non-adherence might be considered less serious than actual
non-adherence, a yellow warning triangle is used to visually
signal this adherence problem, as illustrated in Figure 4.

The second drug in Figure 4, cyclobenzaprine hydrochloride,
is typically used as a muscle relaxant to relieve acute skeletal
muscle pain or injury. No predictive modeling was done for
this class of medications, thus predicted non-adherence is not
possible. Importantly, however, if the type of logistic regression
coefficients derived for the three drug classes previously
described [7] becomes available for this or any other drug
classes, the coefficients can easily be added to an external text
file that contains this information for the app, thus extending
its capability. Because the SMART app was designed to be
interoperable with any SMART-enabled EHR, such an extension
will not affect or require any changes to the way the app operates
with these databases.

The MPR can easily be computed at every time for which
medication data for a patient is available and may be used in
an additional test for non-adherence. A common indication of
non-adherence is when the MPR is below 0.8. Thus for any
prescription medication in the EHR, if the MPR is less than 0.8
at the latest time in the record, it is considered a non-adherence
indication and is flagged with a red triangle. It is no longer a
predicted, future non-adherence issue, but a present condition.
A graphical display of the MPR values may be selected so that
a clinician can visually see when low MPR values or gaps occur
throughout the prescription history. This is illustrated in Figures
3 and 4.

http://www.i-jmr.org/2013/2/e13/
Figure 2. The first page displayed to the clinician for a single patient lists all the currently prescribed medications, grouped by categories for which logistic predictions are currently possible in the internal model. These groupings may be useful to the clinician beyond their use in non-adherence prediction. Easily viewed symbols are used to flag actual or predicted adherence problems.
Figure 3. Detailed graphical information about a specific drug fulfillment history appears when the user clicks on any drug from the list shown in Figure 2.

**DRUG CLASS: OTHER**

### Lisinopril 20 MG Oral Tablet

**Large gaps found - adherence is Poor**

*Actual MPR on day of last pill (day 338) is 0.443786982249*

*First fill date: Oct. 12, 2008; last fill date: Aug. 15, 2009*
**Figure 4.** Detailed MPR information for each medication can be displayed in graphical form. Cyclobenzaprine is not in one of the classes for which a 1-year adherence prediction can be made. No current non-adherence issues are detected (gap check and low MPR check), so no warning flags are shown. A green check is not displayed because no future prediction can be made. The one-year MPR for Furosemide is predicted to be below 0.8 at one year in this case, based on patient information and MPR value at 120 days, even though the MPR value at 120 days and the latest date (200 days) is above the threshold. Prescription fill data for Ramipril is available for a full year, and the actual 1-year MPR is above threshold (0.8), so a green check is displayed.

---

**Results**

The MPR Monitor App

Currently, the MPR Monitor app is designed for use by a physician or health care provider to quickly review all medications in a patient record for potential non-adherence. The initial app screen shown once a patient has been chosen is presented in Figure 2. All medications are listed, along with the date of first prescription fill, last fill, and a color-coded symbol that visually indicates adherence level: a green check for adherence, a red warning symbol for current non-adherence (current MPR < 0.8 or a 30-day gap), and a yellow warning symbol for predicted non-adherence if the regression model has
determined that non-adherence is likely at 1 year, based on model predictions from the latest MPR available from 60, 90, or 120 days after the first fill.

If more information about the fulfillment history for a particular medication is desired, the user may click on any medication listed and a plot like that shown in Figure 3 will appear. This page shows visually if a patient possesses medication based on the last fill and current date and assumes that the patient is taking the medication. After all doses have run out, there has been no succeeding fulfillment, a gap is indicated by a break in the MPR line. The actual value of the MPR is calculated and shown for every data point. In this way, the care provider can visualize an entire year of fulfillment history for the medication. It may also be useful to see all medication fulfillment graphs of a patient at one time. This can be requested by clicking the “All Med” button at the top of any page. Plots such as those in Figure 3 appear for all medications. The plots and simple adherence indicators enable health care providers to quickly see medication adherence problems for any patient. Colors, symbols, and shading were used to make visual interpretation of the plot immediate, once the caregiver becomes familiar with the app. Additional detailed information is included in text or numerical form, such as exact MPR values, fulfillment dates, and dosage.

Gaps are easily visualized as gray dropout regions. The running MPR value is shown as a bright blue line. Prescription fill events are shown as circles on the graph. Mouse-over the graph lines will reveal a pop-up display with precise day and MPR values at any point on the graph. Non-adherence predictions are not made for medications that do not belong to one of the drug classes for which the regression model was designed. However, the current adherence in the case illustrated here is poor, based on a 196-day gap. The gap check is done for all prescription medications in the medical record. The required model inputs that are automatically accessed by the app are patient age at time of first fulfillment, the MPR on the prediction day, and the prediction day, either 60, 90, or 120 days since the first fill. This information is either read directly from the EHR (patient age) or derived from information contained in the record (prescription fulfillment history).

The analytics implemented in this app are relatively simple, but illustrate an important principle of reusable technologies: new apps directed at different clinical settings and diseases can be assembled quickly by adopting components from other apps. The core SMART app, the “embedded” calculations component, and the clinician-facing displays are all designed to be reusable components.

Users may run the application remotely using the SMART development sandbox, which can be accessed at [8]. Links to the source code repository may be found on GitHub [17]. The software is listed as the MPR Monitor and can be found either directly on GitHub or through the SMART website listed above.

**Interface Functionality and Design**

Designing a Web interface requires considerable attention to the needs of the client—in this case a busy clinician who will review the medication fulfillment information for each patient. In a clinical setting, we felt that the most important information needed was a complete listing of all the medications that a patient was prescribed, together with a clear and obvious adherence status symbol for each medication. A green check mark indicated adequate adherence, while a yellow or red triangle, a universal warning symbol, signaled an adherence problem requiring attention. We chose to differentiate actual adherence problems (signified by a 30-day gap in medication or an MPR value below 0.8) and predicted adherence problems (where the MPR is greater than 0.8 but is predicted by the app to fall below 0.8 at the 1-year mark). Although the internal criteria for determining adherence or non-adherence might change in future implementations of the app as more data is incorporated into the predictive model, the meaning and use of the bright colored symbols should remain the same.

If a physician desires more information about a specific medication, clicking on the drug name will bring up a graphical display and the MPR values of a patient for a specific prescription over time, generated by Flot. Flot is an open source graphing package that uses the JavaScript plotting library for jQuery, with a focus on simple usage, professional appearance, and interactive features. The Flot package is self-contained in the code distribution of MPR Monitor app. Calls to Flot functions are embedded within the html interfaces found in the standard Django “template” directory. Flot enabled a few interactive features to be built into the app, such as the ability to point the cursor to any point on a graph of the patient’s MPR curve over time and read the numerical value at that time. This interactive feature can be tested on the SMART sandbox. Shading and colors make it visually obvious where adherence was determined to be a concern, according to the adherence criteria built into the app.

In the design of the MPR Monitor app, drug class information was read from an external file, packaged locally with the application in a simple text file. A separate text file contains the regression model coefficients together with the applicable drug class and patient age. This enables the app to be updated with new information in the future if further regression models are tested and become available. The app can thus evolve without new programming or app installation by supplying a configuration file with updated model data.

The SMART MPR Monitor app is currently installed in the SMART reference container (a skeletal EHR with the SMART application programming interface) where it can be used and tested. General information about SMART apps is available on the SMART project home page [4], where which users can create an account and run installed apps on the SMART reference container. Once a new account is created, users can go to the sandbox [8], load the MPR Monitor, and run the app on sample patients provided in the sandbox.

**Discussion**

**Principal Findings**

We have developed a modular application that detects medication non-adherence, is easily maintained and upgraded as new research or data becomes available, and has sufficient generality to be used as an example for other SMART apps.
The design choices were made to enable open source statistical, graphical, and decision support Python packages to be assembled in a SMART-enabled app. Software can be downloaded and modified.

The need for informatics tools that monitor non-adherence to prescriptions is representative of a more general emerging need for more sophisticated data analysis tools that can access, integrate, and find diagnostic information in a wide variety of patient data types. Physicians have always needed to be skilled at gathering diverse sources of data from examinations and tests, synthesizing the data into a diagnosis, and determining a therapeutic strategy based on current medical knowledge and the patient’s particular circumstances. However, advances in medical technology are producing enormous quantities of data that introduce new challenges to the physician for integrating all the information into clinical decision making. As EHRs are deployed widely to collect and manage medical data, and thus help to control costs, improve coordination of care, and eliminate errors, flexible integration of software applications with the EHR would extend their capabilities, and also create a broad market for apps.

In its current form, the MPR Monitor has a modular architecture that includes a clinician-facing interface, statistical routines that compute medication adherence information such as the MPR, and graphical display webpages that report information to the clinician. These can be easily modified or new modules appended to quickly assemble new apps that utilize information from any SMART-enabled EHR system with custom or reusable analytics.

Conclusions
Non-adherence to prescribed medications has many potential causes. Patients may not be able to afford the medications, while some may simply forget or confuse multiple drugs. Others may not understand the importance of continuing a regimen even if they feel better before the regimen ends. Regardless of the cause, the first step in solving the problem is to identify patients who are non-adherent, or those who are likely to be non-adherent before the problem arises.

The SMART API enables ready diffusion of software code and algorithms in the form of apps that can interact with any EHR that has been modified to support the SMART interoperability standard. The MPR Monitor presented illustrates how a SMART application can be implemented in practice. Because SMART apps are readily substituted, future similar apps with improved features, or better evidence-based methods can readily replace the existing versions. The community of users can then determine which apps will be adopted and which will fade away from disuse, just as the value of commercial apps is decided.
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Abstract

Background: Computerized medical records (CMR) are used in most Australian general practices. Although CMRs have the capacity to amalgamate and provide data to the clinician about their standard of care, there is little research on the way in which they may be used to support clinical governance: the process of ensuring quality and accountability that incorporates the obligation that patients are treated according to best evidence.

Objective: The objective of this study was to explore the capability, capacity, and acceptability of CMRs to support clinical governance.

Methods: We conducted a realist review of the role of seven CMR systems in implementing clinical governance, developing a four-level maturity model for the CMR. We took Australian primary care as the context, CMR to be the mechanism, and looked at outcomes for individual patients, localities, and for the population in terms of known evidence-based surrogates or true outcome measures.

Results: The lack of standardization of CMRs makes national and international benchmarking challenging. The use of the CMR was largely at level two of our maturity model, indicating a relatively simple system in which most of the process takes place outside of the CMR, and which has little capacity to support benchmarking, practice comparisons, and population-level activities. Although national standards for coding and projects for record access are proposed, they are not operationalized.

Conclusions: The current CMR systems can support clinical governance activities; however, unless the standardization and data quality issues are addressed, it will not be possible for current systems to work at higher levels.
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Introduction

Clinical governance is an approach to ensuring quality and accountability that incorporates the obligation that patients are treated according to best evidence (Textbox 1).

Computerized medical records (CMR-see Textbox 2) provide a viable mechanism for implementing clinical governance [1]. Computers are involved in all aspects of the clinical interaction-from consulting room to system-level use of large systems that might control entitlement to treatment, screening, recall, and on-line booking of services [2,3]. In Australia, the UK and Netherlands, primary care is highly computerized, with almost all primary care physicians using a CMR; while in the US and Canada, primary care is less computerized, with the hospital sector leading the way [3]. Between 20% and 40% of the clinical consultation is spent interacting with the computer [4-6].

It is important to understand the context within which records are created [7]. Simply having a CMR does not guarantee the creation of a complete record usable for clinical governance purposes; the interaction with the computer in the consultation is complex and evolving [8]. Using a CMR is not a neutral act [9]; there are barriers to using the computer and coding systems [10] and interfacing with them constrains what is recorded [11,12]. However, the CMR does enable the running of decision support programs that can reduce errors, [13] and it can improve quality though audit/feedback cycles [14]. There are issues about the governance of these records and the repositories derived from these data; and formal governance structures are often lacking [15].

We carried out this investigation to see, firstly, how the nature of the design of different vendors’ CMR systems enable and constrain clinical governance and, secondly, how individuals and groups might use computers differently as tools to measure quality and to achieve clinical governance objectives. We describe an assessment tool that would enable others to assess the extent to which any CMR could act as a mechanism within their health care context to support clinical governance.

Textbox 1. What is clinical governance?

Clinical governance is a term first used within the UK National Health Service (NHS) to describe a process for maintenance, improvement, monitoring, and accountability for clinical standards.

The NHS clinical governance process made chief executives responsible and accountable for clinical quality in their organization alongside business goals and budgetary control.

Clinical governance also challenged the “clinical freedom” of doctors. Up to its inception, a doctor only needed to justify their actions in terms of them judged to be reasonable by a group of peers. The onus changed to one where clinicians are expected to deliver best practice, usually as defined in evidence-based guidelines, and to participate in clinical audits of their standards of care.

Persistent deviation from guidelines, or being an outlier in audit might be the cause for review.

Textbox 2. Terminology used in the relation to computerized medical records.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classification system</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A range of terms exists to describe CMR systems. The classifications reflect differences in the following areas:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Purpose: Intended to be a life-long or partial record of a patient’s health or medical treatment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Disciplinary base: Based on the “medical model” or a broader “health” record.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Proprietorship: Owned and controlled by the patient or their proxy, or by the health care provider or health care system.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Definitions:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electronic health record (EHR): A complete “cradle to grave” record of the health and health care provided to an individual.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer-based patient record: Historic term for an EHR-like concept. Sometimes used to indicate “all health related data”.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electronic medical record: Records of the part of a patients care held by a specific medical provider or department. Health care providers generally aim for these to be enterprise wide.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electronic patient record: Similar to EHR, a lifelong record of health and health care provided.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal health records: A complete medical and health care record controlled by the patient or their proxy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Our preferred term:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computerized medical record (CMR): This is a generic term, similar to digital medical record, which implies that this is a record under the custodianship of a medical or health care provider, and is inclusive of partial and complete records.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Methods

Background
This study was a component of a larger systematic review and realist synthesis of clinical governance in primary care [16]. The CMR had the allure of being an unrealized tool to support clinical governance, measuring quality, conducting clinical audit, and ensuring safety (Textbox 3). We therefore undertook an analysis of CMR systems used in Australia, exploring the extent to which the CMR supported clinical governance, including to what extent this reflects contextual factors that may be unique to the Australian context. In keeping with the main study framework, we performed a structured analysis in conjunction with key themes emerging in the main study from a literature review and informant interviews. We analyzed seven CMR products used in Australia, and also their capacity to deliver clinical governance. We concluded by developing a maturity framework for CMRs in relation to clinical governance, and classified the maturity of the various CMRs.

Textbox 3. Scope and role of an information system to support clinical governance.

Computerized information systems can use routine data, and specially captured additional data (eg, patient questionnaires) to audit quality.

Clinical governance makes demands of managers, clinicians, and information systems:

- Managers: Responsible and accountable for clinical standards within their organization; including mechanism for measuring them.
- Doctors: Clinicians are now expected to deliver best practice as defined in evidence-based guidelines; and participate in clinical audit.
- Information systems: It should play a role beyond individual patient care, practice, and locality audits. The CMR should enable practices to benchmark quality, and governments to see there is return on investment by ensuring it supports evidence-based practice.

Patients’ views of the service and their “experience” of healthcare are an important measure of quality, which is missing in current systems.

Realist Evaluation
We carried out a review from a realist perspective, mirroring the approach of the main study [17], modifying the approach previously used to explore the success and failures of the UK National program for IT [18]. A realist perspective is useful in assessing complex interventions as it aims to develop explanatory analyses of why and how these interventions may work in particular settings and contexts. The realists mantra is: “Context (C)” plus causal link with an appropriate “Mechanism (M)” results in an “Outcome (O)”; in other words, “C+M=O”.

Part of the realist perspective is that effects are reported according to the three Ws: “What Works, for Whom, and in What circumstances.”

In realist evaluations, there can sometimes be difficulty in distinguishing context and mechanism. In this analysis, the context (C) is the Australian primary health care context, and the mechanism (M) is the CMR system used at the point of care. Our outcome measure (O) was the ability to produce clinical governance outputs through the ability to monitor quality of care against given criteria and standards. This combination describes how in the Australian context (C), the CMR, might contribute as a mechanism (M) to deliver the outcome measure (O), clinical governance (C+M=O) (Figure 1).

Context
We mapped the primary care context using Lusignan’s 4 component classification [8]:

1. Organization: We considered the ways in which primary health care was organized at the practice, locality, and at the national level in Australia.
2. Individual clinicians: We considered the level of knowledge, skill in operation, and attitude toward CMR among individual general practitioners.
3. Clinical task: We considered the clinical context during which the CMR would be used. This was usually a one-to-one clinical consultation, in which the presence of the desk-based CMR created a triadic clinical relationship [19].
4. Technology: We considered the features of the technology, which are unique to the particular context. Australia is in the process of enhancing broadband access, but this is unequally distributed around the country.

The contextual features discovered through this review were then analyzed in concert with the mechanisms of the CMR described below to develop a nuanced understanding of how the CMR operated in this particular environment to produce governance outcomes.

Mechanism
To identify the ways in which the CMR operated in relation to clinical governance, we used the Donabedian [20] classification of structure and process elements to describe the three types of mechanism by which CMRs may enable the delivery of improved clinical governance: structures, processes of care and review, and processes that impact on outcome. In this study, the software settings were considered to be process elements. For example, a key enabler of clinical governance-such as the presence of a unique patient identifier within the system, essential for data aggregation—would be listed as a key component of the mechanism provided by the CMR.

1. “Structures” included the physical structures and design features (including conventions for room layout, record architecture, and linkages).
2. “Processes of care and review” included software capabilities such as the issuing of prescriptions.
3. “Processes that may impact upon on patient outcomes” included elements such as the ability of the CMR system to detect and block all serious drug interactions.

Each of these categories was subcategorized to produce the detailed tool across the categories (Table 1). The CMR structure
was divided using the Open EHR model of the four separate components of a CMR system: interface, clinical archetypes, coding system, and database.

**Outcome**

We explored factors related to clinical governance outcomes occurring at the level of the patient, the health care provider, and the setting (ie, impact at the population- or health system-level) [21].

**Assessment Tool**

We created a new assessment tool (Multimedia Appendix 1), a bi-axial tool, where the previously-described taxonomies of mechanism and context occupied each of the axes. The cells of the grid are populated with outcomes related to clinical governance for patients/clients, the provider, and the broader population level.

**Assessing the Top Six Brands and One Example of a CMR System With a Low User Base**

The top six CMR systems measured in terms of user base [22] were evaluated using this tool (Textbox 4). We also examined a CMR system with a small installation base (and therefore less organizational resources within the company) as a comparator. For each system, we used either software in demonstration mode or installed software in training mode. The testing was done with simulated patient data, and independently of the software providers, to explore how the system might retain clinical data and enable clinical governance activities. The tool was applied by one researcher and checked for accuracy by experienced users of each system. We elected not to disclose or publish comparison between brands, instead keeping our focus on whether the current generation of CMRs provide a viable mechanism for implementing clinical governance.

**Maturity Framework**

We developed a CMR maturity model, again using the Donabedian classification into structures (including IT architecture issues), process and outcomes, using existing consensus about CMR maturity [23-25]. At the structural level, we looked at the number of vendors and their market share, use of standards and interoperability, and the use of unique patient identifiers and clinical coding (eg, single national coding system). The processes were graded from passive reporting through to active decision support-again looking at individual patient, practice or locality and population levels. Outcomes data were expressed in terms of feedback about quality (Figure 2).

The process and potential of the CMR to influence clinical governance outcomes were graded into a four-level model (Table 2). This grading is multi-dimensional: (1) the agency of the CMR: namely, does the CMR play a passive or dependent role compared to an active or autonomous role in delivering clinical governance, (2) the level of complexity of the transaction and whether or not it is adaptive [26], (3) the degree of integration with other information systems, and (4) the physical integration and linkage processes underpinning it.
**Textbox 4.** Software packages reviewed.

- Medical Director 2 (Health Communication Network, Sydney, NSW)
- Best Practice (Best Practice Software, Bundaberg, Queensland)
- Genie (Genie solutions, Brisbane, Queensland)
- Medtech32 (Medtech Global, Melbourne, Victoria)
- Plexus (iSoft, Sydney, NSW)
- Profile (Intrahealth Systems, Sydney, NSW)
- Promed (Promedicus Systems, Melbourne, Victoria)

**Table 2.** CMR and CG maturity model: moving through passive, interactive, and autonomous modes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level 1</th>
<th>Level 2</th>
<th>Level 3</th>
<th>Level 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Simple</td>
<td>Complex</td>
<td>Adaptive systems</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External adverse event reporting (no use of system)</td>
<td>Reporting involving information from CMR</td>
<td>Reporting using the CMR as vehicle</td>
<td>Interactive reporting where CMR sends and receives information, informing user of the risks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simple prescribing</td>
<td>Prescribing with limited functions (interaction checking)</td>
<td>“Intelligent” prescribing where CMR uses local information such as guidelines to inform prescribing decisions</td>
<td>“Autonomous” prescribing where system integrates internal and external information to determine optimal management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simple audit feedback loops</td>
<td>Audit data compared with external data to assess performance</td>
<td>Audit data pooled and used to develop local benchmarks as well as population health activities</td>
<td>Real-time data aggregation and assessment to allow ‘just in time’ monitoring of population, during pandemics, for example</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Largely External to CMR</td>
<td>Integrated in CMR</td>
<td>CMR linked to other information sources</td>
<td>Integrated into health system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distributed database</td>
<td>Isolated Linkage Integration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 1.** Overview model of the method to appraise whether in the context of Australian primary care the CMR provided a mechanism for driving clinical governance.
Figure 2. Schema of the maturity framework.

**Results**

**Context**

**Organizational**
In Australia primary care is delivered via general practice through around 7000 discrete practices. Practices in Australia have a variety of ownership structures including corporate owners, partners, associates, and sessional general practitioners (GPs). Some CMRs enabled varying degrees of control according to status within the practice-owner, employee, etc. Patients are free to visit any GP of their choice, and GPs act as gatekeepers to secondary care. Mobility of patients between practices means that they lack the stable population denominator of registration based systems such as those found in the UK or Netherlands. Funding is largely fee-for-service underpinned by a national insurance scheme, but there are many accessory payments [27] and other programs [28]. The CMR systems also allowed for different role-based access for nurses and receptionists. Standards for clinical governance have been introduced by the Royal Australian College of General Practitioners [29].

**The Individual Clinician**
The GPs in Australia are trained in the Australian General Practice Training Program. The curriculum for training [29] includes a specific section on eHealth focussed on the practical use of computers, but not their application as a tool for clinical governance. A total of 98% of GPs have a computer on their desk, which they use them for clinical purposes [30]. Most GPs use their CMR for recall, maintenance of immunization registers, monitoring of population health, making clinical notes, and/or recording diagnoses using a clinical coding system [30]. There are some 22+ clinical packages in the market. Over 40% of GPs are involved in some sort of audit or quality assurance cycle associated with using their computer data, usually mediated by the local Division of General Practice [31]. These activities require good data and appropriate extraction techniques.

**Technology**
Although doctors use many sources of information in the consultation [32], it is the clinical packages that can have the largest impact on the clinical outcomes. In general practice, the government has encouraged good data recording through its Practice Incentive Program. Practices have received payments for recording allergies and the creation of summary data in their CMRs.

We identified four technological issues that compromise clinical governance activities:
- Different (and local) coding systems make national and international comparison of quality more challenging.
- The absence of standards meant CMR vendors can choose to develop and implement their own messaging ‘standard’
for use between variants of their program including use of varying flavors of Health level-7 (HL7), with much less scope for quality control and minimizing the risk of inbuilt errors.

- Patient access to the record was absent. Such facilities are not part of the Australian landscape yet.
- Backup facilities were not inbuilt functions of the software, but were integrated into general system backups according to accepted guidelines.

**Clinical Task**

The individual clinician had little influence on the software processes. In comparison with paper records, we felt the CMR disempowered the clinicians—effect ceding many areas of control to the organization or the technology. Customization options were minimal. Some programs did not allow individual doctors to change their passwords without going through an administrator. Access controls for all staff were either set by the program or customisable by a designated administrator.

A significant amount of data required to perform key clinical tasks is now provided by third parties, who have to be trusted themselves to have proper governance systems. The responsibility, governance, and overall control of these information sources sit outside of the CMR. For example, drug information was derived from either government sources or from the industry. Until 2009, the most popular general practice software incorporated screen drug advertising. An audit of these advertisements found that 95% were non-compliant with the Medicines Australia Code of Conduct, though there was a little evidence that this impacted upon prescribing practice [33,34]. Most programs sourced travel medicine advice from a variety of industry sources. Immunization schedule data was the one area that used a common, validated source (the federal government).

There are significant gaps and variability between Australian CMR systems in their drug interaction checking [35], though these issues are international [36]. While there are standards about CMR functionality they largely fail to include how applications should perform in clinical environments [37] especially as the CMR becomes more ‘active’ in the patient space [38].

Some areas were easy to ascribe to an actor, but others were quite complex. Drug Interactions, for instance, required taking an externally provided database, integrating it into the program, and then allowing GPs to potentially customize the level of alert setting, and then integrate all of that into the consultation. Others such as practice audit required a reliable software process that was then dependent on a practice system to make best use of the information.

### Table 3. Contextual elements that support and limit clinical governance using computerized medical records.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Context</th>
<th>Reviewed Elements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Organizational</td>
<td>Accessible by different cadres of practice staff&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Accreditation standards includes clinical governance&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Patients are not enrolled, and can be very mobile&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual clinician</td>
<td>Clinicians receive training in operating computers&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nearly half of Australian GPs are involved in quality audits&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical task</td>
<td>Individual clinicians have little autonomy over the software system, and must respond to its settings&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technology</td>
<td>Variety in coding systems&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lack of standardization&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No patient access&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No back-up systems for CMR itself&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Contextual elements that support clinical governance using computerized medical records.

<sup>b</sup>Contextual elements that limit clinical governance using computerized medical records.

**Mechanism: The CMR**

**Mechanism: Structures**

All systems generated a unique identifier for each patient, and all recorded the Medicare number (a non-unique number used for the federal insurance scheme). All CMR systems utilized a graphical user interface and all had standard clinical archetypes such as history, examination, past history, and social history. All were able to provide a summary view although differences in those views were apparent [39]. All were able to code diagnosis and problem list data, although four different coding systems were used: International Classification of Primary Care, International Classification of Disease version 10 (ICD-10), Pyefinch, and Doctor Command Language. One system that used the ICD-10 classification incorporated the ICD-10 procedure code; thus, the system included more extensive classification on complications of cataract procedures than it did on hypertension. The system required so much clicking to turn off the classification system that doctors reported bypassing the classification system altogether.

None used the Systematised Nomenclature of Medicine–Clinical Terms, the official Australian standard and none required data...
to be entered in a coded fashion, and two of the coding systems are specific to that brand of software. All the CMR systems allowed attribution of data according to login or according to source. Some incoming data (such as specialist letters) required manual attribution, while for data such as pathology, the attribution was automatic.

Every CMR system was able to accept pathology and radiology as atomized data (either HL7 or Pathology Interchange Format). All programs allowed linking of requests with received reports. Four packages allowed both generation of electronic documents and receipt. All used proprietary systems to do this, with little ability to work cross platform.

The CMR systems (in keeping with the genesis of software systems as electronic prescribing packages) had comprehensive drug databases. Most used the database from MIMS Australia, otherwise using information from a variety of sources. Data regarding Australia’s Pharmaceutical Benefits Scheme (PBS), which detailed government subsidies for most drugs, was sourced from the PBS itself. All had the ability to generate drug interactions, although users were able to set the level of drug interaction alerts and in several systems turn them off altogether. Use and availability of drug calculators (weight/dose calculators or warfarin calculators) was extremely variable. All packages had a variety of other external information sources available from within the system.

All CMR systems had immunization information; many had travel information, and one had an extensive library of text based health information resources within the program.

All programs have search functions built into the system. Most have some inbuilt searches (patients over 65 years, eligible patients without a cervical smear in the last five years) that relate to funding initiatives or chronic disease management. The ability to do other searches was quite variable and often required significant computer/database knowledge.

**Mechanism: Processes of Care and Review**

Only four of the CMR systems were able to participate in regional data quality activities. These activities revolve around the Australian Primary Care Collaborative program, The Practice Health Atlas and the PEN Clinical Audit tool [31]. All these activities require the use of an external tool to interrogate the program’s database and generate pooled data. One other package had its own tool to perform similar functions. All programs were able to generate pay-for-performance lists, according to the particular funding initiative.

**Mechanism: Processes That May Impact on Outcome**

No system had inbuilt data quality checks (prescribing insulin without a diagnosis of diabetes for example). One system had its own ‘in-house’ sentinel/research network ability; no other program had such a designated function.

Table 4. Mechanisms that support and limit clinical governance from computerized medical records.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mechanism</th>
<th>Reviewed Elements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Structures</td>
<td>External resources (eg, MIMS) included&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alert to drug interactions&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Accept pathology and radiology results as atomised data&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Limited search facilities&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Variable drug dose calculators&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No standardized coding system&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Processes of care and review</td>
<td>Can generate pay for performance&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Half allow data extraction to participate in audits&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Processes related to outcomes</td>
<td>No inbuilt data checks for quality&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Only one allows in-house sentinel data search facility&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Mechanisms that support clinical governance using computerized medical records.

<sup>b</sup>Mechanisms that limit clinical governance using computerized medical records.

**Outcomes**

**Overview**

When context (Table 3) and mechanism (Table 4) were explored together, we found that the contextual limitations associated with the technology landscape and clinician autonomy over the CMR compounded the limitations identified in the analysis of mechanisms, associated particularly with processes. The result is that these systems have limited demonstrable outcomes in relation to clinical governance.

**Demonstrated Outcomes for the Patient or for the Health Care Provider**

Most medical records are computerized and widely used for clinical governance activities, but these approaches are fragmented [16]. None of the packages dealt effectively with health outcomes, in the sense that they were able to adequately demonstrate improved care from within their own processes. Assessing health outcomes required an interpretive process by accessing and comparing external data. The tool asked for ‘surrogate markers of quality’ and ‘outcome measures’, neither
of which was particularly well or sufficiently defined to be assessed. However, in the future, these features will become of prime importance.

**Outcomes at Population Level**

None of the CMRs was able to deal directly with these issues. However, the ability of the systems to provide data to inform activities at this level is increasingly crucial for health system management if we want to be able to explore what population interventions might have impact. Medicare locals, the regional support bodies for primary care services, are able to use the data for informing practice at a local level [40], but the ability for this data source to influence national activities is currently poor.

**Maturity Framework**

At the structural level, Australian CMRs are well developed but there is scope for further progress against our maturity framework. Lacking are open standards, as yet no implementation of a standard coding system, and probably too many vendors in a relatively small market.

Australian primary care is therefore largely at level 2, with some systems only supporting level 1 and with some systems offering level 3 models. There was no evidence of level 4 systems. Some CMR systems had features that from the international perspective must be a developmental blind alley. The local coding systems are one of these; it is unlikely to ever become part of an interoperable health system.

**Discussion**

**Principal Findings**

In the Australian context, at practice and locality level the CMR works well, and is being used to facilitate clinical governance activities. Nearly all practices have systems with search functionality that enable participation in clinical audit.

However, while practices and localities are widely engaged in clinical governance processes, these are usually being done in an uncritical way. In particular, there is little attention given to data quality, or the obligation to code clinical conditions in standardized extractable fashion.

The record structures are often proprietary and there is a dearth of open architectural models, with many mission critical functions happening within a black box.

**Implications of the Findings**

Benchmarking standards at a national or international level will be challenging if poor data quality and the disparate nature of record systems and system architecture remain unaddressed. Although not a registration based system, denominators such as those who attended in the last year can be used to make comparisons between practices and systems.

It is not possible to have lossless conversion of data held in one coding system to another, and the use of idiosyncratic coding systems increases the risk of data loss. While statistical techniques, in particular multiple imputation [41], can be used to compensate for missing data, this is never the same as having complete data. Black box data extraction processes and audit systems tend to foster uncertainty about the validity of findings.

Disease registers are much more challenging to set up when there is incomplete coded data, and patients with a condition not on a disease register are not going to benefit from computerized prompts or recall. Their standard of care may also be lower. This data quality and use issue will become a major problem as more information is shared.

**Comparison With the Literature**

The complexity of the clinician-patient-computer interaction, touched on in the introduction, is reflected elsewhere in the literature. Patient-centered care [38,42] and relationship-centered care [43] have taken hold and been shown to affect the outcomes. Computerization is changing the balance of power in the interaction [44].

There is no requirement for CMR systems to provide any specific functionality whatsoever, no set of criteria over information use, and no standards over usability or even formally recommended testing protocols [37]. The ‘Swiss cheese’ model of error [45] highlights how gaps in complex systems can result in errors, which in turn can raise patient safety issues. Drug interaction checking is an example of this, with interaction resources needing to be integrated into the prescribing package and then used by the clinician. While the UK National Program for IT has been much criticized, the one area that appears to have stood the test of time has been the rigid implementation of a drug dictionary and messaging standards [46].

Patient access to their records has become the norm elsewhere [47] and increased openness may help ensure good governance. Australia has aspirations to provide patient access through the National “Personally Controlled Electronic Health Record” program. Online access is no panacea; however, uptake of access to very different models of online summaries of care has been poor uptake in both England and France [48].

A comparison with the UK system of CMR driven pay-for-performance suggests that there may be quality gaps that computer mediated incentives might help close [49,50]. Additionally, the UK Primary Care Information Service (PRIMIS) has promoted data quality through a wide range of initiatives. The PRIMIS approach has been one of facilitation and feedback rather than financial incentives. These have been clinically focussed and included looking at disparities in data quality heart disease and improving patient safety [51,52]. However, more recently, the English NHS has gone through a game changing transformation with extraction of data on a National Scale through a system called the General Practice Extraction Service This gives the potential to extract data to measure quality and clinical governance on a national scale. The GPES system has its own Independent Advisory Group (SdeL is the Royal College of General Practitioners representative) to: “Consider the risks and benefits in order to assess whether the extraction is in their view appropriate and in the public interest.”
Limitations of the Method

The evaluation took place at a point in time in 2009, and each package has gone through several upgrades over that time. As such, this analysis is not meant as a detailed critique of the packages with recommendations. It is quite possible that many of the comments here may no longer apply to a specific package. Moreover, it is the first discussion of the increasing influences on clinical governance by CMRs, with a framework that can be applied serially or in different contexts. What is lacking in planning and development is a consistent approach to thinking about CMRs and clinical governance, and what systemic controls should be there.

We might have explored the extent to which the standardization of record formats might have aided comparison and measurement of quality. The Royal College of Physicians, UK, has been very active in trying to standardize reports recording handovers, including admission and discharge [53]; it is likely that such a process would facilitate the implementation of clinical governance process. Although we make reference to the Open EHR initiative in our method we have not fully described its potential impact on standardization, and therefore toward being able to contribute to governance by facilitating the measurement and compare clinical standards on different systems. The two elements of Open EHR we believe that contributes most are its clinical models program, which enables researchers and practitioners to build sharable archetypes of clinical concepts [54]; and the specification program that defines data, services, and application program interfaces and offers the allure of quality certification of systems [55].

There are also other models we might have used for example: Yousuf et al have proposed an adoption model that includes: user attitude and skills base together with good leadership, IT-friendly environment, and good communication [56]. Lau et al have identified factors that influence adoption, and that it includes people, organization, implementation, and the macro environment [57].

These models share some similarity in that they both identify socio-technical aspects of implementation. Had we used either of these models, our subheadings might have been different but our findings are unlikely to have changed. Our selection driven by the wish to emphasize the interaction between organization (which included governance), the individual clinician, the clinical task (which should be of quality) and the technology; and not predefined success factors or progressive levels that should be reached.

Call for Further Research

The observations in this study have not been tested in a controlled trial and are retrospective in nature. Although we have approached this study from a neutral position of identifying factors that helped and hindered there may be bias. One author (CMP) is very familiar with many of the brands of Australian CMR and may have been susceptible to familiarity bias [58], and pointed out issues he was previously aware of. However, SdeL does not share this bias, instead having his experience framed in other countries’ CMR systems. Our assertion is that the CMR is as an instrument of and for clinical governance. At the very least, the CMR provides the tools to enable clinical audit and retrospective analysis of data. At its best the CMR can flag, recall, remind to monitor, and provide information support, and taking an ever more active role in the consultation. The current use of CMRs in Australia supports clinical governance at the individual patient, practice and possibly locality level; but provides no insights at the national level. Where the CMR does not facilitate clinical audit, individual practitioners are blocked from raising quality standards. We need to further test this hypothesis in prospective trials.

Conclusions

We have developed a framework for evaluating how CMR systems support clinical governance in a particular context; and whether the CMR has helped to achieve those goals. By applying the tool to several different brands of Australian CMRs, we have highlighted the issues that exist today, but importantly shown a graded way forward using a simple model and maturity framework that we hope can be readily followed by clinician users of these systems.

The limitations of the process relate to the heterogeneity of the data and their sources, the continuing change over time, but above preeminent is the lack of implementation of standards. While CMR implementation in Australia has enabled better clinical governance improving systems technical capability and rigorous standardization is needed to enable more comprehensive assessment of quality and outcomes for patients.
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Abstract

Background: Telehealth care is increasingly being employed in the management of long-term illness. Current systems are largely managed via “stand-alone” websites, which require additional log-ons for clinicians to view their patients’ symptom records and physiological measurements leading to frustrating delays and sometimes failure to engage with the record. However, there are challenges to the full integration of patient-acquired data into family physicians’ electronic medical records (EMR) in terms of reliability, how such data can best be summarized and presented to avoid overload to the clinicians, and how clarity of responsibility is managed when multiple agencies are involved.

Objective: We aimed to explore the views of primary care clinicians on the acceptability, clinical utility, and, in particular, the benefits and risks of integrating patient-generated telehealth care data into the family practice EMR and to explore how these data should be summarized and presented in order to facilitate use in routine care.

Methods: In our qualitative study, we carried out semi-structured interviews with clinicians with experience of and naïve to telehealth care following demonstration of pilot software, which illustrated various methods by which data could be incorporated into the EMR.

Results: We interviewed 20 clinicians and found 2 overarching themes of “workload” and “safety”. Although clinicians were largely positive about integrating telehealth care data into the EMR, they were concerned about the potential increased workload and safety issues, particularly in respect to error due to data overload. They suggested these issues could be mitigated by good system design that summarized and presented data such that they facilitated seamless integration with clinicians’ current routine processes for managing data flows, and ensured clear lines of communication and responsibility between multiple professionals involved in patients’ care.

Conclusions: Family physicians and their teams are likely to be receptive to and see the benefits of integrating telehealth-generated data into the EMR. Our study identified some of the key challenges that must be overcome to facilitate integration of telehealth care data. This work particularly underlines the importance of actively engaging with clinicians to ensure that systems are designed that align well with existing practice data-flow management systems and facilitate safe multiprofessional patient care.
Introduction

Background

The changing global demography poses the twin challenges of an aging population, who suffer from a high and increasing prevalence of long-term conditions, and the falling numbers of people who can provide care for them. This realization has catalyzed international interest in self-monitoring and self-management of long-term conditions as one possible solution to this problem. Telehealth care, which uses information technologies (IT), to support such self-monitoring has the potential to be particularly useful in this context [1]. It has been used in a wide variety of conditions, principally the management of congestive heart failure (CHF) [2], diabetes mellitus [3], hypertension [4], chronic obstructive pulmonary disorder (COPD) [5], and asthma [6]. In most models of care, patients record symptoms (eg, breathlessness and cough) and physiologic data (eg, weight, blood pressure—BP, peak expiratory flow, and blood glucose). These data are then relayed via the Internet to a central server from where these are made available in a variety of summarized forms to both the patients and clinicians by providing alerts when preset symptom scores or physiologic parameters are breached. Clinicians may view data as needed, for example, daily for less stable conditions such as COPD and CHF or less frequently where there is less likelihood of rapid deterioration such as hypertension or diabetes.

Telehealth Care Data and Electronic Medical Records

The adoption of new IT systems of care is sometimes met with resistance from health practitioners, this often, at least in part, stemming from fears of increased workload [7,8]. Usability of such systems is paramount in determining if they will be successfully integrated within normal working patterns [9,10]. Throughout the course of our program of randomized controlled trials (RCTs) in telehealth care [11], a recurring issue has been the inability to integrate telehealth care-generated data into the electronic medical records (EMR) of family physicians [12-14]. Data are, therefore, usually stored on a separate website, which necessitates additional security log-ons and, in some cases, double entry of data resulting in lengthening of the consultation [15] and possibly introducing new data security risks. Finding a solution to these issues will become increasingly important as telehealth care systems become more widely deployed. The challenges of interoperability and data integration particularly affect care coordination, which is increasingly viewed as an essential component of patient-centered comprehensive care (reflected in the United States, for example in the concept of the patient-centered medical home) [16,17].

The National Institutes of Health in the United States held a conference in 2009 on the future of telehealth and identified the integration of telehealth data into EMR as a high impact topic that could potentially determine the success and future of telehealth [18]. Systems are now being developed to support integration of telehealth care-generated data into the EMR. However, it is not clear what preference physicians and general practice staff may have in terms of the types of data they would like uploaded into their systems, how these data should be summarized, what data reliability considerations should be considered (eg, the accidental inclusion of erroneous readings, such as improbably low weights, normally ignored by clinicians), and what medicolegal concerns clinicians may have.

We aimed to investigate the views of family physicians and their teams on the acceptability and clinical utility of integrating telehealth care data into EMR. In particular, we sought to understand what they viewed as the risks and benefits of importing such data and how they should be presented and summarized in order to maximize acceptability and thereby facilitate use.

Methods

Design

We undertook a qualitative study—through general practices in Edinburgh, Scotland, United Kingdom—which consisted of semi-structured interviews with primary care practice staff following demonstration of pilot software, which illustrated a variety of methods by which data could be incorporated into the EMR.

Sampling and Recruitment of Practices and Participants

From practices that had been involved in our RCTs of telehealth care monitoring in hypertension and diabetes, a family physician and a practice nurse who were personally involved in telehealth care management were selected. However, we also considered it important to determine the views of family physicians and nurses who may be less familiar with telehealth care technology as those practices who had agreed to take part in telehealth care studies may preferentially have interested “early adopters” [19,20] and any large scale roll-out of telehealth care will need to involve those who tend to embrace such technologies less readily.

We therefore aimed to purposefully sample physicians and practice nurses representing a range of ages from telehealth care experienced and naïve practices, from areas of differing socioeconomic levels, and family practice size. Initial contacts were made through a personal approach to potentially suitable clinicians who had taken part in our telehealth RCTs. We also approached practices who had previously been invited to take part in the RCTs, but had decided not to and also other nonparticipating practices in the Lothian research network. In addition, we interviewed two specialist community respiratory physical therapists who had participated in previous telehealth care research and could provide complementary insights into how the integration of telehealth data into the primary care EMR could impact on the wider multidisciplinary care team.
Data Generation

The interviewees were shown pilot software developed by the Department of Health’s National Health Service Connecting for Health (CfH) Informatics Directorate Assistive Technology Programme team in association with Newham Primary Care Trust London, which was designed to link patient accrued data from the Philips Motiva [21] telehealth system with the EMIS [22] Web GP EMR system (which is one of the most commonly used systems in the United Kingdom). This was shown as a PowerPoint presentation and animation on a laptop computer. This pilot software enables interoperability between health care systems, allowing telehealth care-generated data (eg, BP readings) to be viewed using the family practice EMR system and then permanently filed into the patient EMR. A full description and screenshots from the system are included in Multimedia Appendix 1. Clinicians who had not been involved with telehealth care were, in addition to the presentation, given details of how a telehealth care system works and given an indication of the quantity and quality of data that are expected to be generated by such systems.

In depth, face-to-face interviews with family physicians and practice staff were carried out at the practices following the software demonstration. Interviews were digitally audio-recorded and transcribed. An initial topic guide (see Multimedia Appendix 2), based on established research on diffusion of innovation in health service organizations [23], was used to aid discussion, and this guide was reviewed and iteratively refined during the process of data collection and analysis.

Data Analysis

Thematic analysis [24] was used to identify the factors that might influence the integration of telehealth care-generated data into the family practice EMR. Analysis was supported by NVivo. Transcripts were repeatedly read and coded to include both anticipated and unanticipated themes. Analysis was ongoing to allow emerging themes to be fed back into the data collection. Constant comparison was employed to ensure that the thematic analysis represented all perspectives and negative cases were actively sought [25].

A coding framework was drawn up by the research team as new themes emerged. The coding framework was informed by the aims of the research and research questions and previous research in examining the processes whereby telehealth innovations are developed, implemented, and sustained [7,23]. As analysis was ongoing, the content of the latter interviews could be examined against the coding framework (Textbox 1).

During the emergence of the data, it became apparent that several of the themes provided an outline of the “optimal” telehealth care system design desired by family physicians and their teams. These data were, therefore, further discussed by the project team and combined to create a model data pathway for a telehealth integration system (Figure 1).

Textbox 1. Thematic organization.

| Perceptions of workload:                                                                 |
| System design                                                                            |
| Previous experience of telehealth systems                                                |
| Efficiencies through improved access                                                     |
| Ease of use                                                                              |
| Training and support                                                                     |
| Data management                                                                          |
| Amount of data                                                                           |
| Flexibility of data parameters                                                           |
| Data flows                                                                              |
| Data coding                                                                             |
| Payment                                                                                 |
| Safety:                                                                                 |
| Impact on professional-patient relationship                                              |
| Data quality                                                                             |
| Risks of data overload and error                                                         |
| Confidentiality                                                                         |
| Liability                                                                               |
Figure 1. Model data pathway for telehealth integration system.
Results

Participants’ Characteristics and Software Demonstration

The completed dataset comprised 20 participants. We recruited 10/20 (50%) professionals with experience of telehealth care and 10/20 (50%) without any prior experience, 10/20 (50%) were family physicians, 8/20 (40%) practice nurses, and 2/20 (10%) specialist respiratory physical therapists. The participant’s characteristics, including who had experience of telehealth care, are outlined in Multimedia Appendix 3. The software was demonstrated either in the participants’ own home or in workplace according to their preference and the interview took just under an hour.

Main Themes

Overarching Themes

The main themes identified are discussed below and summarized inTextbox 1. In addition to these key themes, there was discussion of the utility of telehealth more generally; however, this is not discussed here as similar findings have been published elsewhere [12,13,26].

There were two overarching themes, which encompassed the barriers and facilitators to integrating patient accrued data. These were:

- **Perceptions of workload** which incorporated the importance of good system design and training, the likely quantity of data that would have to be processed, and if physicians should be given additional payment for overseeing the integrated data.

- **Safety** which encompassed concerns about the possible impact on physician-patient consultation, confidentiality issues, data quality, error due to data overload, interprofessional communication and responsibilities, and, related to these issues, concerns about liability.

Perceptions of Workload

System Design

Previous Experience of Telehealth System Design

Participants with preexisting knowledge of telehealth were generally most positively disposed toward IT and were more enthusiastic about integrating telehealth care data into the EMR. This positive attitude arose because their previous involvement in telehealth was colored by the frustrating and time-consuming experience of having to access an additional website for results. However, other participants described their own lack of IT experience or expertise may deter them from adopting and, in turn, adapting to new systems. For some clinicians, suggestion that they should engage with additional IT posed a seemingly insurmountable barrier.

Some people would absolutely freak out, even some of our colleagues. I don’t know if that’s the sort of... anybody’s said that, because some people are just absolutely at breaking point with regard to using technology and some people it’s just the last kind of thing that they can take, do you know what I mean?

[Family physician—FP3 Telehealth care naïve—TN]

Efficiencies Through Improved Access

The pervasive benefit from adopting an integrated system was seen to be improved access to data. When access involved an additional log-on many doctors and nurses (except those directly involved in a trial) did not access these data; however, they felt that with data integrated into the EMR they would definitely utilize it for patient management.

It’s just the fact that you had to then print off all the details from your telehealth and then transfer all those details into the patient’s notes. ... So, if it was transferring into the patients’ clinical notes automatically I think it would be great. [Practice nurse—PN9 Telehealth care experienced—TE]

Despite concerns over increasing workload, there was recognition of the potential for an integrated telehealth system to reduce consultations and home visits while enhancing patient care.

Certainly going to give you a better picture of really where a patient is sitting at, if you’re getting all these current results coming in... What I’m trying to say, you might prevent a whole load of unnecessary visits, medications, if you’re actually getting a clearer picture of where they are. [PN19 TN]

Ease of Use

Among all participants, it was a priority that any future system is simple to use and terms such as “user friendly” and “intuitive” arose frequently in their discourse. “Reducing the number of clicks... that you need in order to deal with something...” [FP10 TE] was deemed essential.

Yeah, keeping it, probably, keeping it simple, keeping it easily accessible, so, you know, a click away is always what they say for a lot of these things, and clear and concise with not, you know, just keeping the information basic without having to read through screeds and screeds [a lot] of stuff that’s potentially not necessary for what your job entails. [PN15 TE]

Training and Support

Although the demonstrated system appeared relatively easy to use, training was thought important and this would, as suggested, have to be tailored to individuals’ IT knowledge. Any additional new software for managing the integrated data, including setting preferences for displaying data and warnings, needed to closely resemble the existing EMR as much as possible. It was suggested that if the screen presentation, commands, short-cuts, etc for displaying the data were similar to the EMR, this would reduce the training required. Training could also be assisted by a few members of staff particularly trained as “on-site experts”, who could provide a first line of support to the practice, and by follow-up training sessions scheduled once physicians and nurses have trained the system.

No, I think you’d try and design it so there was virtually no training required. You’d try and design so that the dataflow was no different to dataflow in
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the practice... depending on other sources, cause you can make it different... [FP7 TE]

Data Management

Developing a System

Overall, it was felt that barriers to adoption would be greatly reduced by developing a system that was as compatible as possible with existing working practices:

You need to pick a system that does what you want it do, whereas what we were given here [previous telehealth system] was a system and we had to try fit with it. Whereas, actually, the idea of telehealth is it needs to fit with what you’re doing. [PT4 TE]

Amount of Data

The participants felt it was important that a system should allow choice of exactly what, and how much, data get imported into the EMR as otherwise “you don’t see the wood from the trees” [FP7 TE]. It was considered essential that the EMR was not “cluttered” with telehealth information to the detriment of other clinical information. The amount of data desired also varied according to the disease being monitored and who was the main case manager. They suggested that a filtering facility may be beneficial on the working screen, allowing display of imported data among routine health care consultations, or remove it to view consultations alone. Data summaries were preferred as opposed to raw figures. Therefore, for some, graphical presentation summarizing patient data with easy access to a fuller report from within the EMR were viewed as extremely useful. However, views on the utility of graphical presentation were mixed and related to personal preference. The ability to choose format was therefore desirable. Participants who preferred graphical data described this as a useful aid in consultation with patients.

What primary care wants is the report... but you probably don’t need all the 40 values... and, I suppose, telehealth is no different... you know, what you want is the range... for that person, and somebody can give you the variability. [FP7 TE]

Flexibility of Data Parameters and Alerts

All clinicians valued the flexibility to set data parameters specific to each patient, which trigger an alert if readings fall outside these limits, with the caveat that parameters were easily set and visible alongside any results they received. There were concerns, however, about who set parameters and lines of responsibility; how often these would need to be updated (eg, when people’s clinical condition or treatment changed); the workload involved; and how all care teams would be alerted when people’s clinical condition or treatment changed); the responsibility; how often these would need to be updated (eg, people not taking measurements, faulty equipment, etc) as the workload was too great and not an efficient use of their time.

I think, it could be useful, I think, if you’re going to design that you probably want to design an option to turn the pop-ups on or off... I, personally, don’t like those [referring to fading reminders] because if you’ve turned it on, and you’re talking to the patient, because, and not looking at the screen, you might not see it. [FP17 TN]

Data Flows

Data flows arose as an important aspect of the system design. Data flow depended on the disease and number of patients being monitored. For most conditions, physicians felt that data should initially be screened by a nonclinical worker (eg, a telehealth care service), to screen out any technical problems (eg, people not taking measurements, faulty equipment, etc) as the workload was too great and not an efficient use of their time.

If you have... a thousand patients on telehealth, it’s not an effective use of clinician time, so, we’re back to the nonclinician following an algorithm of whether to phone, or not... one of the lessons we learnt with telehealth in Lothian was that doing it at a practice level is not very efficient.... [GP7 TE]

Doctors stressed that those messages requiring “action” should be sent to the lead care provider and those for “information only” sent to the entire care team and clearly labeled as such. A priority was that data, particularly “action” messages, did not go astray.

Yes, there has to be some way of making sure that somebody sees important—yes, I think there has to be some grading of how urgent things are... if you could set parameters above which something would flash up or—but, yes, the reception staff who are directing things would sort that out. [FP13 TN]

So, you need, you, probably, want all data information to go into a central point in the practice... and then somebody to workflow it in the practice... otherwise if I go off on holiday for 3 weeks then it’s going to sit in my inbox for 3 weeks... and nothing’s going to happen. [FP7 TE]

There was concern that telehealth integration could potentially disrupt existing plans of care. Consequently, interdisciplinary communication and the development of service agreements, as to who dealt with what information and how this was communicated to the entire team, were seen as essential to the implementation and safe delivery of the service.

But it seems that there could become this situation where we’re getting results, the specialist nurses are already going in and there could be this scenario of who’s dealing with what? [FP3 TN]
Several physicians and nurses suggested that ensuring data (which did not require emergency action) that reached the appropriate case manager could be assisted by normalization within the current data flows to assimilate everyday dealings with existing data sources such as laboratory results or hospital letters. Existing practice flows included a central practice inbox, visibility of abnormal results in clinician’s workflow and individual records simultaneously, and the ability to feedback to other practice staff and care teams for any actions taken based on these results without adding excessively to the clinical workload. A model pathway based on these discussions is shown in Figure 1.

Data Coding

Another important design feature was enabling imported data to not only appear on the consultation page, but also simultaneously populate the clinical records result pages, including graphs. Presentation of data needed to be clearly delineated from other information, and imported data should not occupy much space on the consultation screen. For example, it was suggested that incoming telehealth messages should be visually distinct from other messages in the inbox and state exactly what data are enclosed. This would be achieved by ensuring data entries were appropriately coded and it was suggested that codes should allow differentiation of telehealth readings from readings taken in the practice or elsewhere (linked color coding or annotation).

I think it’ll be quite important to somehow integrate it so that if you wanted to see all the blood pressures they would all be there regardless of where they were taken, so that it’s not a completely separate system but that you would actually have everything at a glance. At the same time though, be it with a different color or a note or something, to say that they were from a different system or home readings. [FP12 TN]

Color was also thought to help information processing. Highlighting normal parameters on the graph as shaded or colored was suggested; these should ideally be values set for specific patients and not generic.

Color just because, like I said previously, there’s so much to read, there’s so much to do... Certainly, the graph’s good because it’s instant and you can—it instantly tells you we need to look at that. [PN11 TN]

Reimbursement

Clinicians identified financial incentive as a strong driver toward implementing an integrated system. Some thought that additional financial incentives were required to persuade family physicians to adopt the system, and for taking on additional workload. However, it was also recognized that in the United Kingdom, data recording to demonstrate the achievement of targets in the management of long-term conditions is already financially incentivized. The integrated system had the potential to facilitate this.

If you market it from a QOF point, I think every GP practice would take it on. … Just always market it as that, it's going to help you get your QOF points. [PN18 TE]

Safety

Professional-Patient Relationship

Positively, it was considered that integrated delivery of care may encourage self-management and mutual respect between clinicians and patients. The integrated system was unlikely to influence clinicians’ manner, as most have adapted to computers within their patient consultation. However, concern over moving toward a data-focused approach was raised including potentially missing clinical cues and interpreting data in a vacuum.

I suppose, general practice, I suppose, always focuses on people, patients, persons, real people, and of course recordings are part of a picture, but I think you have to be careful you don’t get caught up with what the machine is saying you feel, rather than actually how you do feel, and I think there is a wee danger, if you become too focused simply on measurements, actually that becomes your goal. [FP1 TN]

Data Quality

Participants identified risks associated with patient self-monitoring, their competence in taking readings, and the implications of integrating the data permanently into clinical records. Ways of handling these concerns included ensuring patients’ received good training that their equipment and techniques are reviewed.

All of a sudden you’ve got a reading which makes no clinical sense. If you could somehow remove that or put it there but not actually making it count with a reason for it… you can’t delete them, you just have to put a comment on it…. [FP12 TN]

Risks of Data Overload and Error

Increased workload was predicted in checking incoming results and also “actioning” anything abnormal, and work overload risked negative consequences on care.

I don’t know... if you’re inundated with too much information, and it’s all normal information then the ones that need acting on might, it’s easier to miss them. [FP17 TN]

Confidentiality

There was acknowledgement among participants that confidentiality of data could be a risk associated with integration of telehealth data into the EMR; however, greater concern was expressed over the confidentiality of current paper-based results and the Web-based telehealth system.

Protective factors were the existence of health care professionals’ codes of conduct and obtaining informed consent from patients.

The other thing is the website that we use at the moment, I’m not sure how safe it is, from an...
Strengths and Limitations
A strength of our study was that the project team came from a mixed background of clinical, research, and IT experience that provided rounded understanding and input into the creation of the coding framework. In addition, the research fellow had broad experience as a clinician, researcher, and public health specialist; thus perhaps enabling more frank discussion as the researcher appreciated the context in which the interviewees were working and the way in which this technology may interact with their working practices.

While we were successful in recruiting a range of clinicians from both telehealth naive and experienced practices in a range of practice size and deprivation, it may be that those expressing an interest in this type of study were more interested in technology than the general population. As in previous studies the use of demonstration software helped stimulate discussion; however, the ability to interact with the software in a “live” situation would have been preferable. The EMIS EMR software was unfamiliar to some using alternative EMR software and this may have reduced their ability to see the full potential of the integrative software. Finally, the research was carried out in only one country which raises potential issues in respect of transferability.

Comparison With Prior Work
Our results are in keeping with the literature—including the "information-only" summaries of the full dataset from a summarized chart is extremely useful in only one country which raises potential issues in respect of transferability.
as a useful framework in considering introducing telehealth care for chronic conditions [28]. Normalization has been defined as “an ongoing cycle of activity aimed at making a new practice ‘fit in’ with the work of individuals and their context of practice” [29]. Our overarching theme “perceptions of workload” reflected the NPM dimension of “interactional workability” in terms of how the work would take place and whether the telehealth innovation would increase or decrease the ease and efficiency of their work. This theme also incorporated elements of “contextual integration” in terms of how the health care organizations may provide resources to reimburse the additional time and effort required by the telehealth innovation. Our other overarching theme of “safety” relates to the concepts of “relational integration” and “skill set workability” in terms of how the telehealth care system may alter the health care team relationships, division of labor, boundaries of practice, accountability, and confidence in the safety of the system [30].

Our findings also reflected the broader literature on conditions that influence clinicians’ decision to adopt or reject innovations in health care settings in that we identified the influence of “system antecedents” on the adoption of an integrated system [19,23,31]. Participants’ prior experience with telehealth care particularly acted as a driver toward an integrated system as they understood difficulties with the existing system, could visualize the potential of integration, and this overcame resistance to change [32]. Ease of use of the system was another important driver to adoption which is a common feature of several existing models of information technology acceptance [33] and is defined as “the degree to which a person believes that using a particular system would be free of effort” [34]. Additional drivers to adoption were identified as enhanced patient care, confidentiality, and financial benefit.

Likely barriers to adoption were participants’ unfamiliarity with IT, negative experience with implementation of preceding IT systems, and particularly the compatibility of the integrated system with their normal work practices and ethos. Compatibility of telehealth with health care delivery has previously been acknowledged as having an important role in determining telehealth adoption [35]. Furthermore, the need to ensure clear evidence-based care plans that inform decision making [16], and the importance of recognizing any additional workload which may arise as a result of non-face-to-face clinical encounters have been identified as challenges in multiple settings, including the emerging concept of the patient-centered medical home [17].

Other perceived challenges included workload, ensuring data quality and confidentiality, liability risks, and sustainability. Surprisingly, liability was not as strong a concern as has been suggested by previous studies [27,36,37] as many saw the additional data as no different from other sources of data with which they were used to dealing and taking responsibility for. The principal risk perceived by these clinicians was increased workload. If, however, the system was designed to accommodate their needs and usual practices, they could also see substantial benefits in terms of accessing and streamlining telehealth data, potentially reducing consultations and home visits and enhancing patient care.

Our recent systematic review of eHealth literature [1] identified that considerable changes to consultation dynamics and workflow processes can occur with the introduction of telehealth care. For an integrated system, the potential changes included more immediate patient demands and altered care pathways. Consequently, participants emphasized the importance of interdisciplinary communication and service agreements to delineate roles and responsibilities in the delivery of care.

Conclusions

There is a growing evidence base informing deliberations on the use of telehealth to manage long-term conditions. A key success consideration is how the technology integrates into routine practice and for this to happen it must be seen as both easy to use and effective. The lack of integration of telehealth data with the EMR has been a source of frustration for the physicians and nurses attempting to use these systems in trial contexts [12,14]. Our study has demonstrated the potential acceptability and clinical utility of a telehealth integrated system among primary care clinicians, with specific caveats strongly expressed by the participants to ensure compatibility with existing care practices and normalization of data flows. Our work has provided clear pointers to the system design preferred by clinicians and should therefore contribute to future systems development as telehealth care moves from an experimental phase to a technology that is embedded into routine models of care delivery.
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Abstract

Background: The Great East Japan earthquake, subsequent tsunamis and the Fukushima nuclear incident had a tremendous impact on Japanese society. Although small-scale surveys have been conducted in highly affected areas, few have elucidated the disaster’s effect on health from a national perspective, which is necessary to prepare national policy and response.

Objective: The aim of the present study was to describe prefecture-level health status and investigate associations with number of aftershocks, seismic intensity, a closer geographical location to the Fukushima Nuclear Power Plant, or higher reported radiation dose in each prefecture even after adjusting for individual socioeconomic factors, by utilizing individual-level data acquired from a national cross-sectional Internet survey as well as officially reported prefecture-level data.

Methods: A Japanese government research institute obtained 12,000 participants by quota sampling and 7335 participants were eligible for the analysis in an age range between 17 and 27 years old. We calculated the percentage of people with decreased subjective health in each prefecture after the earthquake. Variability introduced by a small sample size for some prefectures was smoothed using empirical Bayes estimation with a random-intercept logistic model, with and without demographic factors. Multilevel logistic regression was used to calculate adjusted odds ratios (ORs) for change of subjective health associated with prefecture-level and individual-level factors.

Results: Adjusted empirical Bayes estimates were higher for respondents commuting in the northeast region (Iwate 14%, Miyagi 19%, and Fukushima 28%), which faces the Pacific Ocean, while the values for Akita (10%) and Yamagata (8%) prefectures, which do not face the Pacific Ocean, were lower than those of Tokyo (12%). The values from the central to the western region were clearly lower. The number of aftershocks was coherently associated with decreased health (OR 1.05 per 100 times, 95% CI 1.04-1.06; P<.001) even after adjusting for covariates (OR 1.02 per 100 times, 95% CI 1.00-1.05; 1.32 per 1000 times, 95% CI 1.03-1.71; P=.049). In contrast, seismic intensity of the initial earthquake (OR 0.87, 95% CI 0.65-1.17; P=.36), radiation dose (OR 1.16, 95% CI 0.82-1.64; P=.41), and distance from the Fukushima Nuclear Power Plant (OR 1.00, 95% CI 0.99-1.00; P=.66) were not. Change in job condition (OR 2.05, 95% CI 1.72-2.45; P<.001), female (OR 1.43, 95% CI 1.19-1.69; P<.001), higher age (OR 1.06 per year, 95% CI 1.02-1.11; P=.005), and duration of evacuation longer than 4 weeks (OR 1.44, 95% CI 1.06-1.97; P=.02) seemed to decrease perceived health status.

Conclusions: We found nationwide differences that show decreased health status because of the Great East Japan disaster according to prefecture. The number of aftershocks, change in work conditions, being female, a higher age, and duration of the evacuation were risk factors for the population after the major earthquake, tsunamis, and nuclear incident.
KEYWORDS
earthquakes; health communication; Internet; nuclear power plants; risk management

Introduction

The Great East Japan earthquake (Tohoku earthquake) on March 11, 2011 and the subsequent tsunami had a tremendous impact on Japanese society [1]. Furthermore, the Fukushima nuclear accident, ranked 7, which is the most severe on the International Nuclear Event Scale, forced large numbers of people and industries to evacuate the area [2,3]. The explosion at the Fukushima Nuclear Power Plant (NPP) released substantial amounts of radioactive materials into the sea [4] and into the atmosphere, resulting in higher levels of radiation reaching as far as the Tokyo metropolitan area, which is 200-300 km away from the Fukushima NPP [5]. A record number of 3945 aftershocks during March after the initial earthquake and nearly 10,000 aftershocks over the following 2 years have been a stark feature of the disaster [6,7]. The persistent aftershocks and lack of credible information provided by authorities of the national government caused distress among people across Japan [8-10]. Despite such concern about health nationwide, there were no rapid assessments of nationwide health status and implementation of strategic announcements, partly because of the logistical challenges involved, but mainly because of the absence of preparedness and coordination between the central and local governments, medical communities, nongovernmental organizations, and volunteer groups [1]. Assessing public health status promptly across a nation is of major relevance to health policy decision makers as well as researchers looking at disasters.

Unlike impromptu and unsystematic surveys on health, many younger persons tried to empower others in disaster-struck areas promptly through the use of Internet technologies. Physicians and hospital officials in affected areas reported their medical resource status utilizing email lists or social media such as Twitter, which made a definite difference in the disaster response compared with the Great Hanshin earthquake of 1995 [11]. Information on bed availability and so on was collected and became available to the public within the first 48 hours of the earthquake using Google Map technology [11]. Furthermore, use of geographical information system facilitated deployment of medical teams because it provided data on radiation risks in Fukushima promptly [12]. Many younger persons, who were in their early 40s or younger who were not health care professionals, also took the initiative to gather and diffuse relevant information, garner support, and raise money for quake relief [1,13]. Substantially, many people throughout the nation used the Internet to seek accurate information and to also access the mass media. This was in some ways similar to the public health emergency response in the United States after the 9/11 attacks [1,11-14]. The Internet has now been adopted widely enough in Japanese society, especially in the younger generation cohort, to be a valuable assessment tool in a public health emergency.

Public health assessments as well as constructive advice via the Internet have unique advantages in terms of a more rapid and broader reach [1,11,13,15,16]. Specifically, surveys via the Internet are able to reach more citizens distant from the devastated area, as well as those in highly affected areas, or those with mild health complaints who have not visited a medical facility [15,16]. Most previous studies regarding the effects of the disaster on health have actually been limited to mostly severely affected areas in northeastern Japan, except for a few studies focusing on distance from the epicenter [8,17] and the effect of aftershocks on psychological stress [8]. Most previous studies limited attention to presumed high-risk populations within a highly affected area. For example, previous studies included those with cardiovascular diseases [18,19] and diabetes mellitus [20] or other diseases [21-23], the elderly [24-26], evacuees [24-26], children [27,28], workers [29-31], nonprofessional volunteers [32], caregivers [33], and pregnant women [34] in a disaster area. The limited attention seems to have been common among disaster studies. One study of the previous Niigata-Chuetsu earthquake in 2004 showed that being female had a higher odds ratio of psychological distress [35]. Furthermore, studies showed the importance of preventing mothers from having symptoms of psychological distress caused by anxiety about the health of their children and separation from family members [36-38]. We believed that the inherent restricted nature of surveys after a disaster in Japan is attributed to the scarce knowledge of potential effects on nationwide health status after a massive disaster. This would complicate a coordinated national response to a disaster.

Although the elderly or children may be the most vulnerable, a previous study indicated disruption of work after natural disaster as being independently associated with decreases in general mental and physical health among university students [39]. Another recent report revealed a significant regional difference in the perception of risk among Japanese university students in a severely hit region, an indirectly affected Tokyo region, and mostly unaffected western regions [40]. Students in the Tokyo region were anticipated to be at increased risk of a future earthquake compared with those in a victimized region as well as western Japan, although the perceived risk of further nuclear risks was approximately the same for these regions [40]. In the case of the Chernobyl disaster, people living relatively far from the disaster site tended to be more concerned about the political and economic situation [41]. To our knowledge, there are few studies on health nationwide that examine geographical factors as well as social factors after large-scale disasters such as that in Japan. Because the Tohoku disaster may have affected nationwide health across every age range due to its nuclear radiation release, persistent aftershocks, widespread concern, and expected differences in these factors among regions [1-10,17,40,41], we wanted to evaluate its impact nationwide, especially among those in their late teens or 20s who typically are seen as less vulnerable. These data are crucial for national planning, programs and recovery.
We hypothesized that there are positive associations between the decreased subjective health of the young population nationwide and a larger number of aftershocks, a closer geographical location to the Fukushima NPP, or a higher reported radiation dose in the atmosphere in each region even after adjusting for individual socioeconomic factors. Therefore, the aim of the present study is to describe prefecture-level health status and investigate the associations mentioned above after adjusting for individual socioeconomic factors, utilizing individual-level data acquired from a national cross-sectional survey as well as officially reported prefecture-level data.

**Methods**

**Data Acquisition**

The data for this secondary analysis, an Internet survey on the effects of the Great East Japan Disaster on career and wage among a young generation (2012), were provided by the Social Science Japan Data Archive, Centre for Social Research and Data Archives, Institute of Social Science, The University of Tokyo. The Internet survey was conducted in January 2012 to investigate the short-term effect of the Great East Japan earthquake on the wages of college or high-school graduates focusing on the role of the quality of education, by the Economic and Social Research Institute, Cabinet Office, Government of Japan [42]. The survey was conducted according to ethical guidelines for social science research and study participation was voluntary. We did not apply for a research approval from an ethics committee because this secondary analysis used data edited by the Social Science Japan Data Archive that offers archived data to academic researchers and students without any ethical or financial requests. The data had been carefully edited so it was impossible to identify individuals from any analyses.

**Participants**

The survey recruited 12,000 young voluntary participants of a major Internet service in Japan based on quota sampling method [42]. The Economic and Social Research Institute reported that they followed this method rather than random sampling methods due to advantages in rapid assessment, and because random sampling does not always secure valid study participants [42]. The survey subjects graduated from college or high school between March 2009 and March 2011 across Japan, with a resultant age range of 17-27 years old. In this age range, there were 1.2 to 1.5 million peers in each year and 5% more females than males [43]. Consequently, we obtained 7335 participants after we removed participants with data missing for the survey as well as officially reported prefecture-level data. Approximately 93% of respondents did not report clearly defined adverse effects caused by the disaster. A small percentage of these participants experienced the loss of second-degree relatives (0.3%) and 0.3% experienced injuries caused by the disaster. Other adverse events included collapse of their house or official evacuation because of the crisis at the Fukushima NPP.

**Perceived Health Status**

The survey asked questions directly related to the disaster. The data included a change of self-perceived health status after the disaster. The question asked was, “Did your health status change because of the Great East Japan Disaster?” There were seven categories for answers: highly improved, improved, relatively improved, unchanged, relatively decreased, decreased, and highly decreased. We categorized these seven categories into two, not-decreased and decreased, because we intended to focus on the binary difference between health and poor health. Furthermore, few respondents answered “highly improved” and “improved,” so the two categories seemed to provide a valid comparison. This binary health status change was set as the outcome variable.

**Prefecture-Level Predictors for Health Status**

We categorized geographic location based on prefectures where respondents commuted according to the values assigned by the Japan Meteorological Agency Seismic Intensity scale (JMA-SI), 0-7, and the radiation dose published by the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT) of Japan [6,44]. When levels of JMA-SI were recorded in a prefecture, we assigned the largest value as the indicator. The value of the radiation dose was considered a continuous variable, which was determined on March 20, 2011 when it was first made available by MEXT and on January 1, 2012 when the survey was conducted [44]. We calculated the distance in a straight line between each capital city of a prefecture and the Fukushima NPP and included the number of aftershocks from March 11, 2011 to January 31, 2012 recorded in the JMA database [7].

**Six Area Indicators in Japan**

We introduced six area indicators: Northwest region; Iwate, Miyagi, and Fukushima; Kanto region; Central region; Kansai region; and Western region as shown in Figure 1. The Northwest region was an indirectly affected region because it was within the Tohoku area; however, it did not suffer at all from the tsunami and was relatively distant from the Fukushima NPP. Iwate, Miyagi, and Fukushima were directly affected regions because these three areas were most affected by the tsunami, the Fukushima incident, and persistent aftershocks. The Kanto region was an indirectly affected region because it did not bear much of the impact from the tsunami, but suffered from persistent aftershocks as well as anticipation about radiation. The Central and Kansai regions were indirectly affected regions that are relatively distant from Fukushima, but nearer compared to western Japan. The Western region was set as the control group because they were distant from Fukushima and had fewer aftershocks.
Figure 1. Map of Japan divided into six area indicators. The black square indicates the epicentre of the earthquake. The black star indicates the location of the Fukushima NPP.

Individual-Level Demographic Factors
Marital status was based on current status, so that widows or divorcees were classified as not married. These participants were categorized in the following manner: never married, 94.1%; married, 5.8%; widows, 0.1%; and divorced, 0.2%. Respondents were asked to answer “No” to the questions about change in employment if the corresponding conditions were caused by intentional career changes or other personal reasons. If a person had lived apart from other family members before the disaster, respondents were asked to answer “No” to questions about separation from their families. A change of working conditions included a reduction of sales (5.1%), reduction and upgrade of graduate recruitment (2.9%), merger and acquisition (0.8%), attrition (1.8%), damage of plants or capital (7.2%), temporary suspension of business (8.1%), and reduction of compensation (2.1%). Participants answered only when the condition was true. Individual economic status was assessed according to income. A difference in income between in 2010 and after the disaster in 2011 was categorized as “minus 2 levels” or “plus 1 level,” where a unit of “level” represented approximately one million yen. In contrast, an expected decrease of income in the 2012 fiscal year was answered yes or no.

Statistical Analysis
We calculated the percentage of people with decreased self-perceived health status in each prefecture. Because sampling error from the Internet survey seemed to render the percentages highly variable due to the small sample size in individual prefectures, we smoothed the actual percentages with empirical Bayes predictions from the multilevel (mixed effects) logistic regression by introducing random intercepts for 47 prefectures and an overall constant (the mean across all clusters/population mean) [45]. The empirical Bayes predictions can effectively “shrink” the actual percentages to a population mean, according to each prefecture’s size [46]. To adjust for differences in individual demographic factors between prefectures, we also fitted the model with gender, age, education, marital and employment status, changed job condition, income, death of family member[s], being a parent, family separation, and evacuation. Consequently, the prediction for each prefecture is presented by a regression standardization method [47]. In theory, regression standardization estimates the expectation of an outcome had all individuals been assigned a variable of interest at the specified level (an index level). Because the variable of interest in the analysis was a prefecture membership (incorporated as random intercepts) and index levels were the 47 indicators of prefectures in Japan, we presented the adjusted empirical Bayes predictions for 47 prefectures as the sum of the random intercepts and the mean of 7335 predictions from fixed parts of the model (ie, individual demographic factors) averaged over the whole population, followed by expit transformation: \( \exp(\eta + \gamma)[1 + \exp(\eta + \gamma)] \) for mean linear predictor \( \eta \) of fixed effects and a random effect \( \gamma \) in prefecture \( i \) \((i=1,...,47)\). Because expit of mean of individual predictors differs from mean of expit of individual predictors, our adjusted
empirical Bayes predictions approximated the standardized percentages in first order.

To identify a regional association between the change of self-perceived health status and environmental factors (prefecture-level variables), the odds ratios (ORs) and their 95% CIs were calculated from fixed-effects logistic models. Predictor variables included (a) radiation dose, distance from the Fukushima NPP, JMA-SI, and number of aftershocks from March 11, 2011 to January 31, 2011 with or without (b) the area indicators in Japan. We calculated crude and adjusted ORs from univariable and from multivariable-adjusted logistic models, respectively. Model 1 included prefecture-level variables (a), Model 2 included the area indicator (b), and Model 3 simultaneously introduced all prefecture-level variables (a) and (b). We fitted different models for sensitivity analysis rather than for model building with a rejection of unnecessary covariates, although Akaike's Information Criterion (AIC, which measures prediction error by estimating the mean of Kullback-Leibler divergence over asymptotic sampling distributions) was presented not so as to search for an accurate prediction, but just as a reference for readers.

To simultaneously estimate the association of prefecture-level variables and demographic variables (individual-level variables), including loss of family, changes in work conditions, individual economic status, experience of evacuation and separation from family, to self-perceived health status, we fitted multilevel logistic regression models that included random-intercepts for 47 prefectures and the above prefecture- and individual-level variables. The sensitivity of individual-level effects to adjustment for prefecture-level variables was analysed by fitting different models conducted in the same manner as above: Model 1 included individual-level variables only; Model 2 adjusted Model 1 with the prefecture-level variables (a); and Model 3 simultaneously adjusted for prefecture-level variables (a) and area indicators (b).

The variables included in the models were selected from a questionnaire regarding the existing literature, which investigated the effects of the disaster on subsequent distress. Because of unclear previous knowledge on interactions, we did not conduct stratified analyses and did not include interaction terms in the multivariable models. Conformity with a linear gradient in the model was checked graphically before fitting regression models. All statistical and graphical analyses were conducted using R version 3.0.1 for Windows. The lme4 and glmmML packages were primarily used.

## Results

### Overview

Respondents’ demographic characteristics are shown in Table 1. Those with decreased perceived health status tended to include more females, slightly more often changed their job condition, left their family member(s) for a longer duration, and had evacuated longer than those without decreased perceived health status.

### Prefecture-Level Changes in Health Status

The decrease in self-perceived health status differed significantly among respondents in the prefectures as shown in Table 2. Compared with Tokyo, respondents commuting in Miyagi and Fukushima prefectures, which are located adjacent to Fukushima, showed a statistically significant decrease in health status. Respondents commuting in Iwate and Tochigi prefectures also reported an increased reduction in health status, although the differences were not statistically significant. The values were higher for respondents commuting in the Tohoku area (Iwate, Miyagi, and Fukushima), which faces the Pacific Ocean, while the values for Akita and Yamagata prefectures, which do not face the Pacific Ocean, were lower than those of Tokyo. In contrast, there were many prefectures where respondents’ health status was less likely to be reduced, particularly in Hokkaido and the central and western regions of Japan. In addition to those in Tokyo, those commuting in Okinawa, Kochi, and Toyama prefectures also reported a high frequency of decreased health status. In general, young people commuting in Tokyo reported a relatively higher reduction of their perceived health status compared with those commuting in many western regions of Japan.
Table 1. Demographic characteristics by the change of subjective health.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Perceived health status</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Decreased (n=649)</td>
<td>Not decreased (n=6686)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n (%) or mean (SD)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender, n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>262 (40.37)</td>
<td>3097 (46.32)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>387 (59.63)</td>
<td>3589 (53.68)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age, n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 years old</td>
<td>4 (0.62)</td>
<td>49 (0.73)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 years old</td>
<td>5 (0.77)</td>
<td>107 (1.60)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 years old</td>
<td>14 (2.16)</td>
<td>196 (2.93)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 years old</td>
<td>24 (3.70)</td>
<td>372 (5.56)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 years old</td>
<td>38 (5.86)</td>
<td>459 (6.87)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 years old</td>
<td>77 (11.86)</td>
<td>794 (11.88)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 years old</td>
<td>107 (16.49)</td>
<td>984 (14.72)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 years old</td>
<td>127 (19.57)</td>
<td>1363 (20.39)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 years old</td>
<td>132 (20.34)</td>
<td>1269 (18.97)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 years old</td>
<td>67 (10.32)</td>
<td>623 (9.32)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 years old</td>
<td>54 (8.32)</td>
<td>470 (7.03)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age (SD)</td>
<td>23.74 (2.04)</td>
<td>23.48 (2.17)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Education, n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College students</td>
<td>462 (70.31)</td>
<td>4701 (71.19)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not college students</td>
<td>187 (28.81)</td>
<td>1985 (29.69)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marital status, n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>42 (6.47)</td>
<td>390 (5.83)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not married</td>
<td>607 (93.53)</td>
<td>6296 (94.17)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Employment status, n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regular employee</td>
<td>248 (38.21)</td>
<td>2375 (35.52)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not regular employee</td>
<td>401 (61.87)</td>
<td>4311 (64.48)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Changed job condition, n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>245 (37.50)</td>
<td>1371 (20.51)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>404 (62.50)</td>
<td>5315 (79.49)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference of income 2011–2010(\text{a}), mean (SD)</td>
<td>0.27 (1.50)</td>
<td>0.20 (1.38)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Expected income in 2012, n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Will be decreased</td>
<td>45 (6.93)</td>
<td>361 (5.40)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Will be increased/stable</td>
<td>604 (93.07)</td>
<td>6325 (94.60)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of deaths of family members, n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 1</td>
<td>3 (0.46)</td>
<td>16 (0.24)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>646 (99.54)</td>
<td>6670 (99.76)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Having a child/children, n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>24 (3.70)</td>
<td>263 (3.93)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>625 (96.30)</td>
<td>6423 (96.07)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Duration left for the family member(s), n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 4 weeks</td>
<td>66 (10.17)</td>
<td>396 (5.92)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Perceived health status</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Decreased (n=649)</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>mean (SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ 4 weeks</td>
<td>583 (89.83)</td>
<td>6290 (94.08)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 4 weeks</td>
<td>91 (14.02)</td>
<td>528 (7.90)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ 4 weeks</td>
<td>558 (85.98)</td>
<td>6158 (92.10)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Duration of evacuation, n (%)**

- ≤ 4 weeks: 583 (89.83) vs. 6290 (94.08)
- > 4 weeks: 91 (14.02) vs. 528 (7.90)
- ≤ 4 weeks: 558 (85.98) vs. 6158 (92.10)

*Change of categorical level, where a unit of "level" represented approximately one million yen. Example: difference is −2 when the level was 5 in 2010 and 3 in 2011.*
Table 2. Commuting location and the decreased self-perceived health.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Quake (times)</th>
<th>Radi (µSv/h)</th>
<th>Decreased (n)</th>
<th>Not decreased (n)</th>
<th>Decreased (%)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Miyagi</td>
<td>2841</td>
<td>0.111</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>.002</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fukushima</td>
<td>4211</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tochigi</td>
<td>1552</td>
<td>0.154</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>.09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iwate</td>
<td>2304</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>.19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ibaraki</td>
<td>3422</td>
<td>0.176</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aomori</td>
<td>818</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tokyo</td>
<td>691</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>12.37</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chiba</td>
<td>1526</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>.73</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Akita</td>
<td>644</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saitama</td>
<td>946</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>.37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nagano</td>
<td>868</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>.60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kanagawa</td>
<td>435</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>.09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yamagata</td>
<td>862</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>.61</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gunma</td>
<td>939</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>.22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niigata</td>
<td>817</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yamanashi</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>.42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gifu</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>.18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hokkaido</td>
<td>395</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shizuoka</td>
<td>371</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>.008</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aichi</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toyama</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>.69</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shiga</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nara</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>.34</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fukui</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>.27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyogo</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kyoto</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>.002</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mie</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>.048</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osaka</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ishikawa</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>.056</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shimane</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>.79</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wakayama</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>.51</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Okayama</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>.007</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totori</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tokushima</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>.007</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kochi</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>.78</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kagawa</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.053</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>.36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nagasaki</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>.36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fukuoka</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>.002</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oita</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>.22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The percentages of respondents commuting in Tochigi and Ibaraki prefectures as well as Iwate, Miyagi, and Fukushima are the highest. Surprisingly, the percentages for respondents commuting in Tokyo and Chiba are higher compared with the rest of Japan. In contrast, the percentage of reports of decreased health status from central to the western region (Aichi, Osaka, and prefectures located at more western than them) is clearly lower (Figure 3).

Figure 2. Percentage of respondents reporting decreased self-perceived health status and empirical Bayes estimates in each prefecture. JMA-SI, Japan Meteorological Agency Seismic Intensity. For the same JMA-SI levels, we determined the rank order of prefectures based on the values of adjusted empirical Bayes estimates. In adjusted empirical Bayes estimates, percentages were also adjusted according to demographic factors (gender, age, education, marital and employment status, changed job condition, income, death of family member[s], being a parent, family separation, and evacuation).
Prefecture-Level Factors Associated With Decline in Health Status

The regional association between the change of self-perceived health status and prefecture-level variables estimated from fixed-effects logistic models are depicted in Table 3. From Model 1, the total number of quakes (OR 1.03, 95% CI 1.01-1.05; \(P<.001\)) and the seismic intensity of the primary quake (OR 1.18, 95% CI 1.08-1.29; \(P<.001\)) were associated with the prevalence of the decreased self-perceived health status, but after adjustment for regional areas (Model 3), the association of seismic intensity vanished (OR 0.90, 95% CI 0.68-1.20; \(P=.48\)). On the other hand, the area of Iwate, Miyagi, and Fukushima (OR 6.29, 95% CI 4.25-9.32; \(P<.001\) in Model 2) was strongly associated with decreased self-perceived health even after adjustment for the prefecture-level factors in Model 3 (OR 4.63, 95% CI 1.10-19.57; \(P=.04\)).

The results from random-intercept multilevel logistic models for the probability of decreased self-perceived health status including individual-level demographic variables and/or prefecture-level variables are presented in Table 4. Each model provided essentially the same results. Change in job condition (OR 2.05, 95% CI 1.72-2.45; \(P<.001\)), female (OR 1.43 [=1/0.70, inverse of OR for male 0.70, 95% CI 0.59-0.84]; \(P<.001\)), higher age (OR 1.06 per year, 95% CI 1.02-1.11; \(P=.05\)), and duration of evacuation longer than 4 weeks (OR 1.44, 95% CI 1.06-1.97; \(P=.02\)) seemed to decrease perceived health status in Model 3. As in Table 3, the total number of quakes (OR 1.02 per 100 times, 95% CI 1.00-1.05; \(P=.049\)) was strongly associated with decreased self-perceived health after adjustment for individual-level demographic factors. The OR became 1.32 (95% CI 1.03-1.71) per 1000 times. The area of Iwate, Miyagi, and Fukushima (OR 4.45, 95% CI 0.99-20.01; \(P=.052\)) and Kanto region (OR 2.94, 95% CI 0.94-9.18; \(P=.06\)) also showed a strong association. As a reviewer pointed out, although Model 3 probably suffers from the unstability of estimates due to multiple collinearity (eg, evacuated seemed obviously a function of distance to epicenter), our models provided coherent results for these variables.

The radiation levels reported relatively soon, or considerably after the Fukushima NPP crisis and the distance from the nuclear power plant are not significantly associated with decreased health status after adjusting for covariates and potential covariates. The death of family members is not significantly
associated with decreased health status because of the small number of events.

Table 3. Prefecture-level factors associated with decreased health status: regional-level logistic regression analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Independent variables</th>
<th>Model 1</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Crude OR</td>
<td>Adjusted OR</td>
<td>Adjusted OR</td>
<td>Adjusted OR</td>
<td>P value</td>
<td>Adjusted OR</td>
<td>Adjusted OR</td>
<td>P value</td>
<td>P value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From Fukushima NPPb (km)</td>
<td>0.99 (0.99-1.00)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.99-1.00)</td>
<td>.29</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.00 (1.00-1.00)</td>
<td>.65</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiationc (μSv/hr)</td>
<td>2.09 (1.72-2.55)</td>
<td>1.16 (0.87-1.53)</td>
<td>.31</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.11 (0.81-1.51)</td>
<td>.51</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total quakesd (x10−2)</td>
<td>1.05 (1.04-1.06)</td>
<td>1.03 (1.01-1.05)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.03 (1.00-1.05)</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JMA-SIe</td>
<td>1.38 (1.29-1.47)</td>
<td>1.18 (1.08-1.29)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.90 (0.68-1.20)</td>
<td>.46</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwest region</td>
<td>0.98 (0.68-1.44)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.69 (1.07-2.67)</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>2.11 (0.80-5.58)</td>
<td>.13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iwate, Miyagi, and Fukushima</td>
<td>3.95 (2.93-5.34)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>6.29 (4.25-9.32)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>4.63 (1.10-19.57)</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kanto region</td>
<td>1.82 (1.55-2.14)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2.37 (1.77-3.16)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>2.93 (0.99-8.66)</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central region</td>
<td>0.57 (0.44-0.74)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.05 (0.73-1.52)</td>
<td>.77</td>
<td>1.34 (0.56-3.23)</td>
<td>.51</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansai region</td>
<td>0.53 (0.41-0.67)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.01 (0.72-1.43)</td>
<td>.97</td>
<td>1.23 (0.65-2.32)</td>
<td>.53</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AICf value = 4252

AIC value = 4244

AIC value = 4241

aOR, odds ratio
bNPP, nuclear power plant
cRadiation, radiation dose on March 20, 2011 (μSv/h)
dTotal quakes, total number of aftershocks from March 11, 2011 to January 31, 2012
eJMA-SI, Japan Meteorological Agency seismic intensity
fAIC, Akaike’s Information Criterion
Table 4. Individual- and prefecture-level factors associated with decreased health status: multilevel logistic regression analysis with random-intercept for 47 prefectures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Independent variables</th>
<th>Crude OR(^a) (95% CI)</th>
<th>Adjusted OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Adjusted OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Adjusted OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regular employee (ref: not)</td>
<td>0.89 (0.75-1.05)</td>
<td>0.85 (0.71-1.01)</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>0.85 (0.71-1.01)</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>0.85 (0.71-1.02)</td>
<td>.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in job condition (ref: not)</td>
<td>2.35 (1.98-2.79)</td>
<td>2.12 (1.77-2.52)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>2.05 (1.72-2.45)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>2.05 (1.72-2.45)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference in income 2010–2011 (million yen incr.)</td>
<td>1.03 (0.98-1.09)</td>
<td>1.03 (0.97-1.09)</td>
<td>.35</td>
<td>1.03 (0.97-1.09)</td>
<td>.34</td>
<td>1.02 (0.97-1.09)</td>
<td>.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income will decrease (ref: not)</td>
<td>1.31 (0.95-1.80)</td>
<td>1.31 (0.94-1.83)</td>
<td>.11</td>
<td>1.31 (0.94-1.82)</td>
<td>.11</td>
<td>1.31 (0.95-1.84)</td>
<td>.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (male=1, female=0)</td>
<td>0.78 (0.67-0.92)</td>
<td>0.71 (0.60-0.84)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>0.71 (0.60-0.84)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>0.70 (0.59-0.84)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (year)</td>
<td>1.06 (1.02-1.10)</td>
<td>1.06 (1.02-1.11)</td>
<td>.006</td>
<td>1.06 (1.02-1.11)</td>
<td>.005</td>
<td>1.06 (1.02-1.11)</td>
<td>.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status (Married = 1)</td>
<td>1.12 (0.80-1.55)</td>
<td>0.94 (0.66-1.33)</td>
<td>.72</td>
<td>0.92 (0.65-1.30)</td>
<td>.64</td>
<td>0.92 (0.65-1.30)</td>
<td>.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College student (ref: not)</td>
<td>1.04 (0.87-1.25)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.82-1.22)</td>
<td>.99</td>
<td>0.99 (0.82-1.22)</td>
<td>.996</td>
<td>1.00 (0.83-1.27)</td>
<td>.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family separation (&gt; 4 weeks; ref: not)</td>
<td>1.80 (1.37-2.37)</td>
<td>1.22 (0.85-1.74)</td>
<td>.28</td>
<td>1.21 (0.85-1.74)</td>
<td>.28</td>
<td>1.21 (0.85-1.73)</td>
<td>.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evacuation (&gt; 4 weeks; ref: not)</td>
<td>1.90 (1.50-2.41)</td>
<td>1.48 (1.08-2.03)</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>1.45 (1.06-1.98)</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>1.44 (1.06-1.97)</td>
<td>.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death of family members (ref: not)</td>
<td>1.94 (0.56-6.66)</td>
<td>2.33 (0.66-8.26)</td>
<td>.19</td>
<td>2.28 (0.65-8.03)</td>
<td>.20</td>
<td>2.34 (0.66-8.26)</td>
<td>.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Having a child/children (ref: not)</td>
<td>0.94 (0.61-1.44)</td>
<td>0.93 (0.60-1.44)</td>
<td>.75</td>
<td>0.94 (0.61-1.45)</td>
<td>.77</td>
<td>0.93 (0.59-1.44)</td>
<td>.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From Fukushima NPP(^b) (km)</td>
<td>0.99 (0.99-1.00)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.99 (0.99-1.00)</td>
<td>.57</td>
<td>1.00 (0.99-1.00)</td>
<td>.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiation(^c) (µSv/hr)</td>
<td>2.09 (1.72-2.55)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.17 (0.80-1.69)</td>
<td>.42</td>
<td>1.16 (0.82-1.64)</td>
<td>.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total quakes(^d) (x10(^{-2}))</td>
<td>1.05 (1.04-1.06)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.04 (1.01-1.06)</td>
<td>.002</td>
<td>1.02 (1.00-1.05)</td>
<td>.049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JMA-SI(^e)</td>
<td>1.38 (1.29-1.47)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.07 (0.96-1.20)</td>
<td>.24</td>
<td>0.87 (0.65-1.17)</td>
<td>.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwest region</td>
<td>0.98 (0.68-1.44)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2.21 (0.78-6.26)</td>
<td>.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iwate, Miyagi, and Fukushima</td>
<td>3.95 (2.93-5.34)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>4.45 (0.99-20.01)</td>
<td>.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kanto region</td>
<td>1.82 (1.55-2.14)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2.94 (0.94-9.18)</td>
<td>.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central region</td>
<td>0.57 (0.44-0.74)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.49 (0.59-3.78)</td>
<td>.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansai region</td>
<td>0.53 (0.41-0.67)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.32 (0.66-2.62)</td>
<td>.43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)OR, odds ratio  
\(^b\)NPP, nuclear power plant  
\(^c\)Radiation, radiation dose on March 20, 2011 (µSv/h)  
\(^d\)Total quakes, total number of aftershocks from March 11, 2011 to January 31, 2012  
\(^e\)JMA-SI, Japan Meteorological Agency seismic intensity  
\(^f\)AIC, Akaike’s Information Criterion
Discussion

Three Novel Findings

We first illustrate a prefecture-level difference that shows decreased health status because of the Great East Japan Disaster. Our study found three novel findings. First, the present study shows a coherent association between decreased health status and number of aftershocks. Second, the prefecture-level radiation dose reported after the Fukushima Nuclear Crisis and the distance from the NPP of each prefecture are not significantly associated with decreased health status after adjusting for covariates and potential covariates. Third, we showed coherently that changes in job condition, being female, higher ages in the late teens and 20s, and duration of evacuation longer than 4 weeks were associated with a nationwide decreased health status even after adjusting for regional-level and prefecture-level variables.

Related Factors for the Three Novel Findings

People living relatively far from the disaster site tended to be more concerned about the political and economic situation [41]. The same study indicated that concerns about future health may have stimulated greater awareness of physical sensations, according to results indicating that subjective health was partially mediated by perceptions of hazard and risk [41]. Students in the Kanto region felt that they were at higher risk of a future earthquake compared with those in Western Japan [40]. Historically, the significant number of aftershocks in eastern Japan, including the Kanto region, would make subjective health decrease via a perception of hazard and risk for future earthquakes. This assumption might be valid from a nationwide perspective because radiation dose reported at the prefecture-level and at a distance from the Fukushima NPP were not significantly associated with decreased subjective health. Prefecture-level differences in subjective health could not be simply explained by radiation dose reported or distance from the Fukushima NPP. Rather, the health of youth nationwide is affected by aftershocks and sociodemographic factors.

Consistent with a previous study [39], change in work conditions after the disaster was independently associated with decreases in the subjective health of a young cohort nationwide. Changes in work conditions, whether this was derived from natural disasters, might cause depressive symptoms, a larger burden from work, or economic decline. Being female was robustly indicated as a significantly associated factor consistent with a previous study [35], although we could not determine the reason from the present data. Surprisingly, a higher age within the age range of 17-27 years showed a significant association. We believe that our common sense tends to perceive a higher age as a risk factor for many health problems, but generally we think of this for those aged over 65 or higher. This common thinking about age range, such as those “aged 65 or older” or “children” may result in ignorance on the impact of these events at various ages. Our data regarding an association of decreased subjective health with evacuation from the disaster area must be interpreted with care. To the best of our knowledge, there has been no evidence that evacuation from a disaster area, or from Kanto region to Western region, is a risk factor for those in their late teens or 20s. Previous studies indicated evacuation as a risk factor for high mortality or hospitalization among the elderly because relocation affects living and care quality [25,48], and also the loss of their social network, which results in psychological distress [49].

Strengths of the Study

We believe that the present study has several significant strengths. First, we considered self-perceived health, which could include both physical and psychological aspects of health. This allowed us to evaluate general health as a whole rather than focusing on specific diseases. For instance, we could include relatively moderate illnesses that would escape inclusion in studies based on hospital records. A previous study reported that people who were professionally exposed to a disaster reported more physical and mental health complaints even in the absence of abnormal clinical laboratory values [50]. Therefore, it is definitely of value to include subjective health rather than to focus only on objective clinical diagnosis even while assuming that the percentages of self-reported illness are higher than those of clinically verified illnesses as indicated by a previous study [51]. Second, we included all prefectures in Japan rather than focusing only on the devastated area. This study thus illuminates otherwise likely-to-be-missed effects on the health of a population across the nation.

Limitations

Our study has several limitations. First, respondents may not represent the entire population of Japanese of the same age range because the data were not collected randomly. Therefore, the data may be biased toward participants possessing higher Internet literacy or health status and who are more likely to answer the questionnaire voluntarily. Despite the relatively limited target population analyzed here, we are confident that the results can be generalized to this entire age group across Japan, because of the common ability to access the Internet among those in this age range. Second, our data was derived from a cross-sectional survey, which does not allow determination of the direction of the relationships between demographic variables and self-perceived health status. Third, there is no information on specific reasons why participants answered that they were experiencing diminished health. However, the questionnaire was sufficiently well-controlled because all questions always included the criterion, “because of the disaster,” and not just “after the disaster.” Therefore, we could assume that we minimized the probability that the reported decrease in subjective health was derived from other causes. Last, one question asked study participants, “In what prefecture do you commute?” Therefore, we could not predetermine the location of residence. Because some employees or students might commute between prefectures, the distribution shown in the map of Japan may change if we specified the location of residence. Despite these limitations, the data presented here on post-earthquake subjective health status of this age group across the nation are worth reporting.
Implications for Future Disaster Preparedness and Health Policy

Future major earthquakes may affect health among the broader population, including youth, across the nation via persistent aftershocks and other socioeconomic disruption. Assessing public health status promptly across a nation is of major relevance to health policy decision makers as well as researchers looking at disasters. Assessments via the Internet may be a better measure in public health emergencies and subsequent phases compared to traditional paper-and-pencil-based surveys, especially for subgroups accustomed to Web technologies [52].

Differences in response characteristics and optimizing designs of Web surveys have been examined in some countries [15,16,52,53]. The effects of long-term low-dose radiation exposure on health and useful measurement technologies will be further elucidated in the future [54]. Traditional surveys may suffer from recall bias and low response rates, and impede arriving at reliable results. Rapid and cost-efficient assembly of health information requires the utilization of e-health technologies as well as epidemiological insights to provide better information to all decision makers. Researchers should be cautious in continuing with unconscious old-fashioned strategies for health assessments after a disaster and should better utilize epidemiology and information technologies to further knowledge in this field.

Conclusions

We first investigated the extent to which subjective health of participants in each prefecture across Japan decreased as a result of the Great East Japan Disaster. We found that the number of aftershocks was coherently associated with decreased subjective health. In contrast, radiation dose and distance from the Fukushima NPP were not associated. A Web-based survey can provide valuable information on public health issues after a disaster, especially if information technologies are developed that integrate with epidemiology research.
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Abstract

Background: In 2003 the Dutch Lung Cancer Information Centre (Longkanker Informatie Centrum) launched a website containing information on lung cancer accessible to anyone.

Objective: Our study aim was to inventorize the information needs of the visitors of this website by analyzing the questions they asked the lung cancer specialists in the websites interactive section “Ask the Physician”.

Methods: The first 2000 questions posted up until May 2006 have been classified by visitors’ wish, type of required information, identity, gender, and phase during treatment course.

Results: Our results show that 1893 (1158/1893, 61%) of the questions were asked by a loved one/caregiver and (239/1893 13%) by patients. 1 out of 3 questions was asked by a daughter/grand-daughter. Most questions concerned specific information on lung cancer and lung cancer course (817/1893, 43%). The most inquired specific information topics were therapy side effects, diagnostics, general information on lung cancer, and regular therapy. Furthermore, questioners wanted to verify their own doctor’s information (122/1893, 6%), a diagnosis (267/1893, 14%), and a prognosis (204/1893, 11%).

Conclusions: Lung cancer patients and their caregivers asked the most questions in the interactive website section. The most frequently requested information was more detailed information. These include specific information on lung cancer (regular therapy, diagnostics, and disease symptoms), verification of what the doctor has said, diagnosis, and prognosis. Most of the requested information could have been obtained from treating specialists, indicating that current information supply to lung cancer patients and their caregivers may not be matching their needs sufficiently. The further implementation of an online dialogue with lung cancer specialists might be a solution.

KEYWORDS
Internet; lung neoplasms; medical informatics; information services; patient education; information needs; caregivers

Introduction

The Internet has changed the position of patients within the healthcare system. Currently, the Internet is widely used as a resource for health related information [1-4]. Ybarra et al [5] have reported a percentage of 73% Internet use among Americans, of whom 56% reported using the Internet as a resource for health information. A few health care providers
already utilize the potential of the Internet [6-9] such as, the “emaildoctor” [10]. However, these physicians are still forerunners and not disease specific specialists, possibly making information superficial and not up-to-date, resulting in resistance against these practices among medical specialists.

As a result of an initiative of doctors, patients, nurses, and other professionals involved with lung cancer, the Dutch Lung Cancer Information Centre (DLIC) was founded. There were not a lot of information available on lung cancer in the Netherlands and lung cancer patient groups were poorly organised [11].

This centre is meant for lung cancer patients, their relatives or loved ones, and people seeking information about lung cancer. The centre of the activities of DLIC is the website [12]. Since its launch in 2003, the DLIC website has been visited very often and has reached a steady number of 20,000 visitors per month. The number of monthly visitors are striking, considering that lung cancer incidence and prevalence respectively are around 10,500 and 14,000 per year in the Netherlands [13,14]. Results from our previous study have shown that caregivers of lung cancer patients are the largest group of visitors of the website [11]. Deducted from the total number of visitors and visitor type [11], around 1600 patients and 11,800 caregivers visit the DLIC website each month [13].

The most popular page of the DLIC website is the interactive section “Ask the Physician”, which was launched in March 2004 [15]. Through this web page, visitors can ask lung cancer specialists specific questions about lung cancer. Since the launch of this interactive web page 7 years ago, approximately 6400 questions have been posted. Furthermore, around 500 people per day visit the section “Ask the Physician” to read these questions and their answers.

The large number of questions in the section “Ask the physician” indicates that website questioners, presumably lung cancer patients and their caregivers, are in need of information on lung cancer. Studying these questions might give more insight into the identity of these specific visitors and in their information needs. It is important to define these needs as it might help defining guidelines for a better way of addressing lung cancer information by treating specialists. There are many studies published about looking for health related information on the Internet, but we did not find any studies addressing online interaction between questioners and lung cancer specialists. The aim of this study was to classify the asked questions posed on the DLIC website into categories so as to give an overview of the types of persons who visit the website and their information needs.

Methods

Overview

The main objective of the DLIC for answering questions in the interactive section “Ask the Physician” is to give support to questioners, clarify, and indicate where possibilities can be found with their own specialist. If lifestyle advices or smoking were mentioned by questioners, smoking was systematically discouraged, while exercise and a healthy diet were encouraged. Diagnoses were never stipulated, initial opinions were not challenged, and no other treatment suggestions were made.

Every time new visitors used the interactive section to ask a question, they had to fill in a form and give their name and email address. Each form and each question with the matching answer have been carefully read retrospectively by our team members (RMS and CL). After reading, categories were deducted from the form/question/answer according to their content and set in a database. If it was impossible to determine any of the categories of the visitors, items were classified as unknown.

The questions have been categorized into the next items determined by our research group (see Table 1).

Analysis

The first 2000 questions asked until May 2006 on the webpage “Ask the Physician” [12] have been imported to a Microsoft Access database and then categorized and analysed according above mentioned items.

Ethical Approval

According to Dutch law, this study does not need approval by an ethical review board.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Categories</th>
<th>Possible outcomes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Questioner identity</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caregiver: child/grandchild, partner, other family members, no family</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person who fears lung cancer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Phase of illness/phase in lung cancer procedure</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Before diagnosis-symptoms only</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Before diagnosis-after X-ray</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After diagnosis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time of choosing therapy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After surgery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>During therapy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After therapy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After healing or recovery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terminal stage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After death</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type of information requested</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific information on lung cancer or lung cancer therapy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prognosis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment advice</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explanation of doctor’s words</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terminology questions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Help with a choice</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifestyle advice</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Help with essay/paper</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Specific information: specific information topics</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung cancer information in general</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Therapy side effects</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptoms of disease</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regular therapy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternative therapy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experimental therapy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnostics</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Results

General

Since its launch in March 2004, the webpage “Ask the Physician” has been widely visited. Data on the numbers of visitors, page views, questioners, questions, lung cancer incidence, and prevalence in the Netherlands are not shown in current manuscript but are available on request.

During our defined study period (March 2004-May 2006), 2000 questions have been asked by 1200 people. One person asked 107 questions on her own, and the information seeking behavior of this person was not likely to be representative for the majority of questioners using the interactive webpage. This person was excluded.

Eighty percent (1199/1893, 80%) of the people who asked questions on the interactive webpage asked one question. The rest (694/1893, 20%) asked one or more additional questions. Around 1% of the people asked more than 10 questions. In total 1893 questions have been analysed.

Who Asks Questions?

Tables 2 and 3 give the demographics of the persons asking questions on the webpage. The majority of questions were asked by caregivers of lung cancer patients (1158/1893, 61%). Thirteen percent (243/1893, 13%) of all the questions were asked by patients. Of the total study group around one third (849/1893, 33%) of questions were asked by daughters and granddaughters. The category “unknown” has been applied when demographics of the questioners could not be found.

Regarding the percentages of questions asked by caregivers and lung cancer patients, caregivers asked 4.8 times more questions than patients in a period of 27 months. This means that 212 questions were asked by caregivers per 100 patients per year.

Moment of Asking Questions

All questions asked by patients and caregivers (n=1394) were asked at different phases during lung cancer procedure. Most questions arose during therapy (376/1394, 27%), after therapy (223/1394, 16%) and after diagnosis (209/1394, 15%). Questions were also asked at the terminal stage of illness (125/1394, 9%), before diagnosis after the first X-ray (112/1394, 8%), and after surgery (98/1394, 7%).

What Did the Visitors Ask?

Table 4 provides an overview of the wanted information by questioners. Patients (n=243) requested specific information (122/243, 50%), wanted to verify doctor’s information (25/243, 10%) and a diagnosis (20/243, 8%) or a prognosis (19/243, 8%) in the most cases. Other questioners (n=1650) wanted specific information (695/1650, 42%), a diagnosis (247/1650, 15%), a prognosis (185/1650, 11%) and to verify doctor’s information (6%).

The category “verify doctor’s information” means that a questioner checked whether the information given by the specialist was true: “the doctor has told me that I can choose between chemotherapy and radiotherapy as therapy, is this true?”

The category “clarify doctor’s explanation” means that the questioner wanted an explanation of what the specialist had said: “My father has lung cancer and will be treated with chemotherapy. The doctor has said that with treatment my father has 30% chance. What does he mean?” The category “unknown” has been applied when the purpose of the questions was unclear or unknown.

Specific Information Topics on Lung Cancer and Lung Cancer Therapy

In the case of questions regarding specific information, the number of topics asked exceeds the number of requests for information on lung cancer and lung cancer therapy (Tables 4 and 5) because questions generally contained several topics people wanted to know about.

When patients wanted specific information, the most frequently discussed topics were (see Table 5) therapy side-effects (29/145, 20%), diagnostics (28/145, 19%), regular therapy (26/145, 18%), experimental therapy (15/145, 10%) and disease symptoms (14/145, 10%).

When other questioners requested specific information, the most frequently asked questions were about therapy (196/931, 21%), general information on lung cancer (140/931, 15%), diagnostics (113/931, 12%), disease symptoms (109/931, 12%), therapy side effects (100/931, 11%) and disease course (102/931, 11%).
### Table 2. Questioner’s identity type.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Questioner identity (n questions=1893)</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Caregiver</td>
<td>1158</td>
<td>61.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>12.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person who fears lung cancer</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>12.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>8.87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3. Questioner’s identity type by gender.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender (n questions=1893)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>male</td>
<td>415</td>
<td>21.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>female</td>
<td>1225</td>
<td>64.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>13.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender of patients (n=243)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>male</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>27.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>female</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>59.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>13.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender of caregivers: male, female, unknown (n=1158)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>male</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>16.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>female</td>
<td>835</td>
<td>72.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>11.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children/grandchildren (n=849)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>male</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>14.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>female</td>
<td>622</td>
<td>73.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>12.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner (n=180)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>male</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>26.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>female</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>70.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other family members (n=83)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>male</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>female</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>66.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>20.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No family (n=46)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>male</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>17.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>female</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>69.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>13.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 4. What was asked in the first instance: topics, patients, and other questioners.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topics</th>
<th>Patients (n=243)</th>
<th>Other questioners (n=1650)</th>
<th>Total group (n=1893)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Specific information</strong></td>
<td>122 (50.2)</td>
<td>695 (42.12)</td>
<td>817 (43.16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Verify doctor’s information</strong></td>
<td>25 (10.3)</td>
<td>97 (5.88)</td>
<td>122 (6.44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Diagnosis</strong></td>
<td>20 (8.2)</td>
<td>247 (14.97)</td>
<td>267 (14.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Prognosis</strong></td>
<td>19 (7.8)</td>
<td>185 (11.21)</td>
<td>204 (10.78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Treatment advice</strong></td>
<td>14 (5.8)</td>
<td>85 (5.15)</td>
<td>99 (5.23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other</strong></td>
<td>11 (4.5)</td>
<td>69 (4.18)</td>
<td>80 (4.23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Terminology</strong></td>
<td>10 (4.1)</td>
<td>66 (4.00)</td>
<td>76 (4.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Clarify doctor’s explanation</strong></td>
<td>7 (2.9)</td>
<td>71 (4.30)</td>
<td>78 (4.12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Advice, references</strong></td>
<td>6 (2.5)</td>
<td>36 (2.18)</td>
<td>42 (2.22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Help with a choice</strong></td>
<td>4 (1.6)</td>
<td>19 (1.15)</td>
<td>23 (1.22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lifestyle advice</strong></td>
<td>3 (1.2)</td>
<td>30 (1.82)</td>
<td>33 (1.74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Unknown</strong></td>
<td>2 (0.8)</td>
<td>3 (0.18)</td>
<td>5 (0.26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Help with essay/paper</strong></td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>47 (32.85)</td>
<td>47 (2.48)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 5. Topics of required specific information on lung cancer and lung cancer therapy, patients, and other questioners.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topics of specific information</th>
<th>Patients (n=145)</th>
<th>Other questioners (n=931)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Therapy side-effects</strong></td>
<td>29 (20.0)</td>
<td>100 (10.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Diagnostics</strong></td>
<td>28 (19.3)</td>
<td>113 (12.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Regular therapy</strong></td>
<td>26 (17.9)</td>
<td>196 (21.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Experimental therapy</strong></td>
<td>15 (10.3)</td>
<td>50 (5.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Disease symptoms</strong></td>
<td>14 (9.7)</td>
<td>109 (11.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>What can it be?</strong></td>
<td>11 (7.6)</td>
<td>52 (5.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Disease course</strong></td>
<td>9 (6.2)</td>
<td>102 (11.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>General information on lung cancer</strong></td>
<td>7 (4.8)</td>
<td>140 (15.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other</strong></td>
<td>5 (3.4)</td>
<td>51 (5.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Alternative therapy</strong></td>
<td>1 (0.7)</td>
<td>6 (0.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lung cancer prevention</strong></td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>12 (1.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Discussion

#### Principal Findings

In this study, we looked at information that was requested from online lung cancer specialists by visitors of the DLIC website. Most questions were asked by lung cancer patients and their caregivers (especially daughter and granddaughter). There are many studies published about looking for health related information on the Internet, but studies about asking specific questions to online (lung) specialists are rare. This distinguishes our present study. Our study results show that most frequently requested information was more detailed information about lung cancer (such as regular therapy, diagnostics, and disease symptoms), verification of doctor’s words, diagnosis and prognosis. This kind of information could have been obtained from treating physicians, implying that the supplied information to lung cancer patients and their caregivers may be insufficient with regard to their needs. Besides this, the impressive number of questions asked on the website indicates that patients and caregivers are willing to participate in online dialogues with specialists.

Before comparing our results with data from other studies, it should be mentioned that we have chosen to analyse all questions regardless if they were from one person or a different one. Since the number of persons who asked more than one question is substantial (20%), this may have influenced our results. An argument for our approach is that each question was different and was asked during different phases of lung cancer procedure. Each question should thus be considered as one item regardless of who asked it.

Similarities and differences between our results and other study results can be seen. We found that (1158/1893, 61%) of the
questions were asked by caregivers. The result confirms our observations in our previous study [11] and other studies that a large percentage of caregivers use the Internet. Norum et al [16] reported that 60% of patients’ partners used Internet and Ybarra et al [17] found that support seekers were significantly more likely to be patients’ caregivers.

In our study, (243/1893, 13%) of the questions were asked by patients. Studies of Fleisher et al [18] and Mold et al [19] stated that 15 to 20% of patients in their study were indirect Internet users. Miles et al [20] gave a percentage of 24%. Our results are different and add to existing study data because present study gives the percentage of patients who are direct Internet users. Furthermore, our study group only included lung cancer patients. According to Eysenbach’s study, only 16% of all information seeking cancer patients was a lung cancer patient [21], which is more comparable to our findings. This relatively low percentage of lung cancer patients looking for information and asking questions online could be explained by differences in gender, age, and socio-economic status. The majority of questioners were young women and the biggest group of questioners was a daughter or granddaughter. Women look for health related information on the Internet more often than men and a younger age is associated with a greater Internet use [3,16,21-30]. It is known that lung cancer patients usually are elderly males of low social levels. This is associated with a limited tendency to use the Internet [31]. Although data on age and gender of all patients who asked questions on the website were not completely available, we assume that the Dutch lung cancer patients do not differ from lung cancer patients elsewhere and thus go on the Internet less often than their female caregivers. Additionally, lung cancer patients’ strategies to look for medical information differ from other cancer patients. They are more likely to be passive in seeking information than other cancer patients [32]. Thus, they will ask their caregivers to look for information for them and look less actively themselves. In this manner, a lot of lung cancer patients were getting information from the DLIC website indirectly.

Considering the number of visitors per year attending the website and the number of questions asked by caregivers and lung cancer patients, the question rises whether present results are representative for the total website visiting population of caregivers and lung cancer patients. According to our data, about 212 questions are being asked by caregivers per 100 patients per year for the 14,000 annual cases in the Netherlands. The number of visitors of the DLIC website per year appears relatively larger than the number of questions asked. A plausible explanation for this fact may be that many visitors already found the answers to their questions in the websites general information or in the questions in the section “Ask the physician”. Another explanation may be that visitors solely visited the website to look for information and that some of them may not dare to ask questions. If we compare present results with the poll “visitor identity” we had performed in our previous study [11], the percentages of lung cancer patients and caregivers correspond well with each other. Thus, questions asked by visitors on the website are quite representative for the total visitor population.

Our study found that most information seeking behavior occurred during therapy, after therapy, and after diagnosis. Other studies show similar results, indicating that most patients seek explanatory information just after their diagnosis and before starting treatment; or just after diagnosis (49%) or during treatment (31%) [33,34].

Information seekers had specific questions. Most of them wanted specialized information about a specific topic concerning lung cancer, a diagnosis, a prognosis, or to verify doctor’s information. The most frequently asked topics of specific information about lung cancer in present study were regular therapy, diagnostics, general information about lung cancer, therapy side effects and disease symptoms. A number of studies investigated the most wanted information topics by Internet users, and found that information related to treatment (80%) [35], information about a condition, symptoms, advice about symptoms and treatment [36], information on cancer screening/diagnosis, support services, psychosocial issues, and general cancer site information [37], were the most wanted topics. Rutten et al [38], found that the most frequent information needs of cancer patients were information on treatment (38.1%), specific on cancer (12.8%), rehabilitation (12.2%), and prognosis (10.8%).

It is noteworthy that patients of our study were more interested in trials and side effects than the other questioners, who were mostly caregivers.

Further Research

Given the questions on the webpage “ask the Physician” and the fact that most answers could have been obtained from the treating physician/specialist, it could be concluded that for many of these lung cancer patients and caregivers visiting the website, information given during specialist consultations was unclear, insufficient, not well understood or not well remembered. This has also been mentioned in several studies [39-41]. However, since we do not know whether caregivers asking questions on the website were actually present during consultations with treating physicians, we cannot conclude that the given information was indeed unclear and insufficient to patients or to them. Neither can we conclude that the information was not given, not well understood, or not well remembered because we were not present during consultations as well. Submission of a question does not necessarily indicate that information has not been provided. We do not know what information has been given. Beside this, investigators in a recent study have found age and prognosis to be predictive for poor information recall in cancer patients [42]. Patients and caregivers may have had difficulties to remember medical information. Additionally, the information needs of lung cancer patients differ from their caregivers’, as illustrated by our study. Nevertheless, as noticeable in our results, (151/1893, 8%) of the questions concerned an explanation of doctor’s words or terminology, indicating that a (small) part of the information given by treating specialists is actually not clear. Also the large number of questions on the website still is a signal that the medical information supply of lung cancer patients and their caregivers does not completely match their information needs. This phenomenon is an interesting indication that lung cancer patients
and their caregivers are open and willing to participate in online dialogues with treating specialists. In our previous study [11], we already showed with a visitor satisfaction poll that the majority of visitors were very positive about the usefulness of the website and its interactive page. Thus, we suggest an adaptation, and hopefully subsequently possible amelioration of the medical information supply to lung cancer patients and their caregivers. Suggestions for improvement could be to survey repeatedly about the information needs of lung cancer patients and their caregivers, giving printed or written information to patients and caregivers [43-45], encouraging email contact and online dialogue with specialists for questions [8,9], directing to reliable Internet sources of information for complementary information [11], and repeat the information given during consultations.

Further research is needed to explore the reasons why lung cancer patients and their caregivers turn to online lung specialists for information. The importance and role of caregivers during treatment should also be investigated since they appear to be involved in the information supply of lung cancer patients in present study.

Conclusions
Lung cancer patients and their caregivers asked most questions in the interactive section of the DLIC website. The most frequently requested information was more detailed and specific information about lung cancer (regular therapy, diagnostics and disease symptoms), verification of what the doctor has said, diagnosis, and prognosis. Most of the requested information could have been obtained from treating specialists, indicating that the information supply of lung cancer patients and their caregivers may not be matching their needs sufficiently. Since lung cancer patients and caregivers seem to be appreciating and willing to use online interactive dialogue with lung cancer specialists, further implementation of such dialogue might be a solution.
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Abstract

Background: An increasing quantity of research in the domain of telemedicine show a growing popularity and acceptance of care over distance systems among both clinicians and patients. We focus on telementoring solutions, developed for providing remote guidance to less experienced surgeons. Telestration is often regarded as an extra functionality of some telementoring systems. However, we advocate that telestration must be viewed as a core feature of telementoring due to its advantages.

Objective: To analyze and define concepts, parameters, and measurement procedures to evaluate the impact of using telestration while telementoring.

Methods: A systematic review of research dealing with telestration during remote guidance sessions was performed by querying three major online research databases (MEDLINE, Association of Computing Machinery, and Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers) using a predefined set of keywords (“laparoscopy”, “annotate”, “telestrate”, “telestration”, “annotation”, “minimally invasive”, and “MIS”).

Results: The keyword-based search identified 117 papers. Following the guidelines for performing a systematic review, only 8 publications were considered relevant for the final study. Moreover, a gap in research defining the impacts of telestration during telementoring was identified. To fill this niche, a framework for analyzing, reporting, and measuring the impacts of telestration was proposed.

Conclusions: The presented framework lays the basics for the structured analysis and reporting of telestration applied to telementoring systems. It is the first step toward building an evidence knowledge base documenting the advantages of live video content annotation and supporting the presented connections between the concepts.
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Introduction

The shift from open to laparoscopic surgery presents a fertile ground for an expansion of telemedicine (a set of medical practices without direct physician-patient interaction that are often realized via interactive audio-video communication [1]). Advances in information and communication technologies have resulted in the development of relatively low cost and high reliability devices as a medium for telemedical solutions. The demand for telementoring systems in laparoscopic surgery, comprising the real-time interactive teaching of techniques by an expert surgeon to a student at a distant site [1], in
Laparoscopic surgery was partially motivated by the decreasing ratio of general practice surgeons to population, a problem that is predicted to increase in the future [2]. Studies have shown the benefits of using telementoring, especially in the field of laparoscopic surgery [3,4]. Time and cost reductions as well as a more efficient surgical education are only a few of the many, mostly evident advantages of this developing technology [3].

Telementoring has been discussed extensively in the literature, with multiple solutions demonstrated for laparoscopic surgery [5,6]. Its place in surgery has been described by Doarn [7] as “a natural fit”. Furthermore, videoconferencing has gained increasing popularity in all fields of medicine, especially in follow-up and out-patient treatment [8-10].

In spite of the recent advances in mobile devices, the current body of literature tends to limit the telementoring approach to stationary platforms. However, the Mobile Medical Mentor project team advocates using mobile devices for telementoring due to additional benefits compared to the stationary ones [11]. The fact that mentors rarely stay at one location and are highly mobile should be sufficient impetus for further exploration of mobile platforms for telementoring. Having a telementoring device within reach should increase the availability of experts. Therefore, the main challenge is to ensure that the required telementoring infrastructure is available on a mobile medium [11].

**Figure 1.** Surgical telestration.

Telestration is defined as a technique for enabling the drawing of freehand markups (annotations) over image or video (Figure 1). Although it is mostly used in commenting on sports and weather forecasts, it often attracts the attention of medical personnel [12,13]. In the domain of telemedicine, telestration is normally considered to be an additional accessory function of telementoring systems. As the overall impacts and benefits of telestration are not clear, this paper aimed to analyze the available studies reporting the use of telestration to form a systematic assessment of the reported outcomes of using telestration in minimally invasive surgery (MIS).

The paper is structured as follows: after a short introduction to the developing domain of telemedicine, the motivation for using telementoring systems is presented. The telestration feature is analyzed in greater detail.

The Methods Section defines the procedures that were followed to summarize the current body of knowledge in using telestration and guidelines to develop the evaluation framework. The Results Section represents the achievements of a systematic review procedure and highlights the identified gap in the available research. The following section discusses the identified niche in research, introducing an evaluation framework for analyzing, measuring, and reporting the impacts of using telestration during telementoring sessions. Evaluation biases and confounders were analyzed in the Discussion Section.
Methods

Overview
This section is divided into two sub-sections, the first of which describes the search process for relevant research. This search was performed to summarize the available body of knowledge in evaluating the impacts of telestration applied to telementoring systems. The second part studies the development of an evaluation framework in more detail by introducing the methodology for developing theoretical models.

Systematic Literature Review
To form a solid basis for this research, a systematic literature review of telementoring applications that include a telestration feature in MIS was performed. The review was carried out following the guidelines defined by Kitchenham [14]. The aim of reviewing the available body of literature in the field was motivated by the need for a summarized and structured evidence knowledge base. Moreover, we intended to identify the gaps in current research and develop guidelines for further investigation of the domain [14,15].

The search for related studies was limited by publication date (1992-2012) due to technological progress. Search results (published before 1992) were considered too old to be relevant. Three major online research databases (MEDLINE, Association of Computing Machinery [ACM], and Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers [IEEE]) were selected as data sources for the review. The search was performed on the 13th of November, 2012. The selected databases were queried using the same set of keywords, containing combinations of the following terms: “laparoscopy”, “annotate”, “telestrate”, “telestration”, “annotation”, “minimally invasive”, and “MIS”. Keywords were selected based on earlier research in the domain [3,11,12], in accordance with the corporate decision of the co-authors. The results of the search were analyzed by one reviewer to determine the eligibility of the studies. We admit the possible weakness of including only one reviewer in the study selection process; however, due to the narrow focus and straightforward evaluation of the papers, this bias should be minimal.

Developing the Evaluation Framework
The methodology presented by Sjøberg et al [16] was employed to develop the theoretical fundamentals for evaluating the impacts of the technology. The initial focus was to define the basic elements of the theory-constructs. We aimed to use concrete and easily measurable concepts instead of abstractions. This approach makes the framework less universal; however, our purpose was to develop a specialized evaluation methodology for a narrow field telestration system. Relationships between the concepts were defined to highlight the existing dependencies. The framework was supplemented by explanations and a description of the scope. Constructs, relationships, and explanations are the main components for developing theoretical models [16].

Results

Systematic Literature Review
The keyword-based search resulted in 117 papers in total, as shown in Figure 2. We aimed to identify and analyze papers reporting the use of telestration feature. The main focus was on the analyses of the impacts of telestration to the overall procedure toward research-based proof of the benefits for telementoring. Nine instances were discarded as duplicates. Title analysis resulted in 88 exclusions due to a focus on other disciplines. An additional 8 records were discarded after analyzing the abstracts of the papers due to a lack of relevance to the current research topic. Only 12 (10%) studies were considered eligible for the final stage-full text analysis, which revealed that only 8 papers were relevant for the current study: [13,17-23] (4 papers were excluded due to lack of focus on telestration).

The review was performed to assess the impacts and benefits of telestration as applied to telementoring systems and then analyze these data in a systematic manner. The study revealed a gap of knowledge in assessing the outcomes of telestration. All of the selected studies reported the use of telestration; however, no analysis or assessment of its impacts was identified. The only outcome was a significant improvement of the mentoring session due to the ability to annotate graphic content, reported in 3 papers [18,20,21]. No support for the claims was provided, making them sound subjective. The remainder of the papers declared the feature to be an integral part of the telementoring system; although, no additional details were made available.

The purpose of the review was to assess the potential benefits of telestration in telementoring based on reported experiences. However, we were unable to achieve our goal due to the lack of existing research. The shortage of publications motivated the need to propose an evaluation framework for analyzing the outcomes of telestration in the scope of telementoring.
Definition of Concepts and Theoretical Models

An extra layer of interaction and complexity is added by providing the ability to annotate the graphic content used in a telementoring session. On the one hand, telestration simplifies pointing actions, eliminating the need for discussion to define the exact location in the visual representation; while on the other hand, it introduces extra complexity into the workflow model of the procedure. This complexity includes controlling of the telementoring system and annotations as well as extra hardware in the operating room. In this Section, we aim to define the potential benefits of telestration and discuss the impact of telestration on the outcome of the overall procedure.

To define the influence of telestration while telementoring, the outcomes were divided into Educational and Clinical Impact groups, highlighting the direct influence of the education process on potentially improved patient outcome (see Figure 3). To lay the basis for determining the impact of this feature, we focus on the case of laparoscopic surgery as an initial point for a video conferencing-based telementoring and surgical education. The laparoscopic procedure was selected because of its high visual component and the fact that the procedure is already transmitted to a monitor, which allows the easy adoption of the mentoring approach. As the local surgeon, hereafter referred to as mentee, is observing the progress of the procedure on the monitor, sharing this representation with a remote mentor is highly feasible. However, representation sharing and verbal interaction between endpoints (a common telementoring approach) is not sufficient in some cases. From an educational perspective (Figure 4) telestration is a feature that adds interactivity to the learning process, which should result in increasing quality of education through more comprehensive instructional material. An increased quality of education (defined quantitatively as increasing scores in the rating scale) should be enough impetus to suggest the possibility of a shorter duration of surgical training. This change could potentially lead to a rising number of highly experienced medical personnel and lower education costs. However, a lack of research leaves the assumptions poorly supported until the validation of the framework on real-life cases.

From a clinical perspective, the overall goal is the improved patient outcome, defined quantitatively as the ratio and severity level of complications, as shown in Figure 5. We assume that the use of the telestration feature in mentoring should result in
increased accuracy of the surgical decisions, relation A in Figure 5, and overall mentoring process as the exact location of interest can be defined visually instead of more ambiguous verbal description. Secondly, the duration required to indicate the exact location in the operative field should decrease, resulting in a decreased duration of the overall procedure, relation C in Figure 5. We assume that following more accurate directions of the mentor should also decrease the duration of the procedure, relation D in Figure 5, help avoid clinical errors and shorten the recovery period, contributing to the overall goal–improved patient outcome, relation E in Figure 5. Moreover, shorter recovery and hospitalization is directly related to lower costs of treatment. The concept of “surgical education” represents the connection of educational and clinical outcomes, depicted in Figure 3, while relation B in Figure 5 summarizes the impacts of telestration with respect to improving surgical education as discussed above. F is one of the fundamental relations in the model dealing with the direct impact of improved education on the final well-being of the patient.

Another important aspect that should not be omitted is time consumption. From the perspective of the mentor, time savings contribute to a reduction of the workload as well as increased availability of the expert. The mentee should also experience decreased time consumption, resulting in shorter duration of the overall procedure. These issues pose the following question: given that the resources available in the hospital are constant, is it possible to treat more patients during the same period of time by decreasing the duration of the procedure? Moreover, the costs of the treatment should also diminish.

To summarize the Section, we assume that telestration is a feature that improves telementoring techniques. However, due to lack of studies on the possible impacts on the overall mentoring process, our claims remain poorly supported. Only the most obvious relations were discussed due to the simplicity of the models. Other dependencies may exist.

Figure 3. Impacts of telestration.

Figure 4. Educational outcomes of telestration.

Figure 5. Clinical outcomes of telestration.
Impact Measurement

To prove the hypotheses formulated in Section Definition of Concepts and Theoretical Models, a measurement system needs to be established. The purpose of such system is to assess if the introduction of a particular feature resulted in expected outcomes. This system calls for a comparison of measurements before and after the procedure workflow was supplemented by the new technology.

Evaluating the educational outcomes, shown in Figure 4, is straightforward, as the “decreased duration” and “increasing scores in the rating scale” concepts are easy to measure. The same strategy applies to relations C and D (“decreased knife time”) as well as E and F (“reduced ratio and severity of complications”), which refer to the clinical outcomes of telestration in Figure 5. The established Clavien-Dindo classification of surgical complications is proposed as a metric for assessing the grade of postoperative complications [24]. Relation B in Figure 5 represents the link between telestration and improved educational outcomes, depicted in Figure 3. The main challenge is to prove the dependency between using telestration and improved accuracy of mentoring (relation A, Figure 5). Although this dependency may appear self-evident, due to the increased accuracy of pointing actions, a reliable measure is complicated. Therefore, we propose a combined measure for determining the existence and benefits of this relationship. This measure assesses the following: (1) the number of guidance misinterpretations (possibly leading to errors), (2) the number of requests to clarify the advice, (3) the total time spent on guidance during the procedure, and (4) the number of mentoring interruptions to the flow of the procedure. The combined score is used to determine the accuracy of the mentoring accompanied by telestration. The measures are summarized in Table 1.

To evaluate our hypotheses, a comparison of measures before and after introducing the technology to the operating room must be performed. ALFA Toolkit [25] is one of the tools for analyzing the captured videos, including assessing the durations and other parameters. Results from similar research prove the feasibility of using the tool for the analysis of multi-channel videos in order to measure the impacts of the technology on the workflow of the procedure [26-28]. Three video sources (the output from the laparoscopic camera, the telementoring video and an overview of the operating room, which captures the actions of the surgical team as well as the laparoscopic and telementoring monitors) accompanied by an audio record will be used to evaluate the changes in the procedure workflow. The analysis requires a manual video coding to determine the start and end points of a particular event. This step may become ambiguous; therefore, it should be performed by multiple independent coders. Average value should be used in a case of coders’ disagreement, kappa coefficient should be reported. The following parameters should be encoded and measured using combined videos of the procedure:

- Start and end point of the procedure (knife time)
- Number of guidance misinterpretations
- Number of requests to clarify the guidance
- Start and end point of every telementoring interaction between mentor and mentee
- Initiator of each interaction

Duration and timing-based measures are automatically collected by ALFA Toolkit during the coding process, while the numerical data (number of misinterpretations and clarification requests, initiator of the interaction) is recorded by the coders in an MS Excel sheet, which is combined with the values exported from ALFA toolkit for further analysis. Average values together with dispersion coefficients are used to represent the final results.

Table 1. Measurement system.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Relationship in Figure 5</th>
<th>What is measured?</th>
<th>Measure unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Knife time</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Duration: starting point–first incision, end point–end of the procedure.</td>
<td>Minutes, seconds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio and severity level of complications</td>
<td>E, F</td>
<td>Number of complications / overall number of performed procedures and severity of the complications, if any.</td>
<td>Ratio coefficient and a grade in the Clavien-Dindo classification scheme for surgical complications [24]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Score in rating scale (surgical education)</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Scores in the predefined scale to measure the progress of education.</td>
<td>Rating score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration (surgical education)</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Duration required to reach the same level in the rating scale.</td>
<td>Hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy of mentoring</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>1) Number of guidance misinterpretations (possibly leading to errors), 2) Number of requests to clarify the guidance, 3) Total time spent on guidance during the procedure, and 4) Number of interruptions of the flow of the procedure for mentoring.</td>
<td>Combined score</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Discussion

Principal Findings

Research biases were analyzed in detail by Hartman et al [29] to increase the awareness of methods of mitigating their undesirable impacts. Although a number of biases were studied, in this phase of the project, the most attention is given to measurement-related biases.

An accurate measure of the parameters defined in Table 1 is a challenge. However, to achieve accurate results in each experiment, the requirements for the analyzed procedure and stakeholders should be formalized to keep them as standard as possible. Unfortunately, the observed procedures are never the same, even if a strictly predefined workflow is followed. Moreover, the human factor shapes the workflow according to the preferences and previous experiences of the surgeon in charge. Complete control of the mentioned factors may be impossible; however, to increase the accuracy, only very similar procedures are to be studied and represented in the final results.

The similarity of the cases is based on preoperative data (medical imaging, observations, etc.). Moreover, it is assumed that the remote mentoring approach is also highly influenced by the social connection between mentor and mentee, their previous experiences in telementoring, and their current attitudes. An accurate determination of the levels of experience of mentor and mentee is also a subjective parameter influencing the final results of the study. Randomization of the observed procedures and surgical team may be a way to achieve more consistent results in this case.

From the point of view of the measurement process itself, ambiguity is also inevitable. To avoid biases in duration and score estimation based on video representation, only the consensus decision of the project team should be considered correct.

The presented framework addresses the theoretical side of the project. It was developed as a methodology section for the on-going research. Logical relations between the concepts are represented in order to understand the influence of the technology on procedural workflow and define it in a measurable manner. The research still suffers from the lack of evidence and validation on real life test cases.

To conclude the section, we admit the potential weaknesses of the proposed research caused by the mentioned biases. The list of the biases influencing the final outcome of the experiment is not exhaustive; however, we aimed to mention only the most obvious cases.

Conclusions

The paper aimed to summarize the experience of using telestration during telementoring sessions to highlight the impacts of this feature on the mentoring process and workflow of the procedure. However, an absence of research reflecting the use of telestration was identified. Therefore, a framework for analyzing the impact of live video content annotation was proposed. To keep the models simple and adaptable, easy-to-measure concepts were employed and only the most obvious dependencies were discussed. To support our claims and the presented models, an impact measurement procedure was defined.

The presented framework and impact measurement procedures form a methodology for the further analysis and reporting of research on telestration and telementoring systems. Having a more formalized method should increase the quality and quantity of publications in this field, providing an evidence-based knowledge base supporting the development of the telementoring domain and the introduction of new technologies and features with the aim of improving patient outcomes.
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Abstract

Background: Remote monitoring (RM) permits home interrogation of implantable cardioverter defibrillator (ICD) and provides an alternative option to frequent in-person visits.

Objective: The Italia-RM survey aimed to investigate the current practice of ICD follow-up in Italy and to evaluate the adoption and routine use of RM.

Methods: An ad hoc questionnaire on RM adoption and resource use during in-clinic and remote follow-up sessions was completed in 206 Italian implanting centers.

Results: The frequency of routine in-clinic ICD visits was 2 per year in 158/206 (76.7%) centers, 3 per year in 37/206 (18.0%) centers, and 4 per year in 10/206 (4.9%) centers. Follow-up examinations were performed by a cardiologist in 203/206 (98.5%) centers, and by more than one health care worker in 184/206 (89.3%) centers. There were 137/206 (66.5%) responding centers that had already adopted an RM system, the proportion of ICD patients remotely monitored being 15% for single- and dual-chamber ICD and 20% for cardiac resynchronization therapy ICD. Remote ICD interrogations were scheduled every 3 months, and were performed by a cardiologist in 124/137 (90.5%) centers. After the adoption of RM, the mean time between in-clinic visits increased from 5 (SD 1) to 8 (SD 3) months (P<.001).

Conclusions: In current clinical practice, in-clinic ICD follow-up visits consume a large amount of health care resources. The results of this survey show that RM has only partially been adopted in Italy and, although many centers have begun to implement RM in their clinical practice, the majority of their patients continue to be routinely followed-up by means of in-clinic visits.
KEYWORDS
implantable defibrillator; remote monitoring; follow-up

Introduction
Remote Monitoring
Remote monitoring (RM) has been developed in order to handle the increasing number of patients with implantable cardiac devices, and who therefore require follow-up visits. Indeed, follow-up visits of implantable cardiac devices are the most frequent activities performed at arrhythmia services [1], and place a great burden on health care providers [2].

RM systems include a patient monitor that, using radiofrequency telemetry, allows data transmission without patient intervention. The patient’s information is sent to a secure network server via the telephone connection. The clinical staff can review device information on a secure Internet-accessible website. These systems provide full device interrogation, monitoring for arrhythmias, and surveillance of device performance from the patient’s home. Moreover, RM systems can alert the physician via phone or email, in the case of programmable parameters, about clinical or device issues. RM has many potential benefits, both for the patient and for the follow-up center. Indeed, it was shown to detect events more quickly and more frequently [3,4], specifically facilitating the early detection of technical issues and clinical anomalies [5], and thus to decrease the time to a clinical decision [6], reduce urgent in-clinic visits [7,8], and mortality [9].

RM systems are currently available for almost all makes of implantable cardioverter defibrillator (ICD) and have been operational in Europe for about 10 years.

Aim
To date, only few and contradictory data were presented on the actual adoption of RM in routine clinical practice in Italy and Europe [10,11]. The aim of this survey was to investigate the current practice of ICD follow-up in Italy and to evaluate the adoption and routine use of RM.

Methods
The Questionnaire
There were 206 Italian centers implanting ICDs that replied to an ad hoc questionnaire sent in July 2012.

A complete list of participating centers is reported in Multimedia Appendix 1. The participating centers constituted a representative sample (206/432, 47.7%) of the 432 Italian ICD implanting centers listed in the 2011 edition of the Italian ICD Registry of Italian Society of Arrhythmology and Pacing (AIAC) [12], which includes almost all implanting centers in Italy. According to published data from the AIAC Registry, the survey centers performed 5534 (61.50%) of the 8998 de novo ICD implantation procedures carried out in 2011 in Italy. Figure 1 shows the replies to this survey came from centers with a wide range of annual ICD implantation volumes.

The centers were asked to describe their practice of ICD follow-up. Specifically, they reported on the actions performed during routine device follow-up, the time required for follow-up examinations, the involvement of health care personnel, the interval between scheduled follow-up visits, and their use of RM. The complete list of survey questions is listed below.

Routine ICD follow-up:

- Number of ICD patients in follow-up (single-, dual-chamber, CRT-D)
- Number of routine in-clinic visits per year
- Mean duration of in-clinic visits (single-, dual-chamber, CRT-D)
- Number and type of health care personnel involved in in-clinic visits
- Proportion of visits with ICD reprogramming
- Clinical evaluation performed at the time of routine ICD follow-up
- Presence of a structured heart failure management program in the center

Adoption and routine use of RM:

- Number of ICD in remote follow-up (single-, dual-chamber, CRT-D)
- Number of routine in-clinic visits per year in RM patients
- Frequency of scheduled remote interrogations
- Number and type of health care personnel involved in remote visits

The Data
Continuous data are expressed as means (standard deviations) or medians and interquartile ranges. Categorical data are expressed as percentages. Differences between mean data were compared by means of a t test for Gaussian variables, and by the Mann-Whitney nonparametric test for nonGaussian variables. Differences in proportions were compared by means of chi-square analysis or Fisher’s exact test, as appropriate. A P value <.05 was considered significant for all tests. All statistical analyses were performed by means of STATISTICA software, version 7.1 (StatSoft, Inc, Tulsa, OK, USA).
Results

Routine ICD Follow-Up

The frequency of routine in-clinic visits was 2 per year in 158/206 (76.7%) centers, 3 per year in 37/206 (18.0%) centers and 4 per year in 10/206 (4.9%) centers. Figure 2 shows the frequency of scheduled visits in relation to ICD implantation volumes; only a trend toward less frequent visits was seen in high-volume centers ($P$=.07).

Follow-up examinations were performed by a cardiologist in 203/206 (98.5%) centers and by more than one health care worker in 184/206 (89.3%). In 133/206 (64.6%) survey centers, the patient’s clinical status was not assessed during routine in-clinic follow-up, these visits being devoted exclusively to checking the ICD. In 75/206 (36.4%) centers, ICD patients were included in structured heart failure management programs. The reported duration of in-clinic follow-up visits was 15 (SD 7) minutes for single-chamber ICD, 16 (SD 8) minutes for dual-chamber ICD, and 20 (SD 9) minutes for cardiac resynchronization therapy ICD (CRT-D). Device reprogramming was required in (10%) (25th-75th percentile: 7-20) of visits.

Adoption and Routine Use of RM

There were 137/206 (66.5%) responding centers that had already adopted an RM system for remote ICD interrogation. Figure 3 shows the proportions of centers using RM, stratified by ICD implantation volume.

In centers currently using RM systems, the proportion of ICD patients remotely monitored was 15% (25th-75th percentile: 5-30) for single-chamber ICD, 15% (25th-75th percentile: 5-35) for dual-chamber ICD, and 20% (25th-75th percentile: 10-42) for CRT-D. Remote ICD interrogations were scheduled every 3 months (25th-75th percentile: 1-3), and were performed by a cardiologist in 124/137 (90.5%) centers ($P$<.001 versus in-clinic visits). After the adoption of RM, the mean time between visits increased from 5 (SD 1) to 8 (SD 3) months ($P$<.001). Specifically, the frequency of in-clinic visits was decreased in 41/105 (39.0%) of centers routinely performing 2 visits per year in nonRM patients, in 15/24 (62.5%) of centers performing 3 visits per year, and 5/7 (71.4%) of centers performing 4 visits per year ($P$=.04).
Discussion

Results of the Survey

The results of the Italia-RM survey confirm that, in current clinical practice, in-clinic ICD follow-up visits consume a large amount of health care resources. Internet-based RM is becoming a new standard for the follow-up of patients with active implantable cardiac devices [13]. Nonetheless, the results of this survey show that it is not extensively used in Italy. Although the majority of Italian centers have begun to implement RM in their clinical practice, most of their patients continue to be followed-up by means of routine in-clinic visits.

A joint European Heart Rhythm Association (EHRA)-Eucomed survey [2] conducted in centers in seven European countries indicated that, in “real-world” clinical practice, resource utilization related to the follow-up of implantable cardiac devices places a considerable burden on arrhythmia services. The survey revealed that most follow-up examinations involved two staff members (usually a cardiologist and a nurse), and that visits lasted about 20 minutes. The authors hypothesized that most arrhythmia services may be reaching, or have already reached, their maximum workload capacity; this is in agreement with the findings of a previous survey conducted by the Heart Rhythm Society, which showed that follow-up examinations were the most frequent activities performed by electrophysiologists [1].

Clinical Evaluations

In agreement with these findings, we ascertained that 184/206 (89.3%) of follow-up visits involved two staff members, and that a cardiologist attended 203/206 (98.5%) of examinations. By contrast, in the majority of survey centers, clinical evaluation by physicians was not performed at the time of routine device follow-up, although recommendations suggest that the clinical status of the patient should be reviewed during follow-up, as it may influence subsequent management [14]. Clinical evaluations may not be performed owing to the lack of time and resources,
or may be carried out separately from the device check by physicians in charge of the clinical management of the patient. However, only a minority of responding centers reported including ICD patients in structured heart failure management programs.

Interestingly, it was recently demonstrated that patients who did not undergo clinical examination during device follow-up visits had a better attitude towards RM and were more appreciative of its timesaving advantage [15]. Thus, it was suggested that the stimulus to experience new device-check modalities could lie substantially in perceiving the current modalities as unsatisfactory. Anyhow, published reports on preliminary experiences of RM have consistently shown a high level of patient acceptance and satisfaction with RM [16,17]. Therefore, the limited adoption in current clinical practice should not be ascribed to a lack of acceptance by patients.

RM Usage in European Clinical Practice

In 2010, an EHRA survey measured the use of RM in 61 European centers in 15 countries [10]. The authors reported that 52/61 (85%) of the centers already had experience of RM systems, and that management of the data collected in the majority of these centers was delegated to a dedicated allied professional. In 2011, a second survey, performed in 40 EHRA centers, showed less encouraging results [11]; RM was reportedly used routinely in CRT-D and ICD patients by only half of the centers.

The Italia-RM nation-wide survey analyzed the practice of ICD follow-up and the current use of RM systems in a large number of implanting centers in Italy. The participating centers represent about half of the Italian implanting centers and performed 5534/8998 (61.50%) of all ICD implantation procedures in 2011. Moreover, the participating centers displayed wide variability in the volume of procedures and were well distributed throughout the country.

Our analysis showed that RM systems have so far been adopted by 137/206 (66.5%) of centers for remote ICD interrogation. In each center, the median proportion of patients remotely monitored ranged from 15% of single-chamber ICD to 20% of CRT-D. The more frequent use of RM in CRT-D may be explained by the need to monitor sicker patients with greater continuity. Nonetheless, a recent analysis of the actions taken during in-clinic follow-up examinations suggested that the lower incidence of visits eliciting clinical or device-related action in the single- or dual-chamber ICD population should encourage the use of RM in these patients [18].

Device Reprogramming

In our survey, device reprogramming was reported to be necessary in 10% of visits. Similarly, Mascioli et al [18] reported that device reprogramming was performed in 12% of scheduled visits. Boriani et al [2] reported a higher proportion of device reprogramming (about 30%) and a significant impact of reprogramming on the duration of the visit. However, it has been demonstrated that, following an initial optimization period, the frequency of device reprogramming declines and RM systems may become a more attractive alternative to in-clinic visits [19].

In general, RM may be timesaving for scheduled, nonactionable transmissions, while transmissions with clinically important findings and poor patient compliance have considerable workflow implications [20]. Therefore, in order to implement RM in standard clinical practice, new organizational models need to be developed in which nurses are responsible for training patients, entering and reviewing data, submitting critical cases to physicians, contacting patients, and ensuring patient compliance [21,22]. Recently, Ricci et al [23] reported that an outpatient clinic workflow model based on primary nursing could be extremely effective and could reduce resource consumption. Specifically, they showed that nurses could perform 76% of remote interrogation sessions. However, our results revealed that, in the vast majority of centers, remote ICD interrogations continued to be performed by a cardiologist.

RM Visit Scheduling

In accordance with recommendations [14], routine in-clinic ICD examinations were performed every 3-6 months in our centers. However, it seems that high-volume centers tend to schedule visits less frequently, although this trend was nonsignificant. Similarly, the use of RM systems seems to be greater in high-volume centers. However, the main reason for adopting RM appears to be the prospect of improving the quality of care rather than reducing the workload in the centers. Indeed, remote transmissions were scheduled every 3 months; thus, the interval between ICD interrogations was reduced. Moreover, although the adoption of RM generally enabled the time between in-clinic visits to be increased, the majority of centers that scheduled less frequent visits prior to the adoption of RM were seen to have maintained the same number of in-clinic visits per year.

Remote Interrogation of ICD Patients

The first reports on RM systems for the remote interrogation of ICD patients in Europe date back to around 10 years ago. Nonetheless, the results of the present survey show that it has only been partially adopted in Italy and that the majority of ICD patients continue to be followed-up by means of routine in-clinic visits.

Ostensibly, RM is more attractive for high-volume centers, where arrhythmia services may be overcrowded. Moreover, within each center, RM may be preferentially allocated to patients undergoing de novo ICD implantation, patients who are more compliant, or those to whom standard in-clinic visits cause greater inconvenience.

Appropriate reimbursement by health care systems and insurance companies, which is currently lacking in Italy and other European countries, is critical to stimulating the widespread adoption of RM [24]. Similarly, the adoption of new organizational models in the centers is warranted, in order to effectively and efficiently implement RM in standard clinical practice, converting this innovative approach to a cost-saving solution for patients, hospitals, and the public payer [23,25].

Conclusions

In conclusion, in-clinic ICD follow-up visits currently consume a large amount of health care resources. Internet-based RM has been developed as a cost-effective solution for the management
of patients with implantable cardiac devices. However, we showed that RM has only partially been adopted in Italy and, although many centers have begun to implement RM in their clinical practice, the majority of their patients continue to be routinely followed up by means of in-clinic visits.
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Abstract

Background: In this digital era, there is a growing tendency to use the popular Internet site YouTube as a new electronic-learning (e-learning) means for continuing medical education. Heart transplantation (HTx) remains the most viable option for patients with end-stage heart failure or severe coronary artery disease. There are plenty of freely accessible YouTube videos providing medical information about HTx.

Objective: The aim of the present study is to determine the effectiveness of YouTube as an e-learning source on HTx.

Methods: In order to carry out this study, YouTube was searched for videos uploaded containing surgical-related information using the four keywords: (1) “heart transplantation”, (2) “cardiac transplantation”, (3) “heart transplantation operation”, and (4) “cardiac transplantation operation”. Only videos in English (with comments or subtitles in English language) were included. Two experienced cardiac surgeons watched each video (N=1800) and classified them as useful, misleading, or recipients videos based on the HTx-relevant information. The kappa statistic was used to measure interobserver variability. Data was analyzed according to six types of YouTube characteristics including “total viewership”, “duration”, “source”, “days since upload”, “scores” given by the viewers, and specialized information contents of the videos.

Results: A total of 342/1800 (19.00%) videos had relevant information about HTx. Of these 342 videos, 215 (62.8%) videos had useful information about specialized knowledge, 7/342 (2.0%) were found to be misleading, and 120/342 (35.1%) only concerned recipients’ individual issues. Useful videos had 56.09% of total viewership share (2,175,845/3,878,890), whereas misleading had 35.47% (1,375,673/3,878,890). Independent user channel videos accounted for a smaller proportion (19% in total numbers) but might have a wider impact on Web viewers, with the highest mean views/day (mean 39, SD 107) among four kinds of channels to distribute HTx-related information.

Conclusions: YouTube videos on HTx benefit medical professionals by providing a substantial amount of information. However, it is a time-consuming course to find high-quality videos. More authoritative videos by trusted sources should be posted for dissemination of reliable information. With an improvement of ranking system and content providers in future, YouTube, as a freely accessible outlet, will help to meet the huge informational needs of medical staffs and promote medical education on HTx.
Introduction

Heart transplantation (HTx) is still the gold standard in the treatment of end-stage heart failure for appropriate candidates [1]. Since the first successful human-to-human HTx was performed in 1967, the survival quality and life span of HTx recipients have improved tremendously [2]. Between 1982 and 2009, there were 97,911 cases of HTx in the world, according to the Registry report of the International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation (ISHLT) [3]. Along with the evolving development in patient selection, surgical techniques, perioperative care, and clinical follow-up, the outcomes of HTx have improved over the past four decades [1]. The overall survival rates after HTx show inspiring results from the data of ISHLT: five-year overall survival rates were 62.49-68.94%, ten-year 47.53-52.08%, and fifteen-year 29.63-37.05% [4]. Furthermore, the quality of life of HTx recipients is excellent. For instance, if including housewife recipients, approximately 90% of the adult recipients returned to their job following HTx in Japan [5]. Nevertheless, the volumes of HTx recently slowly declined, largely due to a critical organ donor shortage, and there were approximately 2200 cases yearly in the United States [6,7]. Therefore, the shortage of live surgical cases has led to reduced opportunities to witness this major operation, especially for medical students and trainee doctors.

At present, the Internet has become the largest and most up-to-date source for medical information worldwide [8]. In North America alone, 74% of adults use the Internet daily, and 80% of all users search for health-related information [9-11]. Acquiring and sharing medical information via the Internet offers extraordinary electronic-learning (e-learning) possibilities and has gradually changed the learning habits of medical professionals. When questions about health care arise, physicians increasingly turn to the Internet, which has changed the way medical students learn, communicate, and share specialized information, rather than to journals and textbooks [12,13]. Major search engines, such as Google, are often the first place physicians go for information [13-15]. Since 2005, YouTube has become the third most visited site on the Internet, after Facebook and Google [9,16]. Presently, there are over 4 billion hours of video being watched per month on YouTube, with 72 hours of video uploaded per minute, triple the statistical outcome in 2010 [17,18]. Therefore, the YouTube website is currently the leading audiovisual information center of medically relevant videos [13]. Numerous individuals, organizations, hospitals, and academic institutions from around the world have uploaded plenty of freely accessible medical videos onto the YouTube website. Moreover, the new generation of medical professionals is inclined to use social networks, online communities, and multiple media to learn specialized knowledge, because these means possess a nature of immediacy and parallelism when presenting information [19]. Currently, 94% of medical students actively participate in social media applications, compared to 79% of residents and 42% of physicians [19,20].

Some scholars have evaluated YouTube as a source of medical information on H1N1 influenza, papillomavirus vaccination, prostate cancer, and kidney stone [21-24]. However, until now, little is known about the characteristics of existing YouTube videos focusing on HTx. To our knowledge, there is no investigation to have examined the quality of videos related to HTx on YouTube. In this study, our aim is to assess the overall situation of specialized medical information in HTx-related YouTube videos.

Methods

Determination of HTx-Related Videos

This trial was conducted as a cross-sectional analysis. The website YouTube (YouTube, LLC, San Bruno, CA) was searched according to “relevance” priority for the following keywords: “heart transplantation”, “cardiac transplantation”, “heart transplantation operation”, and “cardiac transplantation operation.” All the videos containing relevant information about HTx before February 01, 2013 were included in this study. The total number of videos that appeared in the searching was 6930. However, 95% of people conducting an online search will watch no further than the first 60 videos of output, and most researchers for similar studies on YouTube videos usually chose the first 200 to 300 videos as their data sources [24-26]. Thus, we viewed and analyzed the first 1800/6930 (25.97%) videos (600 in 3750 “heart transplantation”, 600 in 1200 “cardiac transplantation”, 300 in 770 “heart transplantation operation”, and 300 in 1210 “cardiac transplantation operation”), on the assumption that no medical practitioner would go beyond the first 300 to 600 videos even for a serious e-learning goal. English language (comments or subtitles) in the video was a prerequisite for inclusion. Among all the videos, those meeting this inclusive criterion were further viewed. Data evaluation was independently conducted by two experienced cardiac surgeons (H-M Chen and X-B Liao) blind to each other. After discarding all the videos that were either duplicated, which have partially or completely identical content with shorter durations (part or whole, 647/1800, 35.94%), or completely irrelevant with medical knowledge (811/1800, 45.05% such as the names of some songs or electronic games), all the videos containing specialized medical information on HTx (342/1800, 19.00%, such as surgical lectures or live broadcasts) were classified from the aspect of information and knowledge as useful, misleading, and recipients videos (Figure 1). This classifying methodology of our study was conducted in accordance with the observations of Sood et al [24,27,28].

First, the video was grouped under the “useful” category if it contained scientifically correct information about any aspect of HTx such as donor procurement, surgical techniques, perioperative management, rejection control, clinical follow-up,
new technologies, or the issues about medical humanities (eg, history of HTx, appealing for organ donation, etc). Second, the video was categorized as “misleading” if it contained scientifically incorrect or unproven information for now (eg, self-healing from serious heart failure by the help of the God rather than medical service; asserting that mechanical circulatory support is currently a practical alternative to HTx, but without convincing proof existing in the literature; oversight during the delivery and preservation of cardiac graft; and dissemination for abandoning HTx in end-stage heart failure patients). Finally, if the video described recipients’ personal experience rather than medical information of HTx per se (eg, for raising donation, expressing gratitude, advertising hospitals, etc), it was called a “recipients video.”

In case the investigators’ designation was not identical, the video was reevaluated with both surgeons and a united assessment was conducted. The kappa statistic was used to measure interinvestigator variability.

**Figure 1.** A graphic display of the classifying process of HTx-related videos.

---

**Basic Communicative Analyses of HTx-Related Videos**

Videos were categorized according to the “source” into four groups: namely, hospital/university channel (H/UC), independent user channel (IUC), medical dotcom channel (MDC), and news agency channel (NAC) [21,24]. These four categories were determined after watching all the videos and were chosen on the basis of the primary themes that emerged. Data were analyzed according to six kinds of YouTube characteristics that include (1) “total viewership”, (2) “duration”, (3) “source”, (4) “days since upload”, (5) “scores” given by the viewers, and (6) specialized information contents of the videos. To begin with the evaluation process, first, the “scores” of videos were used to describe the general evaluation of each video, which were determined by subtracting the total number of “dislikes” from the total number of “likes” designated by antecedent viewers at the statistical point in time. In our opinion, the higher positive value of “scores” may mean higher
recognition of antecedent viewers and more attractive to new viewers.

Data were analyzed with SPSS version 19.0 (SPSS Inc) and presented as mean (SD). When more than two groups of means were compared (eg, mean “duration”, mean number of “days since upload”, and mean “scores” for four source groups), data were analyzed for statistical significance using a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by a Tukey comparison of all groups. Before running the analysis, data were checked to determine if they met the assumptions of ANOVA (homogeneity of variances and data were sampled from the Gaussian distribution). P value less than .05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Top 10 YouTube Videos After Searching With the Term “Heart Transplantation”

After the first-step search with four key terms, the vast majority of the output consisted of nonmedical or minute-medical videos without meaningful and specialized information (such as live but shaking or silent videos of less than 10 seconds). For example, a YouTube search for the term “heart transplantation” returned 3750 videos, and the top 10 outcomes sorted by relevance are provided in Table 1. Observably, the first four videos and the last three videos are useful for medical professionals. However, three intermediate videos (ranked 5th, 6th, and 7th) are all the advertisements of an electronic game named “Surgeon Simulator 2013.” In short, 3 days after uploading, the number of their “total viewership” accounted for 291,760/1,069,235 (27.28%) of the number of whole “total viewership” of these 10 videos. Furthermore, the “scores” of these 3 videos, which are completely uncorrelated with HTx, unexpectedly showed high value (1003, 394, and 2469, respectively).

Classification of HTx-Related Videos

Of all the YouTube videos that were viewed, 342/1800 (19.00%) videos were classified into three groups containing medical information on HTx (total duration, 2373.8 minutes). Details for the inclusion of these videos are shown in Figure 1. The kappa coefficient of agreement on classification of videos between two surgeons was .89, which is usually in the “almost perfect” agreement range (.81-.99) [39]. The classification of these HTx-relevant videos based on their usefulness with details of other characteristics is given in Table 2. The mean duration of 342 videos was 6.94 minutes (SD 11.59, range 0.5-93), the mean scores of them showed 18.77 (SD 99.69, range 42 to 1148), and the mean viewers/day (since the date videos being uploaded)” were 15.95 (SD 67.14, range 0.02-785.30).

The majority of the useful videos were mainly posted by strong reputation such as H/UC (88/214, 41.1%) and NAC (64/214, 29.9%) (Table 2). For example, the “batsonhospital” channel provided the third ranked video in Table 1, which documented a success story about the fourth pediatric HTx in the Batson Children’s Hospital at the University of Mississippi Medical Center (see Multimedia Appendix 1). On the contrary, IUC delivered a majority of the misleading videos (5/6, 83%) and recipients videos (45/126, 35.7%). Detailed analysis of useful videos is shown in Table 3. Videos uploaded by H/UC occupied the vast proportion both in numbers (88/214, 41.1%) and total duration in minutes (657.05/1723.7, 38.12%) among all videos. No statistically significant difference was noted in the mean “duration” (P=.55), mean “days since upload” (P=.25), and mean “scores” (P=.28) among useful videos based on “source” by ANOVA. However, useful IUC (40/214, 18.7%) videos had significantly higher mean “viewers/day” than H/UC videos (P=.006) and NAC videos (P=.046).

Communicative Analyses of Useful and Misleading Videos

Useful videos were also analyzed based on the medical information they delivered. All useful videos contained HTx-related information on at least one or more of the following aspects: (1) live demonstration of HTx, (2) brief introduction of surgeries, (3) release of new technologies, (4) scholar viewpoints by experts, or (5) medical humanities. For example, videos were categorized as “medical humanities” if the main message of the videos portrayed the history of HTx or brainstormed on the issue of organ donation after brain death. Content analysis of useful videos with respect to the above five aspects is presented in Table 4. The annual number of useful HTx-related videos shows an uptrend since 2007, especially with a growth spurt in 2012 (Figure 2).

Compared with useful videos, the misleading videos demonstrated the following characteristic: fewer numbers, shorter total and mean “duration”, but higher mean “scores” and mean “viewers/day” (Table 2). These results suggest that the misleading videos might have a more influence on audience compared to useful videos. However, because the data of misleading videos presented a non-Gaussian distribution with a small number of samples (just 6 videos), we did not further analyze these data.
Table 1. A summary of the top ten videos ranked by their “relevance”a resulting from a YouTube search for “heart transplantation” (HTx) on February 01, 2013.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Video title</th>
<th>Number of viewers</th>
<th>Days since upload</th>
<th>Scores</th>
<th>Descriptionb</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Heart transplantation</td>
<td>141,443</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>393</td>
<td>Showing orthotopic “bicaval” technique</td>
<td>[29]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Heart transplant surgery</td>
<td>188,083</td>
<td>898</td>
<td>307</td>
<td>Showing a brief scene of HTx</td>
<td>[30]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Revived Heart Transplant Program at Batson Children’s Hospital builds on a 20-year legacy</td>
<td>3052</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>The revived HTx program built on a 20-year history at the University of Mississippi Medical Center</td>
<td>[31]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Heart transplant procedure from Montefiore-Einstein, NYC</td>
<td>430,349</td>
<td>1904</td>
<td>1003</td>
<td>HTx with a panel discussion presented by the cardiothoracic surgeons of the Montefiore-Einstein Heart Center on an OR-Live webcast</td>
<td>[32]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Surgical Nightmare! Heart Transplant Masterclass - Surgeon Simulator 2013</td>
<td>18,060</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>Advertisement (ad) for an electronic game “Surgeon Simulator 2013”</td>
<td>[33]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Heart transplant surgery with live audience</td>
<td>20,086</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>Ad for an electronic game “Surgeon Simulator 2013”</td>
<td>[35]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Heart transplant part 1</td>
<td>13,676</td>
<td>368</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Classical and valuable video describing HTx</td>
<td>[36]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Implanted heart pumps keep patients in need of transplants alive</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>KPBS Health Reporter talked about left ventricular-assist device and heart donation</td>
<td>[37]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Heart transplant steps simplified by Dr Sandeep Attawar</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>HTx live broadcast</td>
<td>[38]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aThe term “relevance” refers to the default ranking system for YouTube queries and is determined based on Google algorithm.

bDescription states the kind of content present in the videos.
Table 2. Detailed characteristics of different categories of YouTube videos with relevant information on HTx.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Useful videos</th>
<th>Misleading videos</th>
<th>Recipients videos</th>
<th>Total HTx-related videos</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of videos, n (%)</td>
<td>214 (62.6)</td>
<td>6 (1.7)</td>
<td>122 (35.7)</td>
<td>342 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total duration in minutes (%)</td>
<td>1723.7 (72.61)</td>
<td>26.0 (1.10)</td>
<td>624.1 (26.29)</td>
<td>2373.8 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean duration in minutes (SD)</td>
<td>8.05 (13.36)</td>
<td>4.33 (5.33)</td>
<td>5.12 (7.58)</td>
<td>6.94 (11.59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean number of days on YouTube (SD)</td>
<td>582.59 (498.23)</td>
<td>594.17 (519.39)</td>
<td>433.16 (404.05)</td>
<td>529.49 (471.27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean scores (SD), range</td>
<td>16.83 (85.73)</td>
<td>46.50 (110.53)</td>
<td>20.82 (120.47)</td>
<td>18.77 (99.69)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source</td>
<td>Source</td>
<td>Source</td>
<td>Source</td>
<td>Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H/UC&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IUC&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDC&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAC&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Hospital/university channel.
<sup>b</sup>Independent user channel.
<sup>c</sup>Medical dotcom channel.
<sup>d</sup>News agency channel.

Table 3. Detailed characteristics of “useful videos” on YouTube uploaded by different sources.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Hospital/university channel</th>
<th>Independent user channel</th>
<th>Medical dotcom channel</th>
<th>News agency channel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Useful videos, N=214 (%)</td>
<td>88 (41.1)</td>
<td>40 (18.7)</td>
<td>22 (10.3)</td>
<td>64 (29.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total duration in minutes, 1723.7 (%)</td>
<td>657.05 (38.12)</td>
<td>320.40 (18.59)</td>
<td>263.00 (15.26)</td>
<td>483.25 (28.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean duration in minutes (SD)</td>
<td>7.47 (14.04)</td>
<td>8.01 (8.21)</td>
<td>11.95 (21.55)</td>
<td>7.55 (11.35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean number of days on YouTube (SD)</td>
<td>558.67 (456.60)</td>
<td>641.07 (657.85)</td>
<td>412.14 (377.99)</td>
<td>637.53 (456.25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean scores (SD), range</td>
<td>6.70 (34.80)</td>
<td>35.98 (103.36)</td>
<td>6.09 (13.62)</td>
<td>22.47 (126.70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total viewership (n) (%)</td>
<td>310,252 (14.26)</td>
<td>1,036,597 (47.64)</td>
<td>88,925 (4.09)</td>
<td>740,071 (34.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean views/day (SD), range</td>
<td>0.07-220.87</td>
<td>0.02-523.26</td>
<td>0.08-74.50</td>
<td>0.02-224.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>P=.006
<sup>b</sup>P=.046

Table 4. Detailed content analysis of “useful videos” from five aspects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aspects</th>
<th>Hospital/university channel, n (%)</th>
<th>Independent user channel, n (%)</th>
<th>Medical dotcom channel, n (%)</th>
<th>News agency channel, n (%)</th>
<th>Total, n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Live demonstration of HTx</td>
<td>6 (22.2)</td>
<td>11 (40.7)</td>
<td>5 (18.5)</td>
<td>5 (18.5)</td>
<td>27 (9.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brief introduction of HTx</td>
<td>31 (38.7)</td>
<td>17 (21.2)</td>
<td>18 (22.5)</td>
<td>14 (17.5)</td>
<td>80 (27.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Release of new technologies</td>
<td>34 (49.3)</td>
<td>11 (15.9)</td>
<td>6 (8.7)</td>
<td>18 (26.1)</td>
<td>69 (23.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experts’ scholar viewpoints</td>
<td>19 (52.7)</td>
<td>7 (19.5)</td>
<td>5 (13.9)</td>
<td>5 (13.9)</td>
<td>36 (12.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical humanities</td>
<td>18 (23.7)</td>
<td>17 (22.4)</td>
<td>6 (7.9)</td>
<td>35 (46.1)</td>
<td>76 (26.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coverage on the above five aspects</td>
<td>108 (37.5)</td>
<td>63 (21.9)</td>
<td>40 (13.9)</td>
<td>77 (26.7)</td>
<td>288</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Discussion

Principal Results

With the rise in popularity of the website YouTube, there is now another route by which medical professionals can learn the specialized knowledge. YouTube enables physicians and institutions with strong reputation to upload multimedia clips of medical knowledge on their channels. For instance, in Table 1, the fourth top video relevant to HTx (see Multimedia Appendix 2) had a high total viewership, which encompassed a live HTx operation with a panel discussion at the Montefiore-Einstein Heart Center, on September 19, 2007. This webcast-featured video portioned the scene of HTx as well as detailed descriptions of surgical techniques. The “scores” (1003 on February 1, 2013) of this video were very high, and it has won a lot of commendableness from physicians and patients, such as “Praise the doctors doing the surgery and teaching new doctors. Praise the experts that designed the tools...” (see Figure 3, comment from a YouTube user named “airborne101st45”).

YouTube videos provide us an effective way to actively engage with our worldwide colleagues, by subscribing and responding to high-quality clips from the respected individual surgeons. Although there is no information available on how many of the viewers of these videos were medical personnel, it is obvious that those high-quality videos can be used by medical staff and can improve the learning outcomes of physicians. For instance, in the live implantation video of a left ventricular assist device (LVAD, Heartmate II) as a bridge to HTx (see Multimedia Appendix 3), Dr Arie Blitz brought clarity and “even made laymen to understand the patients by seeing these procedures” (appraisal from a YouTube user named “Pat Stewart”, see Figure 4). After reviewing utterly specialized questions, such as why not performing a transapical aortic valve combined with LVAD implantation, Dr Arie Blitz always gave counterparts prompt and active responses (Figure 5).

However, our study demonstrated that the majority of HTx-related videos were easily available yet often without expertise information. Considering all the videos that were uploaded before February 1, 2013, YouTube had nearly 7000 videos by searching the keywords about HTx, yet only 342 of the 1800 (19.00%) videos were actually related. Furthermore, in about 40 hours of coverage of these videos, only 72.61% of them contained useful specialized information about this challenging surgery. At present, many reliable academic institutions, such as ISHLT, have not exerted their positive and dominant impacts to increase the signal-to-noise ratio of HTx-related YouTube videos, through uploading their high-quality videos for viewing by people. For example, the American Association for Thoracic Surgery (AATS) has been registered as an organizational user (AATSVideos) on November 7, 2012. However, there were only 21 videos as open resources, which contain nothing about HTx. Interestingly, the striking “Watch AATS Video” sign always stays at the top of AATS website, and there are five links to the Cardiothoracic Surgery Network (CTSNet), which deposited 159 authoritative videos of cardiac surgeries until April 12, 2013. Therefore, even with a newest video titled “Left Ventricular Aneurysm Resection and LVAD Implantation Through Median Sternotomy” (Multimedia Appendix 4) on CTSNet, there was no HTx-related video in AATSVideos Channel. On April 12, 2013, the number of subscribers of “AATSVideos” Channel was still five, and two of the five guys were the authors (X-B Liao and H-M Chen) of this paper. Therefore, with the accumulation of a lot of
unrelated videos, there is an exigent need to upload reliable, high-quality HTx-related videos, by professional medical educators, institutions, and organizations.

In addition to the lack of goal-oriented contents from authoritative organizations and trustworthy individuals, there is a real risk of dissemination of misleading information by YouTube. In our study, we found that the maximum-weight misleading video (see Multimedia Appendix 5) accounted for 88.27% (1,214,312/1,375,673) of total viewership, and it arouse a significant support with a “scores” number of 272 (345 “likes”; 73 “dislikes”). The video was uploaded on November 11, 2008 and featured one 13-year-old British girl who claimed to refuse HTx. Her option was obviously irrational and unscientific, thus inevitably, it would negatively affect some viewers. Paradoxically, “She changed her decision when her situation worsened, she underwent the surgery at the Great Ormond City Hospital” (see Figure 6, comment from a YouTube user named “Sorgutentarar”). Nevertheless, this video is still online. Today, content generation is no longer limited to the health care professionals; Web 2.0 services and platforms have empowered patients to create and interact videos with various forms of patient-generated content [40]. Therefore, it is understandable that the emergence of quality-without-assurance videos prompts skepticism and worries along with the obvious information overload.

Figure 3. A screenshot of the commendable from Web viewers (on April 12, 2013).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>User</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>@knightrider100</td>
<td>10 months ago</td>
<td>what do you mean by “sign”?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reply</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>airborne101st45</td>
<td>10 months ago</td>
<td>Praise the doctors doing the surgery and teaching new doctors. Praise the experts that designed the tools. Don't praise your imaginary friend for this.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reply</td>
<td>in reply to codywatkins91 (Show the comment)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>paratechnician</td>
<td>10 months ago</td>
<td>praise both.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reply</td>
<td>in reply to airborne101st45 (Show the comment)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>john mcwoods</td>
<td>10 months ago</td>
<td>does the sign of this person change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reply</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4. A screenshot of the comments from Web viewers (on April 12, 2013).

Arie Blitz 2 months ago
The incidence at one year of AI appears to be about 25%. So, you ask a very good question. There is no evidence basis for doing such a procedure at this point, however, since only case reports exist for doing a transapical aortic valve implant in LVAD patients. And, this has been reported only for late aortic insufficiency after an LVAD, not concomitantly with the LVAD procedure. Nonetheless, one can envision this as a possible combined procedure in the future if the evidence supports it.

Reply in reply to Ranji Samaraweera (Show the comment)

dm19699 3 months ago
FREAKING A M A Z I N G !!!!

Reply

SiiCKdIK pOrToRiCaN 4 months ago
Official

Reply

Pat Stewart 4 months ago
Great Video. I’m a medic and actually seeing these procedures is very helpful to understanding the patients I see in the field. Thank you.

Reply

Miguel Libora 4 months ago
Thanks for the post.
Great video for LVAD presentations.

Reply
Figure 5. A screenshot of the utterly specialized BiVAD-related discussions (on April 12, 2013).

lilkayla22 1 month ago
If a patient has a BiVAD (LVAD & RVAD) does a patient have an increased chance of death than a patient with only LVAD or RVAD? Are BiVADs common for long term use or only short term use?
Reply • in reply to lilkayla22

Arie Blitz 1 month ago
Generally speaking, BiVAD patients have a somewhat worse prognosis than either an LVAD or RVAD alone. BiVADs are uncommonly used long-term, since the only approved long-term BiVAD is the Thoratec PVAD. The PVAD does not provide a great quality of life. The Heartware LVAD can be used long-term on both sides, but that is not an approved indication.
Reply • in reply to lilkayla22

Ranji Samaranweera 2 months ago
Given the high incidence of Aortic Insufficiency following long term LVAD why not do a trans-apical Aortic Valve implant while your in there?
Reply •

Arie Blitz 2 months ago
The incidence at one year of AI appears to be about 25%. So, you ask a very good question. There is no evidence basis for doing such a procedure at this point, however, since only case reports exist for doing a transapical aortic valve implant in LVAD patients. And, this has been reported only for late aortic insufficiency after an LVAD, not concomitantly with the LVAD procedure. Nonetheless, one can envision this as a possible combined procedure in the future if the evidence supports it.
Reply • in reply to Ranji Samaranweera

Figure 6. A screenshot of the comments from web viewers about the misleading video (on April 12, 2013).

sevenfuntasticpeep 5 9 months ago
Would she be 16 or 17 now???
Reply •

Sorgutenterer 9 months ago
She changed her decision when her situation worsened, she underwent the surgery at the Great Ormond City Hospital and now she is a happy 17 years old (Thanks google)
Reply •

Optimizing Directions
Given the problems above, two measures can be implemented by YouTube to improve its functionality with a more efficient way of providing high-quality medical videos. First, a professional community targeting at medical education can be built to inspire specialized videos uploading and consumption, just as iTunes U. Since introduced in 2007, Apple’s iTunes U courses (more than 2500) on a variety of topics have topped a billion downloads, and these courses are contributed by over 2400 educational institutions including universities, colleges, K-12 schools, and districts [40]. As the most advantageous online video provider, YouTube can take use of its incomparably competitive edge to encourage physicians, hospitals, and institutions to upload more instructional videos. Besides, this professional community will bring about specialized ranking
outputs by separating e-learning-oriented viewers from common ones just for recreational needs. Based on the specialized community, searching experiences of the users can be optimized and remembered by mining social network characteristics [41], such as an expert assessment model that can strength weight of user rating in ranking factors [42]. Video providers can be scored based on their specialty approbation, and their score can be taken into account and reflected in the ranking system. Moreover, graphical model can be used in social community and medical experts can be distinguished from amateurs [43,44]. Thus more accurate recommendation of relevant videos can be given. Fernandez-Luque et al used a link-based analysis based on a metric called “HealthTrust” to acquire diabetes content from YouTube [45]. Their result indicated that social network analysis could be used to identify trustworthy multimedia in health communities.

Second, more precise and personalized lists of video after searching on YouTube should be provided. When a medical practitioner wants to access HTx-related videos, the most common way is to input keywords (eg, “heart transplantation”), wait for automatic operation embedded in the YouTube search tool, and then look over the videos according to the search result list in sequence. The purposes of viewers for viewing the videos are different, in which some physicians want to look for similar experience as reference for their clinical decision-making or operative skills, and other trainees wish to look up correct and useful information just as learning materials. Though out of different purposes, they don’t expect to be distracted by irrelevant, even misleading information. To meet professional requirements with the least disturbance, YouTube ranking system should be refined and improved.

In the current YouTube ranking system, there are two comprehensive aspects of ranking factors: (1) “content”, which is out of the video self-characteristics, such as correlation between search keywords, video title, and description, and (2) “engagement”, which is contributed by viewer interaction in YouTube social community. There are 12 different detailed factors that are used to determine rank of each video (Table 5) [46]. With the help of these factors, YouTube not only explores the correlation between search keywords and candidate videos but also takes popularity of videos into account. However, the ranking system is mainly based on the relationship of viewership or hits, although YouTube has updated its algorithm with video-discovery features, such as “time watched” [47].

In the present study, it was found that the “scores” of three advertisement videos of “Surgeon Simulator 2013”, an electronic game, were unexpectedly high. However, they were completely uncorrelated with HTx (Table 1). On the other hand, the results of this study showed that IUC videos had significantly higher mean “viewers/day” than H/UC and NAC videos. This phenomenon reflected that viewers are more interested in personal experiences about HTx rather than in professional conferences or didactic lectures, irrespective of authenticity or authority of multimedia materials. Thereupon, this ranking system is still focusing on the popularity of videos, not exactly the accuracy and correlation between videos’ contents and searching keywords.

It is required to take deeper use of social network relationship among viewers. Users’ historical viewing records can be regarded as personal profile, so system can supply personalized search results according to each user’s preference, by using techniques in recommendation system [41], such as collaborating filter and opinion mining [48]. For instance, for a user whose historical viewing records include plenty of cardiac surgery videos, if he/she searches HTx, there is a great possibility that the desired videos are specialized materials for e-learning, other than personal experience sharing just as a recipient. Furthermore, it may benefit the ranking effect to mine literal information generated by viewers via using natural language processing (NLP) techniques, because it can strengthen the correlation between videos and search keywords [49]. So far, superficial literal features on video have been focused on YouTube ranking system; however, there is no evidence that showed that the comments generated by viewers have been noticed enough as they deserved. Most comments contain viewers’ affection response to these videos; therefore, comments can be used to adjust video rating as a feedback. To date, researches on how to efficiently retrieve medical-related videos from YouTube are still few in number. Commonly, collocations in the context are much more informative than frequent phrases [50,51]. Thus, it is probably a suitable means to extract content-related video by NLP-discovered phrases rather than keywords [52,53].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 5. YouTube ranking factors. a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Content</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tags</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transcriptions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Channel authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delivery</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aReprinted with permission from Chelaru et al [46].
Study Limitations

First, our study was confined to the content analysis of HTx-related videos retrieved on February 01, 2013. This cross-sectional observation is like a snapshot of information distribution, but the actual source from YouTube is swiftly expanding as one never-ending documentary. Second, our classification method was subjective. However, the kappa coefficient demonstrated fairly high agreement between two cardiac surgeons. We did not extrapolate the percentage of “useful” and “misleading” videos in our dataset to all the HTx-related YouTube videos. Furthermore, our analysis of the comments and the social interactions of viewers and uploaders was based on pure observation without a solid methodological approach. The “scores” are based on “likes” or “dislikes”, and it may not be an excellent indication of viewer preferences or video quality on medical contents. Third, non-English language video clips were excluded, which included some valuable videos, such as many French language HTx-related videos. Fourth, we did not revalidate the results in other networking platforms, such as Baidu or Facebook. Finally, this study was limited to a direct search on YouTube, so we might have missed some valuable surgical videos that could be viewed at other available health information websites.

Conclusions

This study demonstrates a panoramic view of HTx-related videos on YouTube until February 1, 2013. The results of this study showed that YouTube benefits medical professionals by providing a substantial amount of specialized information. However, casting YouTube to find HTx-related videos is currently inefficient. As more young medical trainees are eagerly participating in social media and e-learning activities, it is reasonable to promote and optimize the dissemination of free and valuable medical videos via YouTube. It is clear from the results of this study that the quality of surgical specialized information in YouTube videos is very heterogeneous and the process of e-learning is not without pitfalls. The reasons include that (1) the content of most videos often lacks institutional or peer quality control, thus the specialized information shared may be not accurate; (2) finding informative and trustworthy targets is hampered by the vast amount of seemingly relevant videos via current ranking system. To solve these problems, we are expecting changes in two aspects. First, more authoritative videos by trusted sources should be posted. Second, ranking system based on present YouTube algorithm may be evolved by adding some elements like peer review, social network analysis, or NLP techniques. With the endeavors of professional individuals, academic institutions, and e-learning communities, YouTube, the leading video streaming websites, will help to meet huge informational needs of medical staffs and promote medical education on HTx.
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Multimedia Appendix 1

The Revived Heart Transplant Program at Batson Children's Hospital builds on a 20-year legacy.

[MP4 File (MP4 Video), 109MB - ijm_r_v2i2e28_app1.MP4 ]

Multimedia Appendix 2

The heart transplant procedure from Montefiore-Einstein, NYC.

[MP4 File (MP4 Video), 135MB - ijm_r_v2i2e28_app2.MP4 ]

Multimedia Appendix 3

Example of a high-quality surgical educational video “Heartmate II LVAD Implantation. Arie Blitz, MD” uploaded by “Arie Blitz” channel. It was originally from the YouTube website, reproduced under Creative Commons Attribution License.

http://www.i-jmr.org/2013/2/e28/
Multimedia Appendix 4
A newest video titled “Left Ventricular Aneurysm Resection and LVAD Implantation Through Median Sternotomy” available on the website of CTSNet; however, it cannot be accessed from “AATSVideos” Channel. It was reproduced under Creative Commons Attribution License.

Multimedia Appendix 5
The maximum-weight misleading video “13-year-old British girl” uploaded by “uniquerocks” Channel. It was from originally from the YouTube website, reproduced under Creative Commons Attribution License.
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Abbreviations

AAATS: American Association of Thoracic Surgery
ANOVA: one-way analysis of variance
CTSNet: Cardiothoracic Surgery Network
HTx: heart transplantation
H/UC: hospital/university channel
ISHLT: International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation
IUC: independent user channel
LVAD: left ventricular assist device
MDC: medical dotcom channel
NAC: news agency channel
NLP: natural language processing
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